Conserve Wildlife Matching Grants Program Ranking Criteria Form

Applicant:				Project Title/Subject:		ubject:	
	Date Received:				Date Rev	riewed:	
						Enter points in y	yellow column ↓
1)	Does the project addr	ess an action o	described	in the Wild	life Action I	Plan? (with page # identified)	
,		YES = Procee				,	
		NO = Propos	sal should	I not be con	sidered for	funding	YES / NO
2)	Will the project beneficially impact populations or habitats of NJ's species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) or fill data gaps for SGCN?						
	(no benefit)	1	2	3	4	5 (great benefit)	
3)	and/or result in a prod					uch as WAP, CHANJ, or other), future work?	
	(no complement)	1	2	3	4	5 (highly complements)	
4)	Does the project enga responsibility to active			icant way, r	aising awaı	reness of the public's	
	(no awareness)	1	2	3	4	5 (high awareness)	
5)	Are project activities v	vell-suited to a	chieving t	he stated g	oals and ol	ojectives?	
	(not well-suited)	1	2	3	4	5 (very well-suited)	
6)	Is the project a good f the organization?	it for the applic	cant/orgar	nization? D	oes it comp	plement existing programs within	
	(poor fit)	1	2	3	4	5 (excellent fit)	
7)	Does the applicant have relevant expertise/experience with the species, habitat, or activity proposed? If requesting a grant for educational materials or outreach products, did the applicant provide examples demonstrating the necessary skills?						
	(no experience)	1	2	3	4	5 (strong experience or skill)	
8)	How achievable are the (1 year timeline is required)		ctives giv	en the one-	year comp	letion requirement?	
	(unachievable)	1	2	3	4	5 (very achievable)	
9)	Does the project bring resources and interes		tional and	d nontradition	nal partnei	rs, capitalizing on shared	
	(no partnership)	1	2	3	4	5 (strong partnership)	
10)	Is the project respons	ive to regional	as well as	s local need	ls?		
	(not responsive)	1	2	3	4	5 (highly responsive)	
11)	Is this award providing critical funding to complete the project? (Intent of rule is to provide key match for projects)						
40\	(funds not critical)	1	2	3	4	5 (provides critical funds)	
12)	Does the project have letters of endorsemen					groups, etc., as evidenced by	
12)	(no support)	11	2	3	4	5 (strong support)	
13)	Has the applicant secured or designated the matching funds to cover at least 50% of the project cost, as required? (If match is met score 4; if match exceeded score 5. Monetary match representing at least 25% of the grantee's share must be identified.)						
	(match not met)	1	2	3	4	5 (match exceeded)	
	Total Poir						
						Score	e (%):

Recommendation of Committee Member: