**FINAL** 

# Appendix D – Cost For the Feasibility Study of Rebuild by Design Meadowlands Flood Protection Project

May 2021



Boroughs of Little Ferry, Moonachie, Carlstadt, and Teterboro and the Township of South Hackensack, Bergen County, New Jersey

REBUILD BY DESIGN M E A D O W L A N D S



Prepared by AECOM for the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Español 中文:繁體版 Việt-ngữ 한국어 Tagalog Português العربية Kreyòl ગુજરાતી Italiano Polski www.renewjerseystronger.org



# **Table of Contents**

1.0	In	troduct	tion	D-1
1.1		Evalua	ated Project Alternatives	D-1
	1.1.1	I S	Structural Flood Reduction - Alternative 1	D-1
	1.1.2	2 S	Stormwater Drainage Improvements - Alternative 2	D-1
	1.1.3	3 Н	Hybrid Plan - Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )	D-1
2.0	De	etailed	Cost Estimate	D-3
2.1		Quanti	tity Estimate	D-3
:	2.1.1	1 Q	Quantity development	D-3
	2.1.2	2 F	uel Rates	D-3
	2.1.3	3 P	Project Mark-ups:	D-3
2.2	2	Unit C	Cost	D-3
2.3	5	Other	Costs	D-3
2	2.3.1	1 La	ands and Damages	D-3
2	2.3.2	2 N	Aitigation:	D-3
:	2.3.3	3 Ir	nterior	D-4
:	2.3.4	4 C	Closure Gates	D-4
:	2.3.5	5 Р	Pre-construction Engineering & Design / Construction Administration:	D-4
:	2.3.6	6 C	Contingencies	D-4
:	2.3.7	7 Е	Escalation	D-4
2.4		First C	Cost	D-4
:	2.4.1	I S	Structural Flood Reduction - Alternative 1	D-4
:	2.4.2	2 S	Stormwater Drainage Improvements - Alternative 2	D-29
:	2.4.3	3 A	Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan	D-48
:	2.4.4	1 A	Alternative 3 Build Plan	D-54
2.5	5	Constr	ruction Cost	D-55
3.0	O	peratio	ons and Maintenance	D-68
3.1		Gener	ral	D-68
3.2	2	Emerg	gency Operations	D-68
3.3	5	Mainte	enance	D-69
:	3.3.1	1 F	-loodwall	D-69
:	3.3.2	2 E	Earthen Levee	D-69
:	3.3.3	3 P	Pump Station and Sector Gates	D-69
:	3.3.4	1 Ir	nterior Drainage Facilities	D-69

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

3.4	Operations & Maintenance (O&M)	CostsD-69
-----	--------------------------------	-----------

# List of Figures

Figure D-1 Location Map	D-2
-------------------------	-----

# List of Tables

Table D- 2: Alternative 1 – Single Sheet Pile Floodwall with Concrete Cap.       .8         Table D-4: Alternative 1 – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet 11         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – K-Town Park.       .12         Table D-6: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       .14         Table D-7: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       .14         Table D-8: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall.       .17         Table D-4: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park.       .18         Table D-1: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall.       .20         Table D-2: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       .25         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       .28         Table D-14: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains.       .30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements.       .33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park.       .36         Table D-2: Alternative 2 – Euroyiste Creek Park       .37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – Euroyiste Creek Park.       .38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Euroyiste Creek Park.       .38         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Noreside Park.       .39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Sini	Table D-1: Alternative 1 – Cantilevered Sheet Pile Floodwall with 16-foot Walkway	6
Table D-3: Alternative 1 – Fluvial Park       9         Table D-4: Alternative 1 – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet 11         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       14         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       14         Table D-6: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall.       17         Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park       18         Table D-10: Alternative 1 – DeClA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-12: Alternative 1 – BiculA Tide Gate Structures       23         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       36         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       36         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park       37         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park       38         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park       37         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park       40         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Willow La	Table D -2: Alternative 1 – Single Sheet Pile Floodwall with Concrete Cap	8
Table D-4: Alternative 1 – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet 11         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       14         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk).       15         Table D-5: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall.       17         Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park.       18         Table D-10: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park       18         Table D-2: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure       20         Table D-11: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-13: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains.       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements.       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park.       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park.       36         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Elveside Park.       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park.       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements.       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park.       45         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Soenet Craig Elementry School	Table D -3: Alternative 1 – Fluvial Park	9
Table D-5: Alternative 1 – K-Town Park       12         Table D-6: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk)	Table D-4: Alternative 1 – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet	t 11
Table D-6: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk)	Table D-5: Alternative 1 – K-Town Park	. 12
Table D-7: Alternative 1 – Riverside Park.       15         Table 2-8: Alternative 1 – Doreyster Creek Park       17         Table D-9: Alternative 1 – Doreyster Creek Park       18         Table D-10: Alternative 1 – BCIVA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-11: Alternative 1 – Drainage Outlet Structures       23         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park.       36         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park.       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       40         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       42         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Stoetstide Green Infrastructure.       47         Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Stoetstide Green Infrastructure.       47         Table D-26: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan).       49         Table D-26: Cost Sum	Table D-6: Alternative 1 - Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk)	. 14
Table 2-8: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall.       17         Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park       18         Table D-10: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall.       20         Table D-11: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Darinage Outlet Structures       23         Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Cacal Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caeser Place Park       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caeser Place Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Elvival Park       38         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Elvival Park       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School       44         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Storetside Green Infrastucture       47         Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture       47	Table D-7: Alternative 1 – Riverside Park	. 15
Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park       18         Table D-10: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall       20         Table D-12: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       33         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       36         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Elvierside Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Elvierside Park       40         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       43         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       46         Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       46         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       49         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       49 </td <td>Table 2-8: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall</td> <td>. 17</td>	Table 2-8: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall	. 17
Table D-10: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall.       20         Table D-11: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Drainage Outlet Structures.       23         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains.       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements.       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park.       36         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park.       36         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park.       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Elverside Park.       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements.       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements.       42         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park.       45         Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park.       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park.       46         Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan).       50	Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park	. 18
Table 2-11: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure       22         Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Darinage Outlet Structures       23         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       36         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       40         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       42         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Suint Joseph Park       46         Table D-27: Alternative 3 – Streetside Green Infrastucture       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) AP         Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) .49         Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan an	Table D-10: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall	.20
Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Drainage Outlet Structures       23         Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D -17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       39         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Eluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       40         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       43         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       43         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Subert Grae Infrastructure       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       49         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       50         Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster	Table 2-11: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure	.22
Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station       25         Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       40         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       42         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       46         Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Sinte Joseph Park       46         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       49         Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       50         Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) NePeyster Creek Park       51         Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Wil	Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Drainage Outlet Structures	. 23
Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising       28         Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains.       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D-17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park.       36         Table D-18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park       40         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       42         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.       47         Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Leo So       48         Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       50         Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park       51         Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Nillow Lake Park       52         Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future	Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station	. 25
Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains.       30         Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements.       33         Table D -17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park.       36         Table D -18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park.       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park.       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park.       39         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements.       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements.       43         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Sobert Craig Elementry School       44         Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.       47         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan).       49         Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan).       50         Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park.       51         Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park.       53         Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park.       54         Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternati	Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising	. 28
Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements       33         Table D -16: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park.       36         Table D -18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park       37         Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park       38         Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       39         Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park       40         Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements       42         Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements       43         Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School       44         Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park       45         Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Stretside Green Infrastructure       47         Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Stretside Green Infrastructure       47         Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       49         Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)       50         Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park       51         Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park       52         Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park       54         Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary	Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains	. 30
Table D -17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park	Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements	. 33
Table D -18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park37Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park38Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park39Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park40Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements42Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements42Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park45Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)50Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Niverside Park52Table D-32: Atternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Niverside Park54Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary58Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan - Cost Summary66Table D-37: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary68Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative	Table D -17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park	. 36
Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park38Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park39Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park40Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements42Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements43Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Nilow Lake Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary56Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary56Table D-37: Alternative 3 ( <i>Bui</i>	Table D -18: Alternative 2 – Caesar Place Park	. 37
Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park39Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park40Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements42Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements43Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Nillow Lake Park52Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary63Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i>	Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park	. 38
Table D-21: Alternative 2 - Riverside Park40Table D-22: Alternative 2 - Little Ferry Municipal Improvements42Table D-23: Alternative 2 - Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements43Table D-24: Alternative 2 - Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 - Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 - Willow Lake Park46Table D-27: Alternative 2 - Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)50Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Neiverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Neiverside Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction - Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements - Alternative 2 Cost Summary56Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) - Cost Summary56Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild Plan <tr< td=""><td>Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park</td><td>. 39</td></tr<>	Table D-20: Alternative 2 – Fluvial Park	. 39
Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements42Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements43Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)50Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Neiverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary56Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan – Cost Summary61Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42	Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park	. 40
Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements43Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Nillow Lake Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73	Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements	.42
Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School44Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park45Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park46Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Riverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-37: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary61Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i>	Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements	.43
Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park	Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School	.44
Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park	Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park	.45
Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture.47Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park.Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Riverside ParkTable D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake ParkTable D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake ParkTable D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost SummaryTable D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost SummaryTable D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary63Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 373Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 37475	Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park	.46
Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )49Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek ParkTable D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Riverside ParkTable D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake ParkTable D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake ParkTable D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost SummaryTable D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost SummaryTable D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost SummaryTable D-37: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost SummaryCost SummaryTable D-38: General O&M AssumptionsTable D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 1Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build Plan Plus Future PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3Build Plan Plus Future Pla	Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture	. 47
Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> )50Table D-30: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) DePeyster Creek Park51Table D-31: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Riverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> and <i>Future Plan</i> ) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> ) - Riverside Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 ( <i>Build Plan</i> Plus <i>Future Plan</i> ) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> 73Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> 73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 <i>Build Plan</i> 73	Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) .	.49
Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park.51Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Riverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 373Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 373Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 373Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 373	Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) .	. 50
Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Riverside Park52Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 38uild PlanTable D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 371	Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park	. 51
Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park53Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary61Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 380Build Plan75	Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Riverside Park	. 52
Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park.54Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan71Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan75	Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park	. 53
Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary56Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan71Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73	Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park	. 54
Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary58Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73	Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary	. 56
Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary61Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73	Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary	. 58
Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary65Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions68Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 170Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 271Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan75	Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary	. 61
Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions	Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary	.65
Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 1	Table D-38: General O&M Assumptions	. 68
Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 2	Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 1	.70
Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan73Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan75	Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 2	.71
Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan	Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan	.73
	Table D-42: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan	.75



# Acronyms and Abbreviations

BCUA	Bergen County Utilities Authority
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	Cubic feet per second
HTRW	Hazardous, Toxic, Radioactive Waste
HUD	Department of Housing and Urban Development
LOP	Line of Protection
NAVD 88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OMRRR	Operation, Maintenance, Rehabilitation, Repair, and Replacement
PED	Pre-construction, Engineering, and Design
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers



This Page has been Intentionally Left Blank.

# 1.0 Introduction

This appendix outlines the cost of constructing the alternatives evaluated during the Feasibility Study of the Rebuild by Design Meadowlands Flood Protection Project (the Proposed Project). Funding for design and construction of the Proposed Project would be paid for by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The 5,405-acre Project Area is bounded by the Hackensack River to the east; Paterson Plank Road (State Route 120) to the south; State Route 17 to the west; Interstate 80 to the north and includes the Boroughs of Little Ferry, Moonachie, Carlstadt, and Teterboro, as well as the Township of South Hackensack, all located in Bergen County, NJ (**Figure D-1**).

# 1.1 Evaluated Project Alternatives

# 1.1.1 Structural Flood Reduction - Alternative 1

Alternative 1 (see **Section 6.0** in the Feasibility Study Report) would analyze various structural, infrastructure-based solutions that would be constructed to provide protection from coastal storm surges. Under Alternative 1, a Line of Protection (LOP) would be constructed using of a range of grey infrastructure, including floodwalls, levees, berms, a tide gate and eight closure gates, and a surge barrier and pump station, designed to provide flood protection up to an elevation of 7 feet (North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)). In addition to flood reduction infrastructure, this alternative would integrate open space features and green infrastructure into the design. This alternative would protect the Project Area from coastal flooding; however, chronic inland flooding from heavy or frequent precipitation events would continue to adversely affect the Project Area.

# 1.1.2 Stormwater Drainage Improvements - Alternative 2

Alternative 2 (see **Section 7.0** in the Feasibility Study Report) would include various grey and green infrastructure-based solutions, as well as new parks and improved open spaces, intended to improve stormwater management in key locations throughout the Project Area. Under Alternative 2, stormwater management would be improved through the installation of 41 green infrastructure systems (bioswales, storage/tree trenches, and rain gardens) along roadways, five new parks, improvements to five existing open spaces/public amenities, three new pump stations, two new force mains, and dredging of the lower reach of East Riser Ditch. This alternative would reduce chronic inland flooding from heavy or frequent precipitation events up to approximately the 100-year storm, but coastal flooding would continue to adversely affect the Project Area.

# 1.1.3 Hybrid Plan - Alternative 3 (*Build Plan* and *Future Plan*)

Alternative 3 (see **Section 8.0** in the Feasibility Study Report) would consist of a hybrid of coastal flood protection and stormwater drainage improvements. To achieve this, the majority of both Alternatives 1 and 2 would be implemented. However, due to funding and construction constraints associated with a project of this magnitude, the Alternative 3 features would be separated into two stages: a *Build Plan*, which includes all features to be constructed as part of the Proposed Project, and a *Future Plan*, which includes the remaining features that could be constructed over time by others as funding sources become available and construction feasibility permits. The Alternative 3 *Build Plan* would consist of all of the Alternative 2 components, with the exceptions of two new parks and a pump station and force main in Losen Slote. Additionally, the proposed improvements proposed for one of the parks under Alternative 2 would be altered under the Alternative 3 *Build Plan*. The Alternative 3 *Future Plan* would consist of all of the remaining features from Alternative 2, as well as all of the features from Alternative 1..



Figure D-1: Location Map

# 2.0 Detailed Cost Estimate

# 2.1 Quantity Estimate

For the detailed cost estimates, Proposed Project quantities were developed utilizing Microsoft Excel spreadsheets, along with assumptions based upon engineering experience such as floodwall reinforcing (80 pounds/cubic yard). The cost estimate was compiled using Microsoft Excel.

# 2.1.1 Quantity development

Quantity take-offs were performed for levee, floodwall, outlet structures, flap and tides gates, walkways, and open space areas.

The assumption that excess soil generated by the construction would be classified as non-hazardous ID27 solid waste and transported/disposed offsite at a cost of \$85 per ton was used. The weight of the excavated material was conservatively estimated to be 2 tons per cubic yard. These costs may be reduced through a reduction in required disposal volume and/or identification of beneficial reuse of excavated materials.

Hazardous, Toxic, Radioactive Waste (HTRW) remediation was excluded from the estimate. If an isolated hot spot of HTRW is encountered, it can be addressed through contingency, implementing measures to reduce volume or possibly avoiding the area through relocation of planned Proposed Project features, such as rerouting of piping. If significant "hot spots" are encountered, there would be modifications to the design to minimize the need to remove HTRW material.

# 2.1.2 Fuel Rates

Fuel rates were not considered in the cost estimate.

# 2.1.3 Project Mark-ups:

Proposed Project mark-up, such as sales taxes, were not considered in the cost estimate.

# 2.2 Unit Cost

Unit Costs for the Proposed Project were developed primarily using RS Means 2017 price level for labor, material, and equipment with adjustment to local area cost using RS Means Area Factor of 114.4 for Hackensack, New Jersey. Additional costs were determined based upon quotes, allowances, and cost curves for undersigned items and engineering judgement.

# 2.3 Other Costs

# 2.3.1 Lands and Damages

For the purposes of the cost estimate, three types of easements were assumed to be required for the Proposed Project:

- Flood Protection Easements would be in locations where the construction, operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of a LOP and equipment would be required;
- Drainage Easements would be needed at locations where a culvert/channel has been proposed; and
- Temporary Easements would allow access to the proposed construction.

# 2.3.2 Mitigation:

Mitigation costs were assumed for construction of wetlands to mitigate unavoidable impacts to existing wetlands that will not be offset by project features.

# Appendix D

### 2.3.3 Interior

Due to limited design information on the pump stations, their respective construction costs were developed using cost-curves developed from pump station construction costs from similar sized projects to create a cost versus pump station size curve.

# 2.3.4 Closure Gates

### 2.3.4.1 Roller Gate

Roller gates were assumed to be structural steel, supported by a reinforced concrete monolith constructed on piles. Costs were derived from cost estimates prepared for roller gates ranging from 20 feet to 30 feet in width and between 2 feet to 9 feet in height. The four roller gates proposed range in height from 2 feet to 4 feet and have a width of between 25 feet and 30 feet. See Drawing Sheet CH-404 in Subappendix F4-1.

#### 2.3.4.2 Swing Gate

Swing gates were also assumed to be structural steel, supported by a reinforced concrete pile foundation. Costs were derived from estimates prepared for gates ranging from 25 feet to 60 feet in width and between 2 feet to 8 feet in height. The two swing gates proposed range in height from 3 feet to 4 feet and have a width of between 25 to 30 feet. See Drawing Sheet CH-403 in Subappendix F4-1.

### 2.3.4.3 Stop Log Gate

No details, quantity, or cost estimates were prepared for the proposed aluminum stop log closure gates. An allowance of \$100,000 was assumed for each of the 10 feet wide, 2 feet to 3 feet wide gates. See Drawing Sheet CH-402 in Subappendix F4-1.

# 2.3.5 Pre-construction Engineering & Design / Construction Administration:

Pre-construction, Engineering, and Design (PED) is 12 percent.

The construction administration of 4.3 percent was used for the cost estimation.

#### 2.3.6 Contingencies

The contingency of 25 percent was used for the cost estimation.

# 2.3.7 Escalation

Computation was based on an Escalation to the construction mid-point in the year 2021, at 3.5 percent per year compounded.

#### 2.4 First Cost

The components and cost of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 are presented in **Section 2.4.1** through **Section 2.4.4**. Alternative 3 includes components and costs for the *Build Plan* plus the *Future Plan*, and for the *Build Plan* by itself. The cost summaries of these features exclude the General Requirements, Contingencies, and Escalation.

#### 2.4.1 Structural Flood Reduction - Alternative 1

#### 2.4.1.1 Line of Protection

The Alternative 1 LOP would be approximately five miles long including one mile of high ground and incorporates four new park areas. The eight major features which make up the proposed LOP including

tide gates and drainage structures. For associated drawings refer to the Alternative 1 Plan Set included in the Feasibility Study Report.

# • Cantilevered Sheet Pile Floodwall with 16-foot Walkway

This first segment of the LOP would begin approximately 1,000 linear feet south of Route 80 at Riverfront Shopping Center in the City of Hackensack and would be a cantilever sheet pile floodwall and 16 foot walkway that would extend approximately 3,877 feet to the south along the west bank of the Hackensack River through industrial and residential areas to an unnamed ditch (see Drawing Sheets CH-101 through CH-104 and LH-105).

The proposed walkway would provide a continuous 4 feet strip of planting along the back of the flood protection structure, and a 12 feet wide concrete pedestrian and emergency vehicle path in front. Amenities within the planting zone would include native grass, perennial and shrub plantings, and freestanding benches with intermittent canopies. A 7 feet high freestanding vertical trellis fence at the back edge of the structure would provide separation between the public realm and adjacent properties. The 12 feet wide pedestrian and emergency vehicle path would be free and clear of obstruction, other than a 3.5 feet walkway railing along the river edge of the structure (see Drawing Sheets S-406 and S-407 for details of the cantilever sheet pile floodwall). As shown in **Table D-1**, the cost of constructing the cantilever sheet pile floodwall would be approximately \$15,383,200. The primary cost associated with this feature would be the installation of nearly 194,000 square feet of sheet pile at a cost of approximately \$7,560,000.

### • Single Sheet Pile Floodwall with Concrete Cap

The single sheet pile-floodwall would begin where the sheet pile floodwall ends and would follow the northern edge of the unnamed ditch for approximately 561 feet (with an average height 2 feet) to high ground (see Drawing Sheet LH-105). As shown in **Table D-2**, the cost of constructing the single sheet pile floodwall would be approximately \$546,400.

# • Fluvial Park

On the south side of the unnamed ditch, the creation of a new 3.8 acre park, which includes an upland area that acts as the LOP and a wetland that is integrated into a park setting, is proposed. The upland portion of the proposed park would contain a seating plaza/performance space (approximately 0.8 acre) and upland plantings (approximately 0.7 acre), while the portion of the park water ward of the LOP would include approximately 1.1 acres of newly created wetlands and 0.4 acre of riparian plantings. Additionally, a concrete path in the upland portion of the park and an elevated walkway through the wetlands and riparian areas would connect to access paths along the LOP on both sides of the park (see Drawing Sheets LH-105 and LH-107). The permanent easement required for this park would vary between 100 and 550 feet in width; the temporary easement would vary between 240 and 560 feet in width. As shown in **Table D** -3, the cost of constructing the proposed Fluvial Park is approximately \$11,165,400. The primary cost of this feature would be the elevated walkway and proposed bridge connection to the northeast alignment, which is approximately \$4,600,000.

Table D-1: Alternative 1 – Cantilevered Sheet Pile	e Floodwall with 16-foot Walkway
--	----------------------------------

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Cantilevered Sheet Pile Floodwall with 16-foot Walkwav <sup>1</sup>						
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost		
Clearing and Grubbing	Acre	\$8,179.60	1.60	\$13,087		
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	3,877	\$8,684		
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$11.21	3,696	\$41,432		
Stripping	CY	\$12.24	1,251	\$15,312		
Excavation (cuts along river bank)	CY	\$11.50	5,506	\$63,319		
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	13,514	\$1,148,690		
Purchase/Drive/Cut- off AZ-13 Sheetpile @ 50' Long	SF	\$39.00	193,850	\$7,560,150		
Backfill (behind floodwall)	CY	\$17.33	4,281	\$74,190		
Precast Concrete "Eco-Panels"	SF	\$28.60	35,862	\$1,025,653		
Riprap	CY	\$74.36	1,939	\$144,184		
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	1,508	\$60,320		
Drainage Ditch Concrete Lining	SY	\$150.00	3,015	\$452,250		
Pavement Subbase, 6" thick	SY	\$4.90	4,308	\$21,109		
Asphalt Pavement, 3" thick	SY	\$17.16	4,308	\$73,925		
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Formwork	SF	\$19.73	13,570	\$267,736		
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Rebar	LB	\$2.00	236,850	\$473,700		
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Furnish & Place Concrete	CY	\$209.92	1,579	\$331,464		
SPECIALTY FINISH 1-BROOM FINISH	SF	\$0.98	8,527	\$8,356		
SPECIALTY FINISH 2-MASKED SANDBLAST	SF	\$7.21	4,263	\$30,736		
RESIN BOUND AGGREGATE AT CANOPIES AND BENCHES	SF	\$15.44	843	\$13,016		
CANOPY	SF	\$175.00	256	\$44,800		





ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Cantilevered Sheet Pile Floodwall with 16-foot							
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost			
STEEL GUARDRAIL AT RIVER	LF	\$200.00	3,877	\$775,400			
GREENSCREEN FREESTANDING TRELLIS FENCE	LF	\$97.00	3,877	\$376,069			
GATES WITHIN TRELLIS FENCE	EA	\$750.00	4	\$3,000			
GABION (NON STANDARD SIZE) 1	CY	\$204.78	23	\$4,710			
GABION (NON STANDARD SIZE) 2	CY	\$204.78	25	\$5,120			
GABION BENCH TOP	EA	\$500.00	27	\$13,500			
CONCRETE RAMP	SY	\$124.79	85	\$10,607			
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE - MARINE GRADE POWDER COAT	EA	\$4,000.00	65	\$260,000			
PLANTING SOIL	CY	\$75.00	18,000	\$1,350,000			
PRECAST CONCRETE PLANTER, 3'-4" x 6' x 4' D	EA	\$2,087.80	185	\$386,243			
1.5 CAL SHRUBS	EA	\$577.72	185	\$106,878			
1 GALLON PLANTS	EA	\$25.17	5,149	\$129,600			
WETLAND PLANTS - LP50 PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	20,444	\$89,954			
Α	Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup> \$15,383,200						
Subtotal befor	\$15,383,200						

1. The cantilevered sheet pile floodwall with 16-foot walkway is proposed to be located from Station 0+00 to Station 38+77.

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Single Sheet Pile Floodwall with Concrete Cap <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Clearing and Grubbing	AC	\$8,179.60	0.11	\$900
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	493	\$1,104
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$11.21	274	\$3,072
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	73	\$840
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	146	\$12,410
AZ-12 Sheet Pile	SF	\$38.00	7,398	\$281,124
Structural Concrete - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	5,916	\$69,690
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete	LBS	\$2.00	32,879	\$65,758
Structural Concrete - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	219	\$45,972
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	192	\$7,680
Drainage Ditch Concrete Lining	SY	\$150.00	383	\$57,450
Pavement Subbase, 6" thick	SY	\$0.00	274	\$0
Asphalt Pavement, 3" thick	SY	\$0.00	274	\$0
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	5	\$358
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$546,400
Subtotal before General Re	\$546,400			

# Table D -2: Alternative 1 – Single Sheet Pile Floodwall with Concrete Cap

1. The single sheet pile floodwall with concrete cap is proposed to be located from Station 38+77 to Station 44+27.





ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Fluvial Park <sup>1</sup>					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
	EARTHWO	RK	-	-	
FILL	CY	\$37.59	6,674	\$250,876	
EXCAVATION	CY	\$11.50	6,479	\$74,509	
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	12,958	\$1,101,430	
	STRUCTUR	RE			
BRIDGE TO NE ALIGN	SF	\$275.00	1,500	\$412,500	
ELEVATED WALKWAYS AND BRIDGE TO NE ALIGN	SF	\$200.00	20,900	\$4,180,000	
	PAVEMEN	Т			
RUBBERIZED ACTIVITY/PLAY SURFACE	SF	\$21.00	8,000	\$168,000	
WOOD DECK ON GRADE	SF	\$180.00	18,300	\$3,294,000	
SYNTHETIC LAWN	SF	\$24.50	6,000	\$147,000	
CONCRETE PAVEMENT	SF	\$13.87	21,000	\$291,270	
U	PLAND PLANTIN	IG AREA			
TREES (2.5-3" cal)	EA	\$400.40	75	\$30,030	
SHRUBS (#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	645	\$28,780	
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	9,822	\$247,220	
LAWN, SEEDED	SF	\$0.07	14,000	\$980	
R	PARIAN PLANTI	NG AREA			
SHRUBS (#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	182	\$8,121	
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	11,550	\$290,714	
W	ETLAND PLANTI	NG AREA			
WETLAND HERBACEOUS (LP50 PLUGS)	EA	\$4.40	20,444	\$89,954	
FURNISHINGS					
PLAYGROUND EQUIP	SF	\$17.50	8,000	\$140,000	
OUTDOOR EXERCISE EQUIP	SF	\$17.50	8,000	\$140,000	
SEATING	LF	\$375.00	400	\$150,000	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	24	\$120,000	
Area Subtotals –	Total Construction	on Cost <sup>2</sup>		\$11,165,400	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation         \$11,165,400					

# Table D -3: Alternative 1 – Fluvial Park

1. Fluvial Park is proposed to be located from Station 56+52 to Station 65+63.

# • Sheet Pile Floodwall with and 10-foot Cantilevered Walkway and Transition Area

At the southern limit of the proposed Fluvial Park, a 540 feet long cantilever sheet pile floodwall and 10foot wide walkway (which would transition to 25 feet wide beginning at the proposed boat dock and kayak launch via a 271 feet long transition area) is proposed. The sheet pile (see Drawing Sheet LH-107 and CH-108 would be placed a minimum of 10 feet from the existing buildings to minimize structural impact on adjacent property. As shown in **Table D-4**, the cost of constructing this segment of floodwall and walkway would be approximately \$2,679,100.

# • K–Town Park

Located behind the walkway transition area, the proposed K-Town Park (see Drawing Sheet CH-108) would be approximately 1.4 acres and serves as the northern anchor for the cantilever system. The park would utilize vacant waterfront property to provide active recreation space that could be used for formal or informal pick-up games. As shown in **Table D-5**, the cost of constructing K-Town Park would be approximately \$886,400.

# • Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot Cantilever Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk)

The cantilever riverwalk begins at the south end of the proposed K-Town Park. The proposed cantilever riverwalk is an elevated 25 feet wide cantilever sheet pile floodwall (see Drawing Sheets CH-107 and CH-108) that sits on top of a concrete floodwall. This segment would be approximately 1,024 feet long and between 1 foot and 4 feet high. The proposed structure would utilize a concrete encased sheet pile wall as a means of flood-protection (flood side) with a retaining wall on the protected side. A concrete slab between the sheet pile wall and retaining wall would form the concrete walkway.

This plan would include pedestrian access to the K-Town industrial property; permanent easement of between 40 feet and 55 feet in width; temporary easement between 50 feet and 65 feet in width; and a proposed boat dock/kayak launch that would be built in the Hackensack River to allow recreational access to the water. As shown in **Table D-6**, the cost of constructing the 25-foot wide cantilever walkway would be approximately \$4,447,700. The primary cost associated with this feature would be the installation of nearly 36,200 square feet of sheet pile at a cost of approximately \$1,375,000.

# • Riverside Park

Riverside Park (see Drawing Sheet C-109) would be constructed at the southern end of the proposed cantilever located along Riverside Avenue and Washington Avenue. This approximately 2.2-acre park would be the southern anchor of the cantilever system, which would incorporate passive recreational space that could include bocce ball, volleyball, and badminton courts, with sculptural landforms that would allow for remarkable views of the Hackensack River. A network of public access pathways lined with native plantings would connect with various entrance points along the cantilever system. As shown in **Table D-7**, the cost of constructing Riverside Park would be approximately \$1,003,700.





# Table D-4: Alternative 1 – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Sheet Pile Floodwall with 10-foot Cantilever Walkway & Transition to 25-feet <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
AZ-26 Sheet Pile	SF	\$46.00	37,753	\$1,736,638
AZ-12 Sheet Pile	SF	\$38.00	985	\$37,430
HP14x73 Bearing Pile	LF	\$75.00	-	\$0
W10x30 Wales	LF	\$35.00	1,079	\$37,765
C3x6 Struts	LF	\$15.00	450	\$6,750
Temporary Sheeting	SF	\$30.89	-	\$0
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	207	\$2,381
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	414	\$35,190
Structural Concrete Encasement - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	10,361	\$122,052
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete Encasement	LBS	\$2.00	54,300	\$108,600
Structural Concrete Encasement- Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	362	\$75,991
Structural Concrete Footing & Wall - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	418	\$4,919
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete Footing & Wall	LBS	\$2.00	2,550	\$5,100
Structural Concrete Footing & Wall - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	17	\$3,569
Sand Fill for Cell Interior	CY	\$38.32	521	\$19,965
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Formwork	SF	\$19.73	1,144	\$22,571
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Rebar	LB	\$2.00	28,650	\$57,300
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Furnish & Place Concrete	CY	\$209.92	191	\$40,095
STEEL GUARDRAILS	LF	\$200.00	1,144	\$228,800
Riprap	CY	\$74.36	286	\$21,267
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	223	\$8,920
Drainage Ditch Concrete Lining	SY	\$150.00	445	\$66,750
Pavement Subbase, 6" thick	SY	\$8.39	4,344	\$36,446
Asphalt Pavement, 3" thick	SY	\$0.00	4,344	\$0
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	8	\$572
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$2,679,100
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				

1. The sheet pile floodwall with 10-foot cantilever walkway and transition to 25-feet is proposed to be located from Station 67+05 to Station 72+78.



ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – K-Town Park <sup>1</sup>					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CANT	ILEVER WALWA	Y 25' W (CW25)	·		
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	960	\$22,656	
CUSTOM CONCRETE BENCHES	LF	\$150.00	39	\$5,850	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	2	\$10,000	
CANTI	LEVER WALKWA	Y 10' W (CW10)			
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	686	\$16,190	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	1	\$5,000	
CANTILE	ER WALKWAY	RANSITION (C	WT)		
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	870	\$20,532	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	1	\$5,000	
DOG	CK STRUCTURE	AT EL 5 (DS)			
Sustainable hardwood deck on Steel frame structure	SF	\$180.00	1,287	\$231,660	
DE	CK WALKWAY A	T EL 8 (DW)			
Sustainable hardwood deck on Steel frame structure	SF	\$180.00	1,367	\$246,060	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	203	\$40,600	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	1	\$5,000	
CONCR	ETE PEDESTRIA	N RAMP 1 (CR1	I)		
RAMP	SY	\$124.79	21	\$2,621	
LANDING 1	SY	\$124.79	24	\$2,995	
LANDING 2	SY	\$124.79	17	\$2,121	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	53	\$10,600	
CONCR	ETE PEDESTRIA	N RAMP 2 (CR2	2)		
RAMP	SY	\$124.79	32	\$3,993	
LANDING	SY	\$124.79	17	\$2,121	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	73	\$14,600	
	CONCRETE STE	EPS (CS)	1		
CONCRETE STAIRS	SY	\$250.00	20	\$5,000	
LANDING	SY	\$124.79	8	\$998	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	30	\$6,000	
CON	CRETE VEHICLE	RAMP (CRV)	1		
RAMP	SY	\$124.79	53	\$6,614	
LANDING 1	SY	\$124.79	38	\$4,742	
LANDING 2	SY	\$124.79	18	\$2,246	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	82	\$16,400	
CONCRETE BOAT LANDING RAMP (CBL)					
KAMP (pricing includes allowance for cofferdam & pumping)	SY	\$500.00	108	\$54,000	
LANDING	SY	\$124.79	49	\$6,115	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	72	\$14,400	
ADD	ITIONAL VEHICL	E ZONE (AV)	1 1		
CONCRETE ON GRADE	SY	\$124.79	333	\$41,555	

# Table D-5: Alternative 1 – K-Town Park



ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – K-Town Park <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
	PLANTS (CANTI	LEVER)	-	
PLANTERS (25'Lx4'Wx3'H custom concrete planter)	EA	\$12,000.00	3	\$36,000
SHRUBS (#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	27	\$1,205
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	55	\$1,384
YARD DRAIN	EA	\$600.00	3	\$1,800
PL	ANTS (ON GRAD	E BUFFER)		
TREES (2.5-3" cal)	EA	\$400.40	10	\$4,004
SHRUBS (#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	90	\$4,016
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	1,284	\$32,318
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$886,400
Subtotal before General Requ	uirements Contin	gency and Esca	alation	\$886,400

1. The proposed K-Town Park location is not applicable to specific Station(s).

# Table D-6: Alternative 1 – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk)

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Concrete Floodwall and 25-foot wide Walkway (Cantilever Riverwalk) <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
AZ-26 Sheet Pile	SF	\$46.00	-	\$0
AZ-12 Sheet Pile	SF	\$38.00	36,176	\$1,374,688
HP14x73 Bearing Pile	LF	\$75.00	1,440	\$108,000
W10x30 Wales	LF	\$35.00	-	\$0
C3x6 Struts	LF	\$15.00	-	\$0
Temporary Sheeting	SF	\$30.89	4,900	\$151,361
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	2,242	\$25,783
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	4,484	\$381,140
Structural Concrete Encasement - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	8,438	\$99,395
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete Encasement	LBS	\$2.00	55,500	\$111,000
Structural Concrete Encasement- Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	370	\$77,670
Structural Concrete Footing & Wall - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	17,530	\$206,501
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete Footing & Wall	LBS	\$2.00	115,650	\$231,300
Structural Concrete Footing & Wall - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	771	\$161,848
Sand Fill for Cell Interior	CY	\$38.32	4,347	\$166,577
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Formwork	SF	\$19.73	4,632	\$91,381
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Rebar	LB	\$2.00	155,700	\$311,400
CIP CONCRETE CAP- Furnish & Place Concrete	CY	\$209.92	1,038	\$217,897
STEEL GUARDRAILS	LF	\$200.00	2,524	\$504,800
Riprap	CY	\$74.36	631	\$46,921
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	491	\$19,640
Drainage Ditch Concrete Lining	SY	\$150.00	982	\$147,300
Pavement Subbase, 6" thick	SY	\$8.39	1,403	\$11,771
Asphalt Pavement, 3" thick	SY	\$0.00	1,403	\$0
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	18	\$1,287
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$4,447,700
Subtotal before General Req	uirements Contin	gency and Esc	alation	\$4,447,700

