Clean Energy Compliance Options for EGUs May 18, 2023 Stakeholder Meeting with Utilities, Business, and Industry

Robert Kettig, Assistant Director | Kenneth Ratzman, Assistant Director Air, Energy, and Materials Sustainability



Please also note this meeting is for informational purposes only. The concepts and ideas presented and discussed do not reflect any final decision making.

As a courtesy to all, please make sure your microphone is muted at this time.

### Agenda

## 01

Background and introduction of the rulemaking concept and potential applicability

### 02

#### Run-through of discussion points

- Clean energy compliance options
- Emissions calculations and methodology

### 03

#### Discussion\*

\*If you would like to speak, please raise your hand and unmute your microphone at the appropriate time.

### 04

#### Next Steps

The Control and Prohibition of Carbon Dioxide Emissions Rule (published 1/3/23)

The rule is expected to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel-fired electric generating units through the application of output-based emission limits. The Control and Prohibition of Carbon Dioxide Emissions Rule (published 1/3/23) Applies to new or existing EGU that

- combusts at least 51 percent fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, annually;
- supplies at least 10 percent of its annual gross electric output to the grid; and
- has a nameplate capacity equal to or greater than 25 MWe.

A new EGU with a nameplate capacity less than 25 MWe that meets the other two thresholds will be covered by the rules if the unit is located at a facility that has more than one EGU, and the aggregate capacity of those units is equal to or greater than 25 MWe.

#### The Control and Prohibition of Carbon Dioxide Emissions Rule (published 1/3/23)

Compliance deadline	Emission limit
for existing EGUs	
June 1, 2024	1,700 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh gross energy
	output
June 1, 2027	1,300 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh gross energy
	output
June 1, 2035	1,000 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh gross energy
	output

The Control and Prohibition of Carbon Dioxide Emissions Rule (published 1/3/23) An owner or operator may request an extension of the compliance date if:

- BPU issues an order determining that the unit is needed to maintain reliable grid operations; or
- The EGU is designated as an RMR unit; or
- PJM or NYISO has requested that the EGU remain operational to maintain reliable grid operations

### Rulemaking concept:

### Clean Energy Compliance Options for Existing EGUs

Why is the Department considering rulemaking to allow clean energy compliance options for existing EGUs?

- Comments received
- Reliability
- Leakage
- Investment and deployment of clean energy

Allow the incorporation of zero or low emitting electric generation or storage into an existing EGU's total electric output

Lower the unit's average emission rate (lb./MWh)

## Comply with emissions limit

#### Potential clean energy technologies

### Grid Supply Solar

### Behind The Meter Solar

RNG/Hydrogen

**Battery Storage** 

Fuel Cells

Other?

### Control and Prohibition of CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Rule

Applicability of potential clean energy options?	Compliance deadline for existing EGUs	Emission limit	# EGUs with emission rates that exceed the limit based on 2021 data
	June 1, 2024	1,700 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	9
		gross energy output	
	June 1, 2027	1,300 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	12
		gross energy output	
	June 1, 2035	1,000 lb CO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	32
		gross energy output	

## EGUs that emit between 1,300 lb/MWh and 1,700 lb/Mwh (based on 2021 data)

Facility Name	Unit ID	Operating Time	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission
		(hours)	Rate
			(lb/MWh)
Sherman Avenue Energy Center	1	186	1,606
Forked River Power	2001	143	1,599
Linden Generating Station	8	119	1,563
Forked River Power	3001	157	1,560
Linden Generating Station	7	118	1,501
Linden Generating Station	6	107	1,388
Linden Generating Station	5	124	1,360
Gilbert Generating Station	9	131	1,337
Kearny Generating Station	132	448	1,335
Kearney Generating Station	133	618	1,312
Kearny Generating Station	131	521	1,306
Kearny Generating Station	134	635	1,301

Discussion points: clean energy compliance options



Pros and cons of each technology?

Is technology economically feasible?

Environmental impacts?

Lifespan of the technology (does it degrade/lose efficiency over time)?

Locational considerations?

Monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting challenges?

### Discussion points:

### Emissions calculations and methodology

- O What emissions averaging methodology(ies) should the Department use?
- Are there other approaches besides averaging?
- How should peak versus non-peak emission rates be measured for energy storage?

15

The CO<sub>2</sub> limit for an EGU operating after June 1, 2027 is **1,300 lb/MW-hour.** 

An EGU with an average output of 100 MW is operating 500 hours per year, with a  $CO_2$  emission rate of **1,400 lb/MW-hour**.

The annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions would be:

100 MW x 1,400 lb/MW-hr x 500 hours per year =

70,000,000 lb CO<sub>2</sub> per year

Disclaimer: The information provided is for discussion purposes only and does not reflect final decision-making.

Compliance hypothetical using solar If the EGU has a qualifying solar capacity of **3.0 MW** operating with a **20% capacity factor**, the resulting CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate would be:

Compliance hypothetical using solar (continued)

70,000,000 lb per year/((100 MW x 500 hours per year) + (0.2 x 3.0 MW x 8,760 hours per year))=

#### 1,270 lb/MW-hr

The EGU would be in compliance with the CO<sub>2</sub> emission limit of **1,300 lb/MW-hr**.

Disclaimer: The information provided is for discussion purposes only and does not reflect final decision-making.

## Discussion

General

Clean energy options

Locational considerations

**Emissions calculations and methodology** 

#### Other?

#### Next steps

 If you are interested in providing written comments, please send to <u>njclimate@dep.nj.gov</u> by May 31, 2023.

# Thank you for attending