Appendix A: Criteria for Selecting Species of Greatest Conservation Need

State Wildlife Action Plans are focused on the conservation of species and habitats in an effort to prevent them from becoming more rare and costly to protect or restore. As such, each state is required to identify the Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) and their habitats within the state that require conservation efforts to ensure their future. (See Chapter TBD)

SGCN are typically native species that through a combination of low and/or declining populations or vulnerability to threats, particularly anthropogenic threats, are considered to be at risk of becoming extinct, extirpated, endangered, or threatened, and would benefit from conservation attention. SGCN in New Jersey span taxonomic groups including birds, marine and terrestrial mammals, reptiles, amphibians, fish and several invertebrate groups. To provide a transparent and clearly defined mechanism for identifying SGCN, New Jersey Fish and Wildlife (NJFW) leverages the efforts of agencies and organizations who classify species' relative risk of imperilment. Table 1 provides an overview of the resources used in the selection process. The tables that follow provide more details on how these resources were used for all taxonomic groups in Table 2, and for individual taxonomic groups in Tables 3-6. Data deficient species are also identified (Table 7). Finally, these data are reviewed by agency biologists, and any changes to SGCN status are documented using a set of standardized justifications.

All Taxonomic Groups	5
Birds	
Terrestrial and Marine Mammals	10
Fish species	11
Turtle species	11
Data Deficient Species	12
Additional Data Sources	12
Data Sources used in 2018 not used in 2025	13
Data Sources used in 2025 not used in 2018	
Selection Process	14
Fatal Flaw Analysis	14
References	16

Appendix A: Criteria for Selecting Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Table 1. Overview of species status assessments used for each taxonomic group.

A.

2

		Birds	rds Mammals		Reptiles & Am	phibians	Fish		Inverteb	rates	
	Status Assessment (Table containing details)		Terrestrial	Marine	Terrestrial &Freshwater	Marine	Freshwater	Marine	Terrestr ial	Freshwater	Marine
	NatureServe G-ranks (Table 2)	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х
	IUCN RedList (Table 2)	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Global	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (Table 6)				х	Х					
National & Continental	Federal Listing Status (Table 2)	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
	NatureServe N-ranks (Table 2)	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
	American Fisheries Society (Jelks 2008) (Table 5)						х				
	Marine Mammal Frequent Interactions with Fisheries (Table 4)			х							
	NOAA Climate Vulnerability (Table 5)							х			х
	Partners in Flight Avian Conservation Assessment Database (Table 3)	x									
	Road to Recovery (Table 3)	x									
Regional	Northeast RSGCN (Table 2)	x	х	х	х	х	х	X	х	х	х

	Adjacent State Listing Status (Table 4)		х								
	Adjacent State S-ranks (Table 4)		х								
	Saltmarsh Bird Conservation Plan for the Atlantic Coast (Table 3)	х									
	Atlantic Coast Joint Venture Waterfowl Implementation Plan (Table 3)	X									
	Appalachian Mountain Joint Venture (Table 3)	х									
	Partners in Flight Bird Conservation Region Priorities (Table 3)	х									
State	New Jersey Listing Status (Table 2)	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
	State biologists rely on field experience and status and trend information from the literature in their review of the above information (Described below in "Fatal Flaw Analysis")	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	х	х

All Taxonomic Groups
Table 2. References and data sources reviewed to select SGCN for all taxonomic groups at global, national, regional, and state scales.

Source	<u>Criteria</u>	Justification and Additional Information
Global		
	Conservation Status G1, Critically Imperiled	NatureServe assigns global, national and state (subnational) species' ranks by "researching and recording information on a set of conservation status factors" (Faber-Langendoen et al. 2012). The protocol for
	Conservation Status G2, Imperiled	assigning a conservation status rank is based on scoring an element against ten conservation status factors, which are grouped into three categories based on the characteristic of the factor*: rarity (six factors), trends (two factors), and threats (two factors).
NatureServe G-ranks	Conservation Status G3, Vulnerable	G3 is the lowest global rank contributing to NJ's SGCN list. These include species that are at a "moderate risk of extinction or elimination due to a fairly restricted range, relatively few populations or occurrences, recent and widespread declines, threats, or other factors."
End IUCN Red List	Critically Endangered	The IUCN Red List is a "system for classifying species at high risk of global extinction." The process for qualifying species is extensive** (IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee 2022). Extinct means that there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died. Extinct in the Wild means that the taxon is extinct in
	Endangered	its natural habitat (see Introduced taxa above). The following three categories, Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable, are assigned to taxa on the basis of quantitative criteria that are designed to
	Vulnerable	reflect varying degrees of threat of extinction; taxa in any of these three categories are collectively referred to as 'threatened'. The category Near Threatened is applied to taxa that do not qualify as threatened now, but may be close to qualifying as threatened, and to taxa that do not currently meet the criteria for a
	Near Threatened	threatened category but are likely to do so if ongoing conservation actions abate or cease.
National and Continental		
	Endangered (E)	USFWS implements a rulemaking/regulatory procedure to assess a species' population status including those meeting the definition of Endangered or Threatened and soliciting biological information regarding Candidate species that will contribute to their status review. Endangered and Threatened species have been

US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	Threatened (T)	included as they have been found to be "in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range" or "likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range", respectively (Ecological Services 2023). USFWS Listed Species: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species-reports "Candidate species are plants and animals for which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) has sufficient				
	Candidate Species (CS)	information on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), but for which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher priority listing activities." (Ecological Services 2017) Additional information regarding candidate species can be found at the following websites: USFWS jurisdiction: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/candidate-species				
	Endangered	NOAA is the federal authority with jurisdiction over marine species. Proposed species are candidate species that were found to warrant listing as either T or E and were proposed as such in the Federal Register after				
NIOAA Fishavias	Threatened	completion of a status review. Candidate species are those petitioned species that are actively being considered for E or T status, as well as those for which NMFS has initiated an ESA status review. Species				
NOAA Fisheries Candidate and Proposed species for NJ waters		statuses were recorded from https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species-directory on March 13, 2023. Candidates for NMFS (NOAA) jurisdiction: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/endangered-species-conservation/candidate-species-under-endangered-species-act				
NatureServe N-ranks	National Conservation Status Rank (N1), Critically Imperiled	NatureServe assigns global, national and state (subnational) species' ranks by "researching and recording information on a set of conservation status factors. The protocol for assigning a conservation status rank is based on scoring an element against ten conservation status factors, which are grouped into three categories based on the characteristic of the factor*: rarity (six factors), trends (two factors), and threats (two factors).				
	National Conservation Status Rank (N2), Imperiled	N3 is the lowest regional (i.e., national/subnational) rank contributing to NJ's SGCN list. Similar to G3 but more localized, these include species that are at a "moderate risk of extirpation in the jurisdiction due to a fairly restricted range, relatively few populations or occurrences, recent and widespread declines, threats,				
	National Conservation Status Rank (N3), Vulnerable	or other factors."				
Regional						

Northeast Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need	RSGCN and Proposed RSGCN If they occur in New Jersey	Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need (RSGCN) are native species for which the Northeast region has a stewardship responsibility due to high conservation concern and/or populations that are concentrated in the Northeast Region and that have been identified as SGCN by at least one Northeast state. RSGCN are selected by considering current standard status assessments and by building consensus among taxonomic experts region-wide (Terwilliger Consulting Inc. & Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee 2022).
State		
NJDEP Fish and Wildlife	State-listed Endangered and candidates for listing as Endangered, typically S1 State-listed Threatened and candidates for listing as Threatened, typically S2	New Jersey has adapted and implements the Delphi Status Review (or Delphi Technique) to determine the relative endangerment or stability of a species' population (Clark et al. 2006). A systematic method for reaching consensus among experts, the Delphi Technique is an iterative process characterized by anonymity among the participating experts and controlled feedback via the principal investigator. The results of this status assessment are used to assign the legal status of species in the state. Endangered (E), Threatened (T) and Special Concern (SC) species are included as they represent those species that warrant special attention due to their limited population and success as a result of numerous threats contributing to their continued decline. (NatureServe species' ranks S1 (critically imperiled), S2 (imperiled), or S3 (vulnerable) align with New Jersey's endangered, threatened, and special concern status, respectively, except for those that have not been reviewed using the Delphi Technique.)
	State-listed Special Concern and candidates for listing as Special Concern, typically S3	"Candidate" species include those species that have been reviewed by the Delphi process and have been recommended for listing as E, T, or SC.

^{*} To read more about NatureServe's Conservation Status Assessments, Methodology for Assigning Ranks, please visit their website:

https://www.natureserve.org/conservation-status-assessment

 $\underline{https://www.iucnredlist.org/resources/categories-and-criteria}$

^{**} IUCN Red List parameters for qualifying species is extensive. For more information, please visit their website:

Birds
Table 3. Additional information used to select SGCN for birds.

Assessment	Criteria	Description
Partners in Flight Avian Conservation Assessment Database	Max of 3 BCR's RCS- b > 12	The ACAD contains biological information used and generated by the PIF Species Assessment Process, a peer-reviewed, scientific methodology for evaluating information related to the conservation of birds (Partners in Flight 2021). The assessment scores can be viewed online or downloaded with additional information https://pif.birdconservancy.org/avian-conservation-assessment-database/.
Road to Recovery (2022)	On-Alert Tipping Point	Scientists for the Road to Recovery initiative, following methods established in 2019 (Rosenberg et al. 2019), have identified 90 On-Alert bird species in need of strong and immediate scientific action to pinpoint causes of declines and to support practitioners dedicated to recovering their populations. Tipping Point Species are on a trajectory to lose another 50% of their populations in the next 50 years (41 species), or already have perilously small populations and continue to face high threats, but lack sufficient monitoring data. Read more at r2rbirds.org .
Atlantic Coast Joint Venture Waterfowl Improvement Plan (2005)	Highest High Moderate High	Species meeting these criteria have decreasing or unknown population trends (ducks) or unknown or below population size objectives (geese and swans). Species ranked in these categories because of other reasons (harvest importance or negative impacts on other species) were removed from the list. (Atlantic Coast Joint Venture 2005)
Appalachian Mountain Joint Venture Priority Species	Highest and High Priority	Species meeting these criteria are designated as regional concern and included because of high population threats (Appalachian Mountains Joint Venture 2008)
Salt Marsh Bird Conservation Plan for the Atlantic Coast (2019)	Tier A Tier B	All species that meet the criterion of being likely to undergo noticeable declines due to changes in the amount, quality, or types of salt marsh habitat were assessed (Atlantic Coast Joint Venture 2019). Each species was classified into one of three priority groups: (A) Imperiled species that may need consideration for ESA protection (B) Those likely to become imperiled in the relatively short-term (10 to 20 years), (C) Those which might become imperiled in the longer-term (more than 20 years), (D) Those for which there is insufficient data to classify ("data deficient").
Bird Conservation Regions 28,29,30	Regional concern status Highest Priority High Priority	Species meeting these criteria are designated as regional concern and included because of high population threats (BCR 28 citation: Appalachian Mountains Joint Venture. 2008. Implementation Plan for the Appalachian Mountains Joint Venture: A Foundation for All-Bird Conservation in the Region. (Priority list updated 2014).

Terrestrial and Marine Mammals

Table 4. Additional information used to select SGCN for terrestrial and marine mammals.

Assessment	Criteria	Description
Adjacent state S-ranks	S1, S2, or S3 in any of 5 adjacent states (DE, MD, PA, NY, and CT)	Includes species ranked as S1 (critically imperiled), S2 (imperiled), or S3 (vulnerable) in states surrounding NJ. These state ranks are determined by each state using methods developed by NatureServe* and are based on the best available information and consider a variety of factors such as species abundance, distribution, population trends and threats. NatureServe state rank procedures often have different criteria, evidence requirements, purposes and taxonomic coverage than state lists of endangered and threatened species.
Adjacent state listing status	Listed Endangered, Threatened, or Special Concern in PA ^{1,2} , NY ³ , DE ⁴ , MD ⁵ , or CT ⁶	The listing process in each surrounding state varies, but in each state a committee of experts is assembled to evaluate extinction risk of each species. Status of species in surrounding states is being used to complement limited data on species status in New Jersey.
NOAA List of Fisheries Summary Tables	Marine mammals frequently interacting with Atlantic commercial fisheries (Category I)	U.S. fisheries are classified under the Marine Mammal Protection Act according to the level of incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals. The 2023 List of Fisheries Summary Tables below list U.S. commercial fisheries by categories according to the level of incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals. ⁷ (United States, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2023)

https://www.pgc.pa.gov/Wildlife/EndangeredandThreatened/Pages/default.aspx
 https://www.fishandboat.com/Resource/SpeciesofSpecialConcern/Pages/ThreatenedEndangeredSpecies.aspx
 https://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html
 https://dnrec.alpha.delaware.gov/fish-wildlife/conservation/endangered-species/
 https://dnr.maryland.gov/wildlife/Pages/plants_wildlife/rte/rteanimals.aspx

https://portal.ct.gov/DEEP/Endangered-Species/Endangered-Species-Listings/Endangered-Threatened-and-Special-Concern-Species-by-Taxonomic-Group

⁷ https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/list-fisheries-summary-tables#table-2-category-i

Fish species

Table 5. Additional information used to select SGCN for marine and freshwater fish species.

Таха	Assessment	<u>Criteria</u>	Description
Freshwater Fishes	American Fisheries Society publication, "Conservation Status of Imperiled North American Freshwater and Diadromous Fishes" and associated rankings, August 2008	Extinct or Endangered (NJ Waters) Threatened (NJ Waters Vulnerable (NJ Waters)	The AFS-Endangered Species Committee used existing lists to develop a draft of the present list. AFSESC then added taxa meriting consideration and provided rationale for inclusion. Each taxon was assigned current status, listing criteria, and native ecoregion distribution. Endangered species includes taxon that is in imminent danger of extinction throughout all or extirpation from a significant portion of its range. Threatened species includes taxon that is in imminent danger of becoming endangered throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Vulnerable species includes taxon that is in imminent danger of becoming threatened throughout its range (Jelks et al. 2008).
Marine	NOAA Northeast Fish and Shellfish Climate	Vulnerability to Changes in Abundance: High or Very High Climate Exposure and High or Very High Biological Sensitivity	In the first assessment of its kind, NOAA scientists applied a new methodology to assess the climate vulnerability of 82 fish and invertebrate species in the Northeast region (Hare et al. 2016).
Fishes	Vulnerability Assessment ¹	Vulnerability to Changes in Distribution: High or Very High	

¹ https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/new-england-mid-atlantic/climate/northeast-vulnerability-assessment

Turtle species

Table 6. Additional information used to determine SGCN status for terrestrial turtle species.

Assessment	<u>Criteria</u>	Description
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora	Appendices I and II	Over 40,900 species – including roughly 6,610 species of animals and 34,310 species of plants – are protected by CITES against over-exploitation through international trade. They are listed in the three Appendices and are grouped in the Appendices according to how threatened they are by international trade. In some cases only a subspecies or geographically separate population of a species (for example the population of just one country) is listed. The abbreviation "spp." is used to denote species; "sspp." for subspecies; "var." for varieties; "popns" for populations.

Data Deficient Species

Table 7. Criteria that dictate if wildlife species are in need of further investigation are considered 'Data Deficient SGCN' based on the DFW.

Species suite	Source	<mark>Criteria</mark>	Justification
All taxonomic groups	NJDEP Fish and Wildlife	State Status of Undetermined/Unknown per Delphi process	New Jersey has adapted and implements the Delphi Status Review (or Delphi Technique) to determine the relative endangerment or stability of a species' population. A systematic method for reaching consensus among experts, the Delphi process is an iterative process characterized by anonymity among the participating experts and controlled feedback via the principal investigator. The results of this status assessment are used to assign the legal status of species in the state. <i>Unknown (U)</i> species are those for which it is impossible to assign E, T, or SC because enough information on which to base a judgment simply does not exist.

Additional Data Sources

For some taxonomic groups, multi-species status assessment reports provide important information, but the information is not presented in a categorical format that can easily be incorporated into a systematic selection criteria as defined above. Here we recognize information that was referred to by taxa teams in their review of species' SGCN status.

For invertebrates, the Xerces Society lists of at-risk species were explored, but no additional species were identified beyond those that met criteria above. (Early Hairstreak (*Arogos Skipper*), Dot-dash firefly, and Keel-necked firefly are species found in New Jersey and identified as at-risk on the Xerces website.)

For turtles, the 2018 Global assessment of terrestrial and freshwater turtles, Turtles in Trouble, identified the Top 25+ most Endangered Tortoises and Freshwater Turtles. This reference reviews and ranks turtles specifically and includes IUCN ranks in the review (Stanford et al. 2018). In 2023, only one New Jersey species is included in this reference - the Bog Turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii). This species also met other criteria for SGCN status.

For marine, estuarine, and diadromous fishes, a comprehensive American Fisheries Society assessment is used to provide additional evidence of imperilment, as needed (Musick et al. 2000). This assessment recognizes the following categories of risk: endangered, high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future (years); threatened, not endangered but facing risk of extinction in the near future (decades); vulnerable, not endangered or threatened severely but at possible risk of falling into one of these categories in the near future.

The NOAA Fisheries Highly Migratory Species report is also referred to in understanding the SGCN status of marine species. NOAA Fisheries manages a number of fish species in U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico waters known as highly migratory species (HMS). These fish—tuna, sharks, swordfish, and billfish—often migrate long distances, crossing domestic and international boundaries. NOAA Fisheries is responsible for managing HMS under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. In cooperation with an advisory panel, NOAA's HMS Management Division develops and implements fishery management plans for these species taking into account all domestic and international requirements under the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (Gamble 2006). https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/dam-migration/atlantic-hms-consolidated-fmp.pdf

The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, and the New England Fisheries Management Council all provide useful information through fisheries management plans that can determine the SGCN status of species. In addition, the New Jersey Fish and Wildlife Marine Resources Administration Survey Data are consulted in determining SGCN Status.

For birds, the Atlantic Flyway Shorebird Initiative Business Plan (2015) provides useful occurrence data that can help with the assessment of SGCN status for shorebirds. Consulting it as a selection resource did not change the status outcome of any species.

Data Sources used in 2018 not used in 2025

The Northeast Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation maintained an independent status assessment which was used in 2018. A similar approach of the Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need status assessment led the team to rely on this regional review for 2025.

A 2011 Report "Vulnerability of At-risk Species to Climate Change in NY, based on the NatureServe Climate Change Vulnerability Index was used in 2015 for reptiles and amphibians (Schlesinger et al. 2011). However, the report has not been updated and was not used in 2023.

Some data sources related to Partners in Flight and the Bird Conservation Regions have a different format in 2023 than in 2015, but are still used for SGCN selection. The North American Waterfowl Management Plan (2004), the US Shorebird Conservation Plan (2000), the North Atlantic Shorebird

Conservation Plan (2013), the North American Waterbird Conservation Plan (2001), the Southeast BCR 28 and 29 Waterbird Conservation Plan (2006), and the South Atlantic Migratory Bird Implementation Plan (2006) were not carried forward in 2023 because newer data sources that were more comprehensive met the need for identifying imperiled species.

For some taxonomic groups, federally petitioned species were considered SGCN but in 2023 petitioned species were not included. Additionally, in 2015 NOAA Species of Special Concern were included as SGCN, but this designation has not been maintained.