1. The cantilever riverwalk is proposed to be located from Station 72+78 to Station 85+40.





ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Riverside Park <sup>1</sup>					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
LANDFORM					
IMPORTED FILL	CY	\$37.59	1,750	\$65,783	
	STRUCTU	RE			
RAMP	SY	\$124.79	26	\$3,245	
CONCRETE STAIRS	SY	\$250.00	25	\$6,250	
	PAVEMEN	Т			
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	10,100	\$238,360	
RESIN BOUND AGGREGATE	SF	\$15.44	1,600	\$24,704	
PLAY SURFACE	SF	\$21.00	2,000	\$42,000	
	FURNISHIN	GS			
CONCRETE SEAT WALL	LF	\$150.00	200	\$30,000	
WOOD SLAT SEAT TOP AT CONCRETE SEAT WALL	LF	\$200.00	80	\$16,000	
LIGHTING FIXTURE/POLE	EA	\$5,000.00	18	\$90,000	
GUARDRAIL	LF	\$200.00	30	\$6,000	
BOCCE BALL COURTS	SF	\$28.00	5,200	\$145,600	
PLAY EQUIPMENT	EA	\$75,000.00	1	\$75,000	
PL	ANTS (FOR ALL	SECTIONS)			
TREES (2.5-3" cal)	EA	\$400.40	22	\$8,809	
TREES (BOCCE BOSQUE, 2" cal)	EA	\$377.52	40	\$15,101	
SHRUBS(#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	200	\$8,924	
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	9,000	\$226,530	
LAWN, SEEDED	SF	\$0.07	20,000	\$ 1,400	
Area Subtotals -	- Total Construct	ion Cost <sup>2</sup>		\$1,003,700	
Subtotal before General Requ	uirements Contin	gency and Esca	alation	\$1,003,700	

#### Table D-7: Alternative 1 – Riverside Park

1. The proposed Riverside Park location is not applicable to specific Station(s).

# • Concrete Floodwall (T-Wall)

South of the cantilever riverwalk, the LOP would transition to a concrete floodwall (T-Wall) that would be constructed primarily following the Hackensack River until reaching high ground approximately 300 feet upstream of DePeyster Creek. This portion of the LOP would be approximately 2,168 feet long and include two stop log gates and a roller gate (see Drawing Sheets CH-109 through CH-112). Another section of floodwall (see Drawing Sheet CH-112) would be constructed on both sides of the existing tide gate located at DePeyster Creek. The approximate length of floodwall in this section would be 310 feet and the height would range from one to five feet above existing grade. As shown in **Table 2-8**, the cost of constructing these segments of floodwall would be approximately \$3,321,900. The primary cost associated with this feature would be the disposal of nearly 16,530 cubic yards of regulated soil at a cost of approximately \$1,405,000.





ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Concrete T-Wall <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
CAST-IN-PLA	CE CONCRETE V	VALLS		\$2,849,367
Clearing and Grubbing	Acre	\$8,179.60	2	\$16,359
Sidewalk Repair (at 4-ft wide)	LF	\$27.36		\$0
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	4,955	\$11,099
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$11.21	60	\$673
Stripping	CY	\$12.24	689	\$8,433
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	6,199	\$71,289
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	13,776	\$1,170,960
Mud Section	CY	\$514.80	153	\$78,764
Structural Concrete - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	1,026	\$215,378
Structural Concrete - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	26,587	\$313,195
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete	LBS	\$2.00	82,042	\$164,084
Anti-Graffiti Coating	SF	\$3.23	23,616	\$76,280
Structural Backfill	CY	\$17.33	2,727	\$47,259
Filter Fabric	SY	\$2.69	2,610	\$7,021
6" thick Articulated Concrete Block	SY	\$115.00	2,610	\$300,150
Stripping/Excavation	CY	\$12.24	1,377	\$16,854
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	2,754	\$234,090
12" Stone Bedding	CY	\$46.54	389	\$18,104
Top Soil (6" thick)	SY	\$7.38	12,390	\$91,438
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	111	\$7,937
E) Stop Log Gate Station 90+53	LS	\$100,000.00	1	\$100,000
F) Stop Log Gate Station 96+31	LS	\$100,000.00	1	\$100,000
G) Roller Gate Station 103+55	LS	\$272,557.00	1	\$272,557
Area Subtotals -	- Total Construct	ion Cost <sup>2</sup>		\$3,321,900
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$3,321,900

# Table 2-8: Alternative 1 – Concrete T-Wall

1. The concrete T-wall is proposed to be located from Station 85+40 to Station 125+40.

# • DePeyster Creek Park

The proposed 0.6-acre DePeyster Creek Park (see Drawing Sheet CH-113) would be located immediately west of the existing DePeyster Creek tide gate. This park would comprise an isolated component of the LOP, as it would tie into existing high ground on either side along DePeyster Creek. The proposed park would incorporate passive recreational space that could include open lawn, picnic tables, chairs, and a birdwatching platform. A new drainage swale would surround the park on the northern and western sides, and the proposed floodwall ranging from 1.6 feet to 3 feet in height would surround the park on the southern and eastern sides. The proposed floodwall would tie into the existing tide gate to the north and into existing high ground to the south. As shown in **Table D-9**, the cost of constructing DePeyster Creek Park would be approximately \$338,800.

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – DePeyster Creek Park <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
IMPORTED FILL	CY	\$37.59	20	\$752
	PAVEMEN	IT		
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	10,100	\$238,360
	FURNISHIN	GS		
WOOD PICNIC TABLES	EA	\$2,500.00	8	\$20,000
PARK GAME TABLES	EA	\$4,000.00	8	\$32,000
PL	ANTS (ON GRAD	E BUFFER)		
TREES (2.5-3" cal)	EA	\$400.40	19	\$7,608
SHRUBS(#5 CONT.)	EA	\$44.62	166	\$7,407
GRASSES AND HERBACEOUS (1 GAL)	EA	\$25.17	1,284	\$32,318
LAWN, SEEDED	SF	\$0.07	4,500	\$315
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$338,800
Subtotal before General Requ	uirements Contin	gency and Esca	alation	\$338,800

### Table D-9: Alternative 1 – DePeyster Creek Park

1. The proposed DePeyster Creek Park location is not applicable to specific Station(s).

### • Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall

The final section of the LOP (see Drawing Sheets CH-114 through CH-124) would begin approximately 500 linear feet downstream of DePeyster Creek where it would follow the Hackensack River south to high ground at Utility Road. From Utility Road the LOP would follow existing trails, infrastructure, and wetlands up to Commercial Boulevard where it would run adjacent to the south side of Commercial Boulevard to Moonachie Creek. This section would include 10,989 linear feet of single sheet that would range in height from 1 foot to 5 feet and 1,989 linear feet of double sheet pile floodwall that would rang in height from 5.5 feet to 7 feet. It would tie into the proposed Bergen County Utilities Authority (BCUA) tide gate, existing Losen Slote Creek tide gate, and proposed flap gate. This segment of the LOP would also include two roller gates and a swing gate. The closure gates would remain open under normal circumstances, but would be closed prior to flood events to seal the LOP. As shown in **Table D-10**, the cost of constructing the single and double sheet pile wall would be approximately \$17,601,500. This cost does not include replacement of the BCUA tide gate, nor the proposed outlet structures.

### • BCUA Tide Gate Structure

Based on the known geology of the Project Area, it was assumed that the proposed BCUA tide gate would be constructed on a pile foundation. As shown in **Table 2-11**, the cost of constructing the BCUA tide gate would be approximately \$1,689,800.

### • Drainage Outlet Structures

Drainage outlet structure quantities were determined based on the height of the proposed structure (LOP minus interior elevation plus 4 feet below grade) assuming a two-foot diameter pipe utilizing the details shown on Drawing Sheet CH-401. The cost of constructing 53 drainage structures would be approximately \$6,489,700, as shown in **Table D-12**.

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall <sup>1</sup>				odwall <sup>1</sup>
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
SHEET PILE I -	WALL W/CONC	RETE CAP		\$8,834,042
Clearing and Grubbing	AC	\$8,179.60	6	\$49,078
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	12,500	\$28,000
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$11.21	100	\$1,121
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	300	\$3,450
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	600	\$51,000
AZ-12 Sheet Pile	SF	\$38.00	143,860	\$5,466,680
Structural Concrete - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	85,462	\$1,006,742
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete	LBS	\$2.00	567,960	\$1,135,920
Structural Concrete - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	4,733	\$993,551
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	300	\$12,000
Drainage Ditch Concrete Lining	SY	\$150.00	100	\$15,000
Pavement Subbase, 6" thick	SY	\$0.00	50	-
Asphalt Pavement, 3" thick	SY	\$0.00	50	-
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	1,000	\$71,500
DOUBLE	SHEET PILE W	ALL		\$8,140,692
Clearing and Grubbing	AC	\$8,179.60		
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	2.00	\$4
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$11.21	1,500.00	\$16,815
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	200.00	\$2,300
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	400.00	\$34,000
AZ-26 Sheet Pile	SF	\$46.00	109,332.00	\$5,029,272
W10x30 Wales	LF	\$35.00	3,854.00	\$134,890
C3x6 Struts	LF	\$15.00	1,140.00	\$17,100
Sand Fill for Cell Interior	CY	\$38.32	65,439.00	\$2,507,622
Drainage Ditch Excavation, incl Hauling & Disposal	CY	\$40.00	502.22	\$20,089
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	62.40	\$4,462
Sidewalk Repair	SF	\$6.84	7,200	\$49,248
Curb Removal & Replacement	LF	\$50.00	2,785	\$139,250
Pavement Removal/Replacement	SY	\$60.00	3,094	\$185,640
	CLOSURE & TID	DE GATES		
Excavation - Gate Structure	CY	\$11.50	67	\$771
Dewatering Pumps	EA*DAY	\$292.86	30	\$8,786
Structural Concrete - Building	CY	\$1,258.40	52	\$65,437

# Table D-10: Alternative 1 – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall



ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Single and Double Sheet Pile Floodwall <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)				
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Pipe Supports	LF	\$57.77	480	\$27,730
Sheetpile, cutoff wall	SF	\$50.34	1,200	\$60,408
Swing Gate Station 140+95	LS	\$101,093.00	1	\$101,093
Roller Gate, Station 165+85	LS	\$60,000.00	1	\$60,000
Roller Gate Station 185+85	LS	\$272,557.00	1	\$272,557
Flap Gate (5x4ft)	EA	\$15,000.00	2	\$30,000
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$17,601,500
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$17,601,500

1. The single and double sheet pile floodwall is proposed to be located from Station 140+52 to Station 266+21.

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – BCUA Tide Gate Structure <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Crane/pile driving rig mobilization & demobilization, including sectional barge hauling/rental/assembly/ disassembly	-	\$179,000.00	1	\$179,000
Cut fillet welds between W-section and Sheet Pile top plate. Remove /salvage walkway structure above top plate.	LS	\$20,000.00	1	\$20,000
Sheet Pile - PZ-22 Misc. Steel	LBS	\$1.50	7,392	\$11,088
Waler - W12x26	LBS	\$3.00	2,184	\$6,552
Struts - W12x26	LBS	\$3.00	585	\$1,755
Piles - 20"x½" Pipe	LBS	\$2.50	185,901	\$464,753
1/2" Top Plate	LBS	\$3.00	305,870	\$917,610
Misc. Steel	LBS	\$3.00	11,347	\$34,041
Static Pile Load Test	EA	\$35,000.00	1	\$35,000
Reinstall salvaged walkway structure	LS	\$20,000.00	1	\$20,000
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				
Subtotal before General Requ	uirements Contir	igency and Esca	alation	\$1,689,800

# Table 2-11: Alternative 1 – BCUA Tide Gate Structure

The BUCA tide gate structure is proposed to be located from Station 180+33 to Station 180+80.
 Lands, damages, relocations, and soft costs are excluded.



ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Drainage Outlet Structures <sup>1</sup>					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
Drainage Outlet Structures					
Form Work, Misc Structures	SF	\$40.00	14,850	\$594,000	
Reinforcing, Misc Structures	LBS	\$2.00	237,120	\$474,240	
Concrete Placement, Misc Structures	CY	\$500.00	295	\$147,500	
Access Ladders	VLF	\$80.00	720	\$57,600	
Sluice Gates, 24"	EA	\$12,000.00	54	\$648,000	
Rising Stem 24" Sluice Gate	VLF	\$150.00	603	\$90,450	
Tide Flex Valves, 24"	EA	\$16,000.00	54	\$864,000	
Heavy Duty Hinged Hatch Cover 2.5'x4'	EA	\$8,000.00	54	\$432,000	
Aluminum Safety Rails	LF	\$75.00	1,242	\$93,150	
Aluminum Safety Rail Gates	EA	\$600.00	108	\$64,800	
Drainage Pipe, 24"	LF	\$62.00	610	\$37,820	
Drainage Inlet Structures					
Concrete	CY	\$500.00	179	\$89,500	
# 6 Rebar (80#/cy)	LBS	\$2.00	152,080	\$304,160	
formwork	SF	\$40.00	9,504	\$380,160	
temporary sheeting	SF	\$30.89		\$0	
Ladder Rungs	EA	\$60.00	162	\$9,720	
Excavation	CY	\$48.60	423	\$20,558	
Backfill	CY	\$17.33	45	\$780	
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	846	\$71,910	
	Headwall	S			
Concrete	CY	\$500.00	270	\$135,000	
# 6 Rebar (80#/cy)	LBS	\$2.00	231,200	\$462,400	
formwork	SF	\$40.00	23,801	\$952,040	
temporary sheeting	SF	\$30.89	13,600	\$420,104	
Excavation	CY	\$48.60	415	\$20,169	
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	830	\$70,550	
G) Scour Holes					
Filter Fabric	SY	\$2.69	669	\$1,800	
Rip Rap subbase	CY	\$54.34	104	\$5,651	
Riprap	CY	\$74.36	560	\$41,642	
Area Subtotals -	- Total Construct	on Cost <sup>2</sup>		\$6,489,700	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$6,489,700	

### Table D-12: Alternative 1 – Drainage Outlet Structures

The drainage outlet structures are proposed to be located from Station 0+00 to Station 266+21. 1.

# 2.4.1.2 Berry's Creek Line of Protection

# • Berry's Creek Surge Barrier

The proposed surge barrier would be constructed to an elevation of 10 feet NAVD 88 and is approximately 118 feet wide and would be placed on Berry's Creek just to the south of Paterson Plank Road (see Drawing Sheet CB-130). The barrier would include the installation of two gates to prevent flooding of Berry's Creek during large storm events, a 1,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) pump station on the west bank, and levees tying off to high ground on both banks. In addition, two backup diesel generators with above ground storage tanks capable of maintaining operation for at least 3 days would be required, along with a permanent easement for the parcel containing the pump station. As shown in **Table D-13**, the cost of constructing the Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, closure gates, generators/fuel tanks, and pump station would be approximately \$17,625,500.

In addition to the surge barrier, several small flood protection elements would be necessary to obtain a complete LOP of elevation 7 feet NAVD 88 in the Berry's Creek watershed (see Sheets CB-130, CB-147 and CB-148). These additional features would include the following:

- A single sheet pile floodwall approximately 382 feet long and 2.1 feet in average height along the Road A Plaza exit ramp from Paterson Plank Road to just east of the proposed surge barrier;
- Floodwall and flap gate structure (approximately 60 linear feet) located across an unnamed creek;
- Raising sections of Paterson Plank Road (approximately 177 linear feet) and Murray Hill Parkway (approximately 100 linear feet) northwest of the surge barrier; and
- Regrading/construction of a small segment of levee (approximately 245 linear feet) near the railroad tracks just east of the Rutherford Commons shopping center, as well as a closure gate over the railroad tracks (approximately 60 linear feet).

As shown in **Table D-14**, the cost of constructing these other features would be approximately \$624,600.



# Table D-13: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
	Earthwo	rk		
Excavation - Intake Basin	CY	\$11.50	8,600	\$98,900
Excavation - Gate Structure	CY	\$11.50	4,400	\$50,600
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON		26,000	\$2,210,000
Fill - Placed to EL 8	CY	\$17.33	3,000	\$51,990
Riprap, 24"	CY	\$74.36	370	\$27,513
Dewatering Pumps	EA*DAY	\$292.86	31	\$9,079
Pur	np Station, 1000	cfs - Electric		
Structural Concrete - Intake channel base slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$514.80	480	\$247,104
Structural Concrete - Intake channel walls (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$926.64	210	\$194,594
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Intake Channel	LF	\$57.77	3,000	\$173,310
Structural Concrete - Intake basin base slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$514.80	660	\$339,768
Structural Concrete - Intake basin walls (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$926.64	1,000	\$926,640
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Intake Basin	LF	\$57.77	10,125	\$584,921
Structural Concrete - Building foundation & elevated slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$1,258.40	350	\$440,440
Pre-Engineered metal building (45' eave height w/ standing seam metal roof)	SF	\$65.42	5,000	\$327,100
Trash racks	EA	\$19,500.00	3	\$58,500
Diesel generator w/ exhaust system (size TBD)	EA	\$250,000.00	1	\$250,000
Electric controls	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000
Exhaust Fans	EA	\$2,831.40	3	\$8,494
Vertical pumps/electric motors (size TBD)	EA	\$1,000,000.00	3	\$3,000,000
Discharge Pipes, φ7' x 3/4" wall, bell intake, coal tar epoxy	LF	\$1,650.00	600	\$990,000
Pipe Saddles, 2 pile support	EA	\$8,000.00	25	\$200,000
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Pipe Supports	LF	\$57.77	3,750	\$216,638
Dewatering	DAY	\$292.86	70	\$20,500
F	uel Storage & Co	ontainment		





ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Structural Concrete - Base Slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$514.80	63	\$32,432
Structural Concrete - Containment walls (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$926.64	13	\$12,046
Structural Concrete - Tank support (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$926.64	5	\$4,633
Diesel fuel tanks, steel, 8' diameter, 10,000 Gal	EA	\$47,933.60	2	\$95,867
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Tank Support	LF	\$57.77	1,125	\$64,991
	Gate Struct	ure		
Structural Concrete - Gate structure base slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$514.80	1,480	\$761,904
Structural Concrete - Gate structure piers (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)	CY	\$926.64	1,130	\$1,047,103
Deep Foundations, H-Piling - Gate Structure	LF	\$57.77	45,000	\$2,599,650
50' W x 20' H Tainter Gates	LBS	\$3.00	200,000	\$600,000
Hydraulic drive system- power unit & hyd. Hoists	EA	\$75,000.00	2	\$150,000
Electric controls	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000
W21x50 girders, W10x12 beams @ 5' OC	LBS	\$2.50	20,000	\$50,000
1-1/2"x 1/8" serrated grating	SF	\$36.61	1,000	\$36,610
OSHA approved handrail system, 1- 1/2"φ x 42" Η	LF	\$56.06	260	\$14,576
Prestressed-Concrete AASHTO bridge girders	LF	\$340.00	324	\$110,160
Precast concrete bridge deck	SF	\$106.66	1,888	\$201,374
Stop log system	LS	\$120,000.00	1	\$120,000
Trunnion Assembly	EA	\$25,000.00	3	\$75,000
Dewatering Pumps	EA*DAY	\$292.86	133	\$38,950
	Retaining W	alls		
Sheetpile, cutoff wall	SF	\$50.34	1,760	\$88,598
Sheetpile, retaining walls	SF	\$50.34	5,000	\$251,700
Sheetpile, tie-in walls	SF	\$50.34	1,250	\$62,925
Braced Excavations				
Sheet Pile - Intake Basin Phase 1	SF	\$30.89	10,820	\$334,230
Walers - Intake Basin Phase 1	LF	\$41.61	541	\$22,511
Pipe struts - Intake Basin Phase 1	LF	\$41.61	2,000	\$83,220



ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Berry's Creek Surge Barrier, Closure Gates & Pump Station <sup>1</sup>				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Sheet Pile - Gate Structure Phase 2	SF	\$30.89	6,400	\$197,696
Walers - Gate Structure Phase 2	LF	\$41.61	320	\$13,315
Pipe struts - Gate Structure Phase 2	LF	\$41.61	720	\$29,959
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>2</sup>				\$17,625,500
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation			\$17,625,500	

1. The proposed Berry's Creek Surge Barrier location is not applicable to specific Station(s). It would be generally located at the Guillen Trucking Property, 288 Paterson Plank Rd, East Rutherford, NJ.



# Table D-14: Alternative 1 – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising

ALTERNATIVE 1 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Berry's Creek Floodwall, Flap Gate, Levee, and Roadway Raising <sup>1</sup>						
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost		
LEVEE						
Clearing and Grubbing	Acre	\$8,179.60	0.50	\$4,090		
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	380	\$851		
Stripping	CY	\$12.24	246	\$3,011		
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	492	\$41,820		
Embankment	CY	\$17.33	844	\$14,627		
Top Soil	SY	\$7.38	247	\$1,823		
Seeding	MSF	\$71.50	15	\$1,073		
Regrading						
HMA Pavement	TONS	\$125.00	130	\$16,250		
Tack Coat	SY	\$1.50	723	\$1,085		
Milling	SY	\$6.00	723	\$4,338		
RAILROAD CLOSURE GATE	LS	\$500,000.00	1	\$500,000		
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP						
Clearing and Grubbing	AC	\$8,179.60	0.07	\$573		
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.24	130	\$291		
AZ-12 Sheet Pile	SF	\$38.00	650	\$24,700		
Structural Concrete - Form Work	SF	\$11.78	390	\$4,594		
Reinforcement Steel for Structural Concrete	LBS	\$2.00	1,160	\$2,320		
Structural Concrete - Placement & Finishing	CY	\$209.92	15	\$3,149		
Area Subtotals -	\$624,600					
Subtotal before General Req	\$624,600					

1. The proposed Berry's Creek floodwall, flap gate, levee, and roadway raising location are not applicable to specific Station(s).

# 2.4.2 Stormwater Drainage Improvements - Alternative 2

Alternative 2 would provide drainage improvements, including construction of a 500 cfs pump station that would be located northwest of the existing East Riser Ditch tide gate and a forebay inlet upstream of Starke Road. Additional work would include improvement of flow to the East Riser Ditch, dredging of the East Riser Ditch to improve flow conveyance from the tide gate to Moonachie Avenue, and construction of a 50 cfs and 100 cfs pump stations and force mains at Losen Slote Creek. The major features that make up the drainage improvements and green infrastructure are presented in **Section 2.4.2.1** through **Section 2.4.2.5**. For associated drawings refer to the Alternative 2 Plan Set included in the Feasibility Study Report.

### 2.4.2.1 500 cfs Pump Station at East Riser Ditch

The proposed station would be pile-supported, and would include a screened intake bay built into the right bank (looking downstream), eight Archimedean screw pumps, and a discharge channel and energy dissipation structure downstream of the gate. The modified structure would allow positive flow through four flap gates when the pump station is not in operation and would receive direct discharge from the pump station discharge channel during pumping operations. The pump station would be installed in the open area immediately northwest of the existing East Riser Ditch tide gate.

The modified forebay inlet structure would allow positive flow through four flap gates when the pump station is not in operation and would receive direct discharge from the pump station discharge channel during pumping operations. The forebay would be rectangular and would extend 40 feet across the channel and 60 feet in the direction of flow and would tie into the culvert headwall on the upstream side. Flow discharged from the pump station would be conveyed through the existing culverts under Grand Street/Starke Road. Four flap gates would be installed on the upstream side to allow low flow through the forebay when the pump is not operational. The gates would be the same size as the existing tide gates. The forebay would be pile-supported. A cofferdam and temporary water diversion via pumps and discharge line would be installed to convey water approaching Starke Road from the north to the south side of the tide gate.

#### 2.4.2.2 Losen Slote Creek Residential Pump Stations and Force Mains

Two new pump stations would be constructed to control interior drainage at Losen Slote Creek. The smaller 50 cfs capacity would discharge through a 3,260-linear-foot, 36-inch subsurface ductile iron pipe installed within the Liberty Avenue/Eckel Road right-of-way and the larger 100 cfs pump station would discharge through a 3,100-linear-foot, 36-inch subsurface ductile iron pipe installed along the East of Park Street right-of-way. Energy dissipation structures would also be provided in Losen Slote Creek at the discharge points.

As shown in **Table D-15**, the cost of constructing the East Rise Ditch and Losen Slote Creek pump stations, force mains, foundation, and associated features would be approximately \$73,812,100.

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Pump Stations and Force Mains						
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost		
NEW PUMP STATIONS	LS	-	-	\$48,750,268		
New Pump Station - 50 cfs (forcemain)	EA	\$11,370,000	1	\$11,370,000		
New Pump Station - 100 cfs (forcemain)	EA	\$20,650,000	1	\$20,650,000		
New Pump Station - 500 cfs (discharge channel), 8 Archimedean Screw Pumps	EA	\$16,430,000	1	\$16,430,000		
Forebay (40ft x 60ft x 10ft) See Components Below	EA	-	-	-		
Excavation - Forebay [assumed at 40'x60'x5' avg]	CY	\$11.50	445	\$5,118		
T&D of ID-27 Regulated Soils (at 2 tons/bcy)	TON	\$85.00	890	\$75,650		
Structural Concrete - Forebay base slab (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish)[assumed at 40'x60'x2.5']	CY	\$514.80	225	\$115,830		
Structural Concrete - Forebay channel walls (complete w/ formwork, rebar, placement & finish) [assumed at 2 ea x 40'x2'x10']	CY	\$926.64	60	\$55,598		
Asphalt Parking Area	SY	\$64.44	746	\$48,072		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	\$4,832,114		
Excavation (Soil)	CY	\$9.84	20,202	\$198,788		
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	40,404	\$3,434,340		
Revegetation (Riparian Habitat)	AC	\$124,634.00	4	\$499,782		
Top Soil and Hydro-Seeding (Non- Riparian Habitat)	SY	\$12.31	7,213	\$88,794		
Paved Surface Restoration (outside proposed trench limits)	SY	\$22.64	23,987	\$543,066		
6-inch thick gravel staging area	SY	\$8.07	8,345	\$67,344		
FORCE MAINS	LS	-	-	\$15,647,829		
Excavation (Pavement Road Section)	CY	\$80.08	2,473	\$198,038		
Excavation (Soil)	CY	\$9.84	6,087	\$59,896		
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	17,120	\$1,455,200		
TEMPORARY EXCAVATION SUPPORT	SF	\$48.62	146,960	\$7,145,195		
DEWATERING EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION, RENTAL & OPERATION	LF	\$303.16	6,680	\$2,025,109		
DEWATERING DISCHARGE TESTING, STORAGE & DISPOSAL	LS	excluded	excluded	-		
Pipe Bedding Material	CY	\$48.33	4,203	\$203,131		
Force Main Installation - 36-in	LF	\$442.36	6,360	\$2,813,410		

# Table D-15: Alternative 2 – Pump Stations and Force Mains




ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Pump Stations and Force Mains				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Force Main Installation, Restrained Joints - 36-in	LF	\$518.65	320	\$165,968
Air Release Valve in Manhole	EA	\$12,000.00	13	\$156,000
Paved Road Section (within proposed trench limits)	CY	\$576.58	2,473	\$1,425,882
PILING & CRADLES FOR SUPPORT OF PIPELINES, CULVERTS & PUMP STATION	LS	-	-	\$4,291,737
Bearing Piles (Timber) for Support of Piping <48-in Dia	LF	\$19.24	74,200	\$1,427,608
Bearing Piles (Timber) for Support of Manholes & Catch Basins	LF	\$19.24	1,950	\$37,518
Bearing Piles (micropiles instead of Steel H-Pile) for Support of Box Culverts (two 20LF piles per 40 LF)	LF	\$185.54	280	\$51,951
Cradle Beams for Support of Piping <48-in Dia	EA	\$572.25	742	\$424,610
Piles for Pump Station (HP 16x141, 220 piles, 65 ft long)	LF	\$105.00	19,300	\$2,026,500
Piles for Forebay (HP 16x141, 40 piles, 65 ft long)	LF	\$105.00	2,600	\$273,000
Pile Caps for Support of Box Culverts	LF	\$150.00	337	\$50,550
ENERGY DISSIPATION STRUCTURES	LS	-	-	\$290,118
Energy Dissipator for 50 CFS Pump Station - See Components Below	EA	priced below	1	-
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	300	\$3,450
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	600	\$51,000
Baffled chute spillway (concrete)	CY	\$1,500.00	10	\$15,000
Rip-Rap	CY	\$74.36	200	\$14,872
Energy Dissipator for 100 CFS Pump Station - See Components Below	EA	priced below	1	-
Excavation	CY	\$11.50	600	\$6,900
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	1,200	\$102,000
Baffled chute spillway (concrete)	CY	\$1,500.00	20	\$30,000
Rip-Rap	CY	\$74.36	400	\$29,744
Energy Dissipation below ERD Tide Gate (ACB mat 60" x 40'x 0.75)	SF	\$15.48	2,400	\$37,152
Area Subtotals –	<b>Total Construction</b>	on Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$73,812,100
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				

## 2.4.2.3 East Riser Ditch Channel and Culvert Improvements

Alternative 2 includes the proposed removal and replacement of existing drainage from the East Riser Ditch with: (1) a 46-foot-long, 12 feet by 6 feet metal elliptical arch culvert (Station 27+50); (2) an 18 feet long (336 square feet) railroad bridge (Station 21+78); and (3) twin 60 feet long, 7.8 feet by 4.3 feet concrete elliptical culverts (Station 16+79). The structures would be removed and disposed. The elliptical arch culvert would be replaced with two 10-feet by 7 feet concrete box culverts, the railroad bridge would be replaced with a 450 square feet railroad bridge, and the concrete elliptical culverts would be replaced with two 12 feet by 5 feet concrete box culverts.

## 2.4.2.4 Channel Dredging

Approximately 20,020 in-place cubic yards of dredged material would be removed from the tide gate location to Moonachie Avenue to improve flow conveyance capacity in the ditch and disposed of off-site at a facility licensed to receive the dredged material. Channel boundaries and adjacent areas falling within the riparian zone (9.5 acres, which is equal to the Proposed Project limit of work in this location) would be re-vegetated with native plant species consistent with that habitat type in the Project Area (9.5 acres). A two-track, vegetated operations and maintenance (O&M) road with a minimum width of 10 feet would be provided on one side of the channel throughout the improved reach. Access would be tied into local residential roads where feasible, but, in some cases, it would tie into parking areas on private property. Easements would be acquired to establish access where needed. Gates and adjacent hurricane fencing would be installed at access points to the O&M corridors to limit access to authorized personnel. As shown in **Table D-16**, the cost of constructing the drainage and channel improvements would be approximately \$1,416,200.





ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Local Drainage Improvements				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
Excavation (Pavement Road Section)	CY	\$80.08	206	\$16,496
Excavation (Soil)	CY	\$9.84	1,416	\$13,933
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	3,244	\$275,740
TEMPORARY EXCAVATION SUPPORT	SF	\$48.62	6,360	\$309,223
DEWATERING EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION, RENTAL & OPERATION	LF	\$303.16	212	\$64,270
DEWATERING DISCHARGE TESTING, STORAGE & DISPOSAL	LS	excluded	excluded	-
Existing Elliptical Arch Removal, Metal, 12ft x 6ft	LF	\$200.00	46	\$9,200
Existing Double Track, Single Span 25- ft Open Deck, (6) W24x146 Rolled Steel Beams, Bridge Removal	SF	\$65.00	450	\$29,250
Existing Elliptical Concrete Pipe Removal and Disposal, 8.8ft x 4.3ft	LF	\$150.00	120	\$18,000
Box Culvert, 120-in L x 84-in H	LF	\$786.50	92	\$72,358
Box Culvert, 144-in L x 60-in H	LF	\$1,144.00	120	\$137,280
Double Track, Single Span Ballast Deck Steel Beam Bridge (approx. 35'), (6) W24x146 Rolled Steel Beams, Bridge Replacement	SF	\$500.00	630	\$315,000
Pipe Bedding Material	CY	\$48.33	423	\$20,444
Coarse Aggregate	CY	\$48.33	335	\$16,191
Paved Road Surface (within proposed trench limits)	CY	\$576.58	206	\$118,775
Area Subtotals –	Total Construction	on Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$1,416,200
Subtotal before General Requ	irements Conting	gency and Esca	lation	\$1,416,200

## Table D-16: Alternative 2 – Local Drainage Improvements

## 2.4.2.5 Open Space Creation and Existing Park Improvements

#### Avanti Park

Avanti Park would be a new 1-acre park on an existing open lot along Moonachie Road. The park would feature open space, passive and active recreation, native habitat, and stormwater filtration. The primary park element would be an approximately 19,000-square-foot constructed wetland that would collect and infiltrate stormwater from the site and the adjacent lot. Approximately 5,500 square feet of elevated walkway would traverse this wetland, creating a unique public space experience. The elevated walkway would connect back to approximately 5,000 square feet of additional permeable pavement at grade along Moonachie Road. Adjacent to the at-grade permeable pavement would be approximately 5,000 square feet of permeable play surface and play structure. Remaining park elements would include approximately 5,000 square feet of woodland to screen adjacent warehouses, approximately 10,000 square feet of native plantings to increase biodiversity and stormwater filtration, and approximately 1,000 square feet of rain gardens. As shown in **Table D** -17, the cost of constructing Avanti Park would be approximately \$1,314,400.

#### • Caesar Place Park

Caesar Place Park would be a new 4-acre park that provides open space, nature play, passive recreation, native habitat, stormwater filtration, and wetland enhancement and expansion. This park would offer approximately 69,000 square feet of wooded wetland and 60,000 square feet of emergent wetland to improve and expand the existing wetland located on site. Approximately 19,000 square feet of open lawn and approximately 10,000 square feet of nature play areas are proposed in an existing upland area to provide recreation and play while minimizing environmental impacts. Additionally, approximately 9,500 square feet of elevated boardwalk would allow visitors to walk through emergent and wooded wetland habitat with outlooks and viewing platforms to gather and bird watch. Approximately 1,200 square feet of rain gardens would filter stormwater from Caesar Place Road, and additional native plantings would frame the park and provide transition with the surrounding context. As shown in **Table D** -18, the cost of constructing Caesar Place Park would be approximately \$1,593,600.

## DePeyster Creek Park

DePeyster Creek Park would be a new 8-acre riverfront park with natural area. The park would transform approximately 360 linear feet of the Hackensack River into publicly accessible riverfront, with approximately 96,700 square feet of trails and plazas, providing opportunities for walking, running, picnicking, group assembly, and birdwatching along the river as well as through a newly created intertidal marshland. Disturbed successional shrubland and disturbed upland deciduous forest would be transformed into higher quality habitat, such as a protected shallow embayment with intertidal marsh, native shrub habitat, and native woodland with pollinator habitat. These improvements would be expected to provide significant ecological uplift for both upland and riparian species. As shown in **Table D-19**, the cost of constructing DePeyster Creek Park would be approximately \$2,063,100.

#### Fluvial Park

Fluvial Park would be a new 4-acre riverfront park that provides open space, passive and active recreation, native habitat, and stormwater filtration. The park would transform approximately 560 linear feet of riverfront into publicly accessible elevated walkways over constructed wetlands and native vegetation. Approximately 19,000 square feet of permeable play surface would be anchored with sports fields, such as basketball or tennis courts, adult exercise equipment, a kayak launch, and opportunities for fishing and birdwatching. An approximately 500-linear-foot access path would connect the park to the existing road from Bergen Turnpike. Stormwater would be filtered in an approximately 7,000-square-foot bioswale. Lawn areas would provide 45,000 square feet for picnicking, sunbathing, and informal

games such as Frisbee and bocce ball. The ecological habitat and performance of the site would be improved with 25,000 square feet of a naturalized wetland river edge and 11,000 square feet of native woodland. As shown in **Table D-20**, the cost of constructing Fluvial Park would be approximately \$3,170,200.

## Riverside Park

Riverside Park would be a new 2.5-acre riverfront park that transforms an existing boat dock area and parking lot into approximately 600 linear feet of accessible riverfront park with walking paths that would weave through approximately 30,000 square feet of native plantings and bioswales and 18,000 square feet of mowed lawn for informal recreation and gathering. A restored riparian wetland would create 5,700 square feet of new intertidal wetland habitat, and improved boat docks and a boat launch would create recreational opportunities for birdwatching, kayaking, and fishing. As shown in **Table D-21**, the cost of constructing Riverside Park would be approximately \$1,562,700.



ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Avanti Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	776	\$9,498	
SELECTIVE TREE REMOVAL	EA	\$1,063.92	8	\$8,511	
EXCAVATION (NON-GI)	CY	\$11.50	243	\$2,795	
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	486	\$41,310	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$10,000.00	1	\$10,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	4,654	\$25,132	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	1,989	\$5,350	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	444	\$30,729	
SEEDING - WET MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.40	5,100	\$2,040	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	1,884	\$8,290	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	6	\$2,402	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	22	\$8,305	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	28	\$1,176	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	153	\$6,827	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	2,553	\$11,233	
RAIN GARDEN #108 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	460	\$24,996	
RAIN GARDEN #108 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	609	\$42,149	
RAIN GARDEN #108 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	10,954	\$131,448	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	2	\$9,000	
PERMEABLE PLAY SURFACE - MULCH, EWF, 12-IN DEEP	SF	\$5.58	5,170	\$28,849	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE	SF	\$10.85	1,463	\$15,874	
PERMEABLE PAVING-WOOD DECK (PW), WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$80.00	5,297	\$423,760	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	3,785	\$64,345	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	77	\$30,800	
SITE FURNISHINGS-PLAY EQUIP.	SF	\$350.00	500	\$175,000	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	7	\$35,000	
Area Subtotals –	Total Constructi	on Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$1,314,400	
Subtotal before General Requ	irements Conting	gency and Esca	lation	\$1,314,400	

## Table D -17: Alternative 2 – Avanti Park





ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Caesar Place Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	4	\$32,718	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	3,220	\$39,413	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$15,000.00	1	\$15,000	
EXCAVATION (NON-GI)	CY	\$11.50	254	\$2,921	
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING EXCAVATED SOILS	CY	\$17.33	232	\$4,021	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	14,683	\$79,288	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	6,956	\$18,712	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	2,060	\$142,573	
SEEDING - WET MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.40	42,483	\$16,993	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	13,587	\$59,783	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	245	\$92,492	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	180	\$8,032	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	32	\$12,813	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	277	\$11,634	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	863	\$38,507	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	2,997	\$13,187	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	19,728	\$1,381	
RAIN GARDEN #110 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	25	\$1,359	
RAIN GARDEN #110 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	27	\$1,869	
RAIN GARDEN #110 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	489	\$5,868	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #111 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	20	\$1,087	
RAIN GARDEN #111 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	21	\$1,453	
RAIN GARDEN #111 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	375	\$4,500	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING - WOOD DECK (PW) WOOD SLAT ON PILE SUPPORTS	SF	\$80.00	9,523	\$761,840	
SITE FURNISHINGS - PLAY EQUIP	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	2,562	\$27,798	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	161	\$64,400	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	15	\$75,000	
Area Subtotals – Total C	construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$1,593,600	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$1,593,600	



ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – DePeyster Creek Park				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	8	\$65,437
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	6,484	\$79,364
EXCAVATION (NON-GI)	CY	\$11.50	1,177	\$13,536
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING EXCAVATED SOILS	CY	\$17.33	1,086	\$18,820
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	182	\$15,470
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$10,000.00	1	\$10,000
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	38,904	\$210,082
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	3,176	\$8,543
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	5,655	\$391,383
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	27,547	\$121,207
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	74	\$29,630
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	365	\$137,795
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	439	\$18,438
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	1,794	\$80,048
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	67,010	\$294,844
PERMEABLE PAVING - WOOD DECK (PW) WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	1,643	\$295,740
PERMEABLE PAVING-RESIN BOUND AGGREGATE (PR)	SF	\$2.61	26,942	\$70,319
SITE FURNISHINGS-SHADE STRUCTURE	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	381	\$152,400
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>				
Subtotal before General Requirement	ts Continge	ncy and Escala	ation	\$2,063,100

## Table D-19: Alternative 2 – DePeyster Creek Park





Table	D-20:	Alternati	ve 2 –	Fluvial	Park
-------	-------	-----------	--------	---------	------

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Fluvial Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	4	\$33,536	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	3,282	\$40,172	
EXCAVATION (NON-GI)	CY	\$11.50	573	\$6,590	
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING EXCAVATED SOILS	CY	\$17.33	321	\$5,563	
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	504	\$42,840	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$10,000.00	1	\$10,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	19,693	\$106,342	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	8,965	\$24,116	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	1,788	\$123,747	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	6,850	\$30,140	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	9	\$3,398	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	33	\$1,472	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	62	\$24,825	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	51	\$19,254	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	122	\$5,124	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	126	\$5,622	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	2,086	\$9,178	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	50,852	\$3,560	
BIOSWALE #138 - GRADING, PLANTINGS & MULCH/STONE	SF	\$12.00	3,484	\$41,808	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	2	\$9,000	
BASKETBALL COURTS, INCLUDING PAVEMENT, MARKINGS & EQUIP	SF	\$5.34	9,400	\$50,196	
PERMEABLE PAVING-WOOD DECK (PW), WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	4,594	\$826,920	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	50,149	\$852,533	
PERMEABLE PLAY SURFACE 1 (PS) - RUBBERIZED EXERCISE AREA	SF	\$21.00	4,000	\$84,000	
PERMEABLE PLAY SURFACE 2 (PS2) - SYNTHETIC LAWN	SF	\$24.50	5,425	\$132,913	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	856	\$342,400	
SITE FURNISHINGS-OUTDOOR EXERCISE EQUIP	SF	\$35.00	4,000	\$140,000	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	39	\$195,000	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$3,170,200	
Subtotal before General Requiremen	ts Continger	ncy and Escala	ation	\$3,170,200	



ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Riverside Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	2	\$15,541	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	1,552	\$18,996	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	3,105	\$34,807	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	12,418	\$67,057	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	4,051	\$10,897	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	1,395	\$96,548	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	422	\$1,857	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	112	\$44,845	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	112	\$4,704	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	481	\$21,462	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	7,980	\$35,112	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	2,217	\$665	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	17,966	\$1,258	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	30	\$1,630	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	45	\$3,114	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	812	\$9,744	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	35	\$1,902	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	53	\$3,668	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	956	\$11,472	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	5,287	\$37,855	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	11,515	\$124,938	
PERMEABLE PAVING-WOOD DECK (PW), WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	875	\$157,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	17,013	\$289,221	
STRUCTURAL INSPECTION & REPAIR OF EXISTING DOCKS	SF	\$75.00	2,825	\$211,875	
BOAT RAMP	LS	\$250,000.00	1	\$250,000	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	19	\$95,000	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$1,562,700	
Subtotal before General Requiremen	ts Continge	ncy and Escala	ation	\$1,562,700	

## Table D-21: Alternative 2 – Riverside Park

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

## • Little Ferry Municipal Improvements

Little Ferry Municipal Improvements would include 1 acre of improved landscape and stormwater management for the Little Ferry Municipal Building and Little Ferry Library. The improvements to the Library would include approximately 2,000 square feet of native plantings and rain gardens, as well as permeable paving to the parking lot. The improvements to the Little Ferry Municipal Building would include upgrading the existing stormwater best management practice on site for improved stormwater quality with native plants and replacing the asphalt parking with permeable paving. As shown in **Table D-22**, the cost of constructing Little Ferry Municipal Improvements would be approximately \$684,000.

## Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements

Schools in the Borough of Little Ferry would receive campus improvements, including open space, passive and active recreation, native habitat, and stormwater filtration. Approximately 17,000 square feet of an existing sports field would be improved, including an allowance for new bleachers and back stop, while approximately 42,000 square feet of existing turf would be converted to native vegetation (with trees) to increase stormwater filtration and biodiversity. Proposed rain gardens along Liberty Avenue would also collect and infiltrate stormwater. At Washington Elementary School, approximately 9,500 square feet of impervious pavement would be converted to permeable pavement. Existing active programming areas would remain, but, overall, stormwater filtration and conveyance would be improved on site. As shown in **Table D-23**, the cost of constructing Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements would be approximately \$561,500.

## Robert Craig Elementary School

Robert Craig Elementary School is an existing elementary school campus with approximately 1.7 acres of proposed improvements. Improvements would include approximately 59,000 square feet of new sports field at an existing baseball diamond and open lawn, approximately 13,000 square feet of permeable play surface at an existing impermeable play surface, and an approximately 2,500-square-foot rain garden at an existing open lawn. Stormwater filtration and conveyance would be improved on site while existing active programming would be unchanged. As shown in **Table D-24**, the cost of constructing Robert Craig Elementary School Improvements would be approximately \$247,600.

## • St. Joseph Park

Saint Joseph Park is an existing public park with basketball courts, sports courts, lawn, soccer fields, tennis courts, and a gazebo. Under Alternative 2, landscape improvements would be made to 0.80 acres of the park through the planting of native vegetation. Bioswales are also proposed to improve stormwater filtration. An existing parking lot would receive treatment to improve its permeability and ability to filter stormwater. As shown in **Table D-25**, the cost of constructing Saint Joseph Park would be approximately \$273,800.

## • Willow Lake Park

Willow Lake Park is an existing 7-acre public park that would be improved for pedestrian circulation and ecological benefit. Existing pedestrian trails would be expanded to connect the northern and southern areas of the park. These trails would be approximately 0.5 miles and would be woven through dynamic earthwork mounds supporting 11,400 square feet of native vegetation and 3,200 square feet of low meadow with scattered trees that provide habitat for pollinators and birds. Bioswales of 1,500 square feet would filter stormwater from Pickens Street and a large expanse of 100,000 square feet of open lawn would allow for informal active play. As shown in **Table D-26**, the cost of constructing Willow Lake Park would be approximately \$1,708,000.



ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	0	\$2,454	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	644	\$7,883	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	28,224	\$316,391	
STORM DRAINAGE MODS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$8,000.00	1	\$8,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	3,692	\$19,937	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	3,741	\$10,063	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	644	\$44,571	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	-	\$0	
PLANTINGS- TREES, + STAKING/GUYING COST	EA	\$42.00	-	\$0	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	217	\$9,683	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	3,612	\$15,893	
PLANTINGS (OR) - NATIVE PLANTS, 1 GAL	EA	\$13.10	-	\$0	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #124 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	10	\$543	
RAIN GARDEN #124 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	12	\$831	
RAIN GARDEN #124 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	218	\$2,616	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #125 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	7	\$380	
RAIN GARDEN #125 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	8	\$554	
RAIN GARDEN #125 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	148	\$1,776	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #128 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #128 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #128 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	-	\$0	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #129 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #129 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	-	\$0	
RAIN GARDEN #129 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	-	\$0	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	-	\$0	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	33,232	\$237,941	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	-	\$0	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	-	\$0	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	-	\$0	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, AND SUPP INFRA	EA	\$5,000.00	-	\$0	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>	- <b>4!</b>	\$684,000	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation					

## Table D-22: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Municipal Improvements



Table D-23: Alternative 2 – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements
--

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	1	\$5,726	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	1,599	\$19,572	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	3,197	\$35,838	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$20,000.00	1	\$20,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	12,789	\$69,061	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	818	\$2,200	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	1,679	\$116,204	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	33	\$13,213	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	33	\$1,386	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	795	\$35,473	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	13,196	\$58,062	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	8,439	\$591	
RAIN GARDEN #100 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	28	\$1,522	
RAIN GARDEN #100 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	38	\$2,630	
RAIN GARDEN #100 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	676	\$8,112	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #101 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	82	\$4,456	
RAIN GARDEN #101 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	116	\$8,028	
RAIN GARDEN #101 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	2,080	\$24,960	
RAIN GARDEN #102 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	43	\$2,337	
RAIN GARDEN #102 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	56	\$3,876	
RAIN GARDEN #102 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	1,005	\$12,060	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #103 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	19	\$1,032	
RAIN GARDEN #103 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	23	\$1,592	
RAIN GARDEN #103 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	419	\$5,028	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #104 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	14	\$761	
RAIN GARDEN #104 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	17	\$1,177	
RAIN GARDEN #104 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	306	\$3,672	
RAIN GARDEN #106 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	5	\$272	
RAIN GARDEN #106 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	7	\$484	
RAIN GARDEN #106 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	120	\$1,440	
SPORTS FIELD-IMPROVED - TURF REPAIR & OVERSEEDING	SF	\$0.21	17,052	\$3,581	
SPORTS FIELD-NEW - ALLOWANCE FOR	FIELDS	\$15,000.00	1	\$15,000	

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Little Ferry Public Schools Improvements				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
BLEACHERS & BACKSTOPS				
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	9,585	\$68,629
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>				\$561,500
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation			\$561,500	

1. Lands, damages, relocations, and soft costs are excluded.

## Table D-24: Alternative 2 – Robert Craig Elementry School

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Robert Craig Elementary School					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
SELECTIVE TREE REMOVAL	EA	\$1,063.92	1	\$1,064	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	1,036	\$12,681	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	1,443	\$16,176	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	8,290	\$44,766	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	1,711	\$4,603	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	25	\$1,730	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	2	\$801	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	2	\$84	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	10	\$446	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	53	\$233	
RAIN GARDEN #130 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	93	\$5,054	
RAIN GARDEN #130 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	140	\$9,689	
RAIN GARDEN #130 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	2,519	\$30,228	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	2	\$9,000	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	12,990	\$93,008	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	40	\$16,000	
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>					
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$247,600	





ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – St. Joseph Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	350	\$4,284	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	2,142	\$24,012	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$8,000.00	1	\$8,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	4,201	\$22,685	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	2,293	\$6,168	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	318	\$22,009	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	6	\$2,402	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	6	\$252	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	152	\$6,782	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	2,520	\$11,088	
RAIN GARDEN #135 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	46	\$2,500	
RAIN GARDEN #135 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	69	\$4,775	
RAIN GARDEN #135 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	1,250	\$15,000	
RAIN GARDEN #137 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	12	\$652	
RAIN GARDEN #137 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	18	\$1,246	
RAIN GARDEN #137 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	324	\$3,888	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	-	\$0	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	19,276	\$138,016	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$273,800	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation					

## Table D-25: Alternative 2 – Saint Joseph Park



ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Willow Lake Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	1	\$11,451	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	5,080	\$62,179	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	1,690	\$18,945	
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING IMPORTED SOILS	CY	\$25.57	2,220	\$56,765	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	33,859	\$182,839	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	3,327	\$8,950	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	5,089	\$352,210	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	164	\$65,666	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	164	\$6,888	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	1,645	\$73,400	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	27,293	\$120,089	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	85,786	\$25,736	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	109,716	\$7,680	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	17	\$924	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	28	\$1,938	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	507	\$6,084	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	2	\$9,000	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	26	\$1,413	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	37	\$2,561	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	657	\$7,884	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	28,670	\$311,070	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	382	\$152,800	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	43	\$215,000	
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>				\$1,708,000	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$1,708,000	

## Table D-26: Alternative 2 – Willow Lake Park

#### • Streetside Green Infrastructure

Green infrastructure retrofits within the public right-of-way would be designed to capture stormwater runoff from streets and sidewalks, treat water quality, and enhance the streetscapes with permanent vegetation or new porous paving. Each green infrastructure system would be sized to capture and treat runoff from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Water Quality Design Storm, which is 1.25 inches of rainfall in 2 hours. Larger storms would bypass the green infrastructure systems and be conveyed by the existing storm sewer system. The green infrastructure retrofit opportunities identified for the right-of-way based on site constraints would include bioswales, rain gardens, storage trenches, and tree trenches. As shown in **Table D-27**, the cost of constructing the streetside green infrastructure would be approximately \$838,400.

ALTERNATIVE 2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Streetside Green Infrastructure					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
Demolish Existing Features	SY	\$11.21	7,552	\$84,658	
Excavate	CY	\$11.50	3,211	\$36,927	
Plantings & Mulching	SF	\$12.00	14,663	\$175,956	
Soil Mix	CY	\$69.21	815	\$56,406	
Stone	CY	\$54.34	2,277	\$123,732	
8-in HDPE Piping	LF	\$22.98	2,265	\$52,050	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	63	\$283,500	
PLANTINGS- TREES, 3.0-IN CAL W/STAKING	EA	\$442.40	57	\$25,217	
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>					
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$838,400	

#### Table D-27: Alternative 2 – Streetside Green Infrastucture

## 2.4.3 Alternative 3 Build Plan Plus Future Plan

Alternative 3 (*Build Plan* plus *Future Plan*) is a combined or hybrid plan that would incorporate most of the elements and features proposed in Alternatives 1 and 2. In addition, the combined *Build Plan* and *Future Plan* of Alternative 3 would add channel and local improvements to the Middle and Upper section of the East Riser Ditch and would provide new concepts for DePeyster Creek Park, Riverside Park, and Willow Lake Park. For associated drawings, refer to the **Subappendix F4-3** Alternative 3 Plan Set included in the Feasibility Study Report.

The Proposed Project components related to Alternative 1 that would be constructed under the combined *Build Plan* and *Future Plan* for Alternative 3 includes the Hackensack River and Berry's Creek LOP and the proposed open space concepts at Fluvial Park, K-Town Park, and the southeast viewing platforms. This would include the allowance for wetlands and utilities. The cost of these features is presented in **Table D-1** through **Table D-14**. As shown in **Table D-28**, the cost of constructing these components would be approximately \$92,062,900.

The Proposed Project components related to Alternative 2 that would be constructed under the combined *Build Plan* and *Future Plan* for Alternative 3 includes pump stations, channel, force main, energy dissipation, local drainage improvements, the proposed open space concepts at Avanti Park, Caesar Place Park, Little Ferry Municipal Park, Little Ferry Public Schools, Robert Craig School, and Saint Joseph Park, and the green features for street infrastructure. This would include the allowance for wetlands and utilities. The cost of these features is presented in **Table D-15** through **Table D-27**. As shown in **Table D-29**, the cost of constructing these components would be approximately \$88,921,500.

## • Channel and Local Improvements for the Middle and Upper East Riser Ditch

This Proposed Project element expands the local drainage improvements proposed under Alternative 2 by adding the middle and upper reaches of the East Riser Ditch. The cost of constructing this segment would be \$21,803,067.

## • Modifications from Alternative 2 for DePeyster Creek Park, Riverside Park, and Willow Park

This alternative would also provide modified concepts of the DePeyster Creek, Riverside, and Willow Lake Park plans. As shown in **Table D-30** through **Table D-32**, the costs of constructing these parks would be \$1,806,500, \$937,600, and \$2,873,300, respectively.



## Table D-28: Cost Summary of the Alternative 1 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan)

Feature	Estimated Cost Before Contingency & Escalation (2017\$)
Line of Protection	52,159,300
CANTILEVERED SHEETPILE FLOODWALL (16' Wide)	\$15,383,200
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP	\$546,400
CANTILEVERED WALKWAY SYSTEMS (10' Wide)	\$2,679,100
CANTILEVERED WALKWAY SYSTEMS (25' Wide)	\$4,447,700
CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE T-WALL	\$3,321,900
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP and DOUBLE SHEET PILE FLOODWALL	\$17,601,500
BCUA TIDE GATE	\$1,689,800
INTERIOR DRAINAGE ELEMENTS	\$6,489,700
Berry's Creek	\$18,250,100
BERRY'S CREEK SURGE BARRIER and PUMP STATION	\$17,625,500
BERRY'S CREEK Misc - Levee and FW Tie Off, Railroad Closure, and Road Raising	\$624,600
Green Infrastructure	\$12,183,500
PARKS & OTHER PUBLIC REALM AMENTTIES	\$12,183,500
Allowances	-
ALLOWANCES (WETLANDS & UTILITIES)	\$9,470,000
TOTAL COST	\$92,062,900



# Table D-29: Cost Summary of the Alternative 2 Features in Alternative 3 (Build Plan and FuturePlan)

Feature	Estimated Cost Before Contingency & Escalation (2017\$)
GREY INFRASTRUCTURE FEATURES	\$75,228,226
NEW PUMP STATIONS	\$48,750,268
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	\$4,832,114
FORCE MAINS	\$15,647,829
LOCAL DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	\$1,416,161
PILING & CRADLES FOR SUPPORT OF PIPELINES, CULVERTS & PUMP STATION	\$4,291,737
ENERGY DISSIPATION STRUCTURES	\$290,118
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE FEATURES	\$7,845,100
OPEN SPACE IMPROVEMENTS	
Revegetation (Riparian Habitat)	\$159,532
AVANTI PARK (CONCEPT 7.3)	\$1,154,868
CAESAR PLACE PARK (CONCEPT 12.1)	\$1,593,600
FLUVIAL PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$3,170,200
LITTLE FERRY MUNICIPAL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$684,000
LITTLE FERRY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$561,500
ROBERT CRAIG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$247,600
ST. JOSEPH PARK (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$273,800
STREETSIDE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	
Bioswale, Rain Garden, Storage Trench, Bumpout and Median Plant Concept Areas	\$838,400
ALLOWANCES	\$5,009,808
TOTAL COST	\$88,921,500



ALTERNATIVE 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) CONSTRUCTION COSTS – DePeyster Creek Park				
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost
IMPORTED FILL	CY	\$37.59	20	\$752
CONCRETE PAVERS	SF	\$23.60	5,670	\$133,812
WOOD PICNIC TABLES	EA	\$2,500.00	8	\$20,000
PARK GAME TABLES	EA	\$4,000.00	8	\$32,000
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	5,498	\$385
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	8	\$65,437
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	6,484	\$79,364
EXCAVATION (NON-GI)	CY	\$11.50	1,177	\$13,536
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING EXCAVATED SOILS	CY	\$17.33	1,086	\$ 18,820
T&D OF EXCESS EXCAVATION SPOILS (@ 2 tons/bcy)	TONS	\$85.00	182	\$15,470
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$10,000.00	1	\$10,000
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	38,904	\$210,082
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	3,176	\$8,543
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	5,655	\$391,383
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	27,637	\$121,603
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	72	\$28,829
PLANTINGS - TREES, 2.5-IN CAL.	EA	\$377.52	326	\$123,072
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	398	\$16,716
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	1,804	\$80,494
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	29,934	131,710
PLANTINGS (OR) - NATIVE PLANTS, 1 GAL CONTAINERS	EA	\$13.10	-	-
PERMEABLE PAVING-RESIN BOUND AGGREGATE (PR)	SF	\$2.61	28,227	\$73,672
PERMEABLE PAVING - WOOD DECK (PW) WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	-	-
SITE FURNISHINGS-SHADE STRUCTURE	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	452	\$180,800
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$1,806,500
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				

## Table D-30: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) DePeyster Creek Park



ALTERNATIVE 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Riverside Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	1.9	\$15,541	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	1,552	\$18,996	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	3,105	\$34,807	
STORM DRAINAGE MODS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	12,418	\$67,057	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	4,051	\$10,897	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	1,395	\$96,548	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	0	-	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	98	\$39,239	
PLANTINGS - TREES, + STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	98	\$4,116	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	445	\$19,856	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	7,387	\$32,503	
PLANTINGS (OR) - NATIVE PLANTS, 1 GAL	EA	\$13.10	0	\$-	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	0	\$-	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	18,578	\$1,300	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	30	\$1,630	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	45	\$3,114	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	812	\$9,744	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	35	\$1,902	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	53	\$3,668	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	956	\$11,472	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	0	-	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	0	-	
PERMEABLE PAVING-WOOD DECK (PW), WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	0	-	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	22,305	\$379,185	
STRUCTURAL INSPECTION & REPAIR OF EXISTING DOCKS	SF	\$75.00	0	-	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	19	\$95,000	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	200	\$80,000	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$937,577	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$937,577	

## Table D-31: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Riverside Park



ALTERNATIVE 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Willow Lake Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	1.4	\$11,451	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	5,080	\$62,179	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	1,690	\$18,945	
PLACE EMBANKMENT USING IMPORTED SOILS	CY	\$25.57	2,220	\$56,765	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	33,859	\$182,839	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	10,024	\$26,965	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	4,016	\$277,947	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	258	\$103,303	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	258	\$10,836	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	395	\$17,625	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	25,793	\$113,489	
PLANTINGS (OR) - NATIVE PLANTS, 1 GAL CONTAINERS	EA	\$13.10	0	-	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	38,750	\$11,625	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	113,918	\$7,974	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	17	\$924	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	28	\$1,938	
RAIN GARDEN #134 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	507	\$6,084	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	2	\$9,000	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	26	\$1,413	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	37	\$2,561	
RAIN GARDEN #133 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	657	\$7,884	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	56,408	\$612,027	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	15,058	\$255,986	
PERMEABLE PLAY SURFACE 1 (PS) - RUBBERIZED EXERCISE AREA	SF	\$21.00	17,478	\$367,038	
SITE FURNISHINGS-PLAY EQUIP.	LS	\$250,000.00	1	\$250,000	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	500	\$200,000	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	50	\$250,000	
Area Subtotals – Total C	Construction	Cost <sup>1</sup>		\$2,873,300	
Subtotal before General Requirements Contingency and Escalation				\$2,873,300	

## Table D-32: Alternative 3 (Build Plan and Future Plan) Willow Lake Park

## 2.4.4 Alternative 3 *Build Plan*

The *Build Plan* of Alternative 3 would provide most of the drainage improvements proposed under Alternative