Several sources for invertebrate conservation status assessments used in 2015 have not been updated and were not needed in the current review:

- Rare, declining, and poorly known butterflies and moths (Lepidoptera) of forests and woodlands in the Eastern United States (Schweitzer et al. 2011)
- American Fisheries Society publication, "Conservation Status of Crayfish Species" and associated rankings (August 2007)
- Northeastern Regional Odonata Status Assessment

Data Sources used in 2025 not used in 2018

The Northeast Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need Concern Level was used in the current SGCN review (Terwilliger Consulting Inc. & Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee 2022).

For birds updated information from Partners in Flight replaced older versions of assessments (Partners in Flight 2021). Road to Recovery and the Salt Marsh Bird Conservation Plan for the Atlantic Coast (Atlantic Coast Joint Venture 2019) were also added for birds.

For marine species, a recent climate vulnerability assessment was added, the NOAA Northeast Fish and Shellfish Climate Vulnerability Assessment (following (Hare et al. 2016)). For marine mammals, those with frequent interactions with commercial fisheries was added (United States, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2023).

Selection Process

A dataset capturing all the data sources above was systematically filtered to identify species meeting one or more criteria to be considered SGCN.

Fatal Flaw Analysis

Taxonomic Teams were provided with species that were pre-screened according to the above criteria. Adjustments to SGCN listing status were made based on standardized justifications below.

STANDARDIZED JUSTIFICATIONS FOR STATUS CHANGE

Note: Here "responsibility" is a category that is based on NJDFW biologists' knowledge of the species.

A. Justifications to move species **ON** the SGCN list:

- 1. NJ its northern edge of range, but its population is expanding and likely to increase. There are indications of concern such as the species is listed in adjacent states, known to be a habitat specialist, or other ecological or biological concern. NOTE: This includes naturalized species that were **not** anthropogenically introduced.
- 2. Concern: It is listed in adjacent states or has a low s-rank in adjacent states.
- 3. Concern: Emerging threats that have yet to get captured in the status assessments used in the screening (i.e. new diseases, decline in host trees/plants).
- 4. Concern: There is new information about status and trend that indicate the status meets the criteria outlined for SGCN. (i.e. new peer reviewed studies or papers).
- 5. Responsibility: It is an important subspecies or a population of a secure (not SGCN) species, but the NJ population is not secure.
- 6. Responsibility: NJ is an important migratory stopover state. This includes birds that are USFWS conservation concern and/or PIF ACAD management attention, in areas north of NJ.
- 7. Concern: It is a sensitive indicator species of environmental conditions (e.g., fish population levels, toxin levels, disease) that reflect ecosystem health and function.
- B. Justifications to move species **OFF** the SGCN list. Issue/concern is that the factor/s triggering a SGCN status may not be enough to justify SGCN status in NJ.
 - 1. The species is secure in NJ.
 - 2. Responsibility: It is geographically peripheral in NJ and is unlikely to naturally expand its range.
 - 3. Concern: Nationally and Regionally there is some concern, but it is secure in NJ
 - 4. Concern and responsibility indicate SGCN status, but the species is naturalized and therefore a lower priority.
 - 5. Responsibility: While the species is documented as occurring in NJ in the past, it is now likely extirpated in NJ.
 - 6. Responsibility: NJ's responsibility is currently low because we are at its northern or southern edge of range, but its population is expanding and likely to increase. There are no indications of concern at the range wide or state levels. NOTE: This includes naturalized species that were **not** anthropogenically introduced.
- C. Justifications to **MOVE** species from SGCN to Data Deficient SGCN.
 - 1. Concern: Regional and/or Global data indicate greater confidence in the status of species than we have in NJ.
- D. Justifications to **MOVE** species from not SGCN to Data Deficient SGCN.
 - 1. Concern: It is questionable that it is secure in New Jersey.

References

- Appalachian Mountains Joint Venture. 2008. Implementation Plan for the Appalachian Mountains Joint Venture: A Fourndation for All-Bird Conservation in the Region. (Priority list updated 2014).
- Atlantic Coast Joint Venture. 2005. North American Waterfowl Management Plan: Atlantic Coast Join Venture Waterfowl Implementation Plan. Atlantic Coast Joint Venture.
- Atlantic Coast Joint Venture. 2019. Salt Marsh Bird Conservation Plan: Partners working to conserve salt marshes and the birds that depend on them.

 Available from https://conservationstandards.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2020/10/salt_marsh_bird_plan_final_web.pdf (accessed March 13, 2023).
- Clark KE, Applegate JE, Niles LJ, Dobkin DS. 2006. An Objective Means of Species Status Assessment: Adapting the Delphi Technique. Wildlife Society Bulletin **34**:419–425.
- Ecological Services. 2017. Candidate Species Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act. Page 1. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Falls Church, VA. Available from https://fws.gov/media/candidate-species-fact-sheet.
- Ecological Services. 2023. ESA Basics: 50 Years of Conserving Endangered Species. Page 2. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Falls Church, VA. Available from https://www.fws.gov/media/endangered-species-act-basics-50-years-conserving-endangered-species.
- Faber-Langendoen D et al. 2012. NatureServe Conservation Status Assessments: Methodology for Assigning Ranks. NatureServe Report, Revised Edition. NatureServe, Arlington, VA.
- Gamble M. 2006. Final Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan.
- Hare JA et al. 2016. A Vulnerability Assessment of Fish and Invertebrates to Climate Change on the Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf. PLoS ONE 11:e0146756.
- IUCN Standards and Petitions Committee. 2022. Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 15.1. Available from https://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/RedListGuidelines.pdf.
- Jelks HL et al. 2008. Conservation Status of Imperiled North American Freshwater and Diadromous Fishes. Fisheries 33:372–407.
- Musick JA et al. 2000. Marine, Estuarine, and Diadromous Fish Stocks at Risk of Extinction in North America (Exclusive of Pacific Salmonids). Fisheries **25**:6–30.
- Partners in Flight. 2021. Avian Conservation Assessment Database, version 2021. Available from http://pif.birdconservancy.org/ACAD.
- Rosenberg KV, Dokter AM, Blancher PJ, Sauer JR, Smith AC, Smith PA, Stanton JC, Panjabi A, Helft L, Marra PP. 2019. Decline of the North American avifauna. Science **366**:120–124.
- Schlesinger MD, Corser JD, Perkins KA, White EL. 2011. Vulnerability of At-risk Species to Climate Change in New York. New York Natural Heritage Program, Albany, NY.
- Schweitzer DF, Minno MC, Wagner DL, 1956-. 2011. Rare, declining, and poorly known butterflies and moths (Lepidoptera) of forests and woodlands in the Eastern United States. U.S. Forest Service, Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team. Available from https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Rare%2C+declining%2C+and+poorly+known+butterflies+and+moths+
 %28Lepidoptera%29+of+forests+and+woodlands+in+the+Eastern+United+States&author=Schweitzer%2C+Dale+F.&publication_year=2011

A. 16

(accessed March 10, 2023).

- Stanford CB et al. 2018. Turtles in Trouble: The World's 25+ Most Endangered Tortoises and Freshwater Turtles. Page 84 pp. Turtle Conservation Coalition, Ojai, California, United States of America.
- Steinkamp M. 2008. New England/Mid-Atlantic Coast Bird Conservation Region (BCR 30) Implementation Plan. Atlantic Coast Joint Venture, Laurel, MD. Terwilliger Consulting Inc., Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee. 2022. Northeast Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need:

 September 2022 Update. Prepared by Terwilliger Consulting, Inc. for the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee of the Northeast Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, Washington, DC.
- United States, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2023. List of Fisheries for 2023. Page 66. Rule 2023–05762. Federal Register. Available from https://www.federalregister.gov/public-inspection/2023-05762/list-of-fisheries-for-2023 (accessed March 20, 2023).
- Watson KJ. 2014. The Piedmont Bird Conservation Region (BCR 29) Implementation Plan. Page 149. Atlantic Coast Joint Venture, Sevierville, TN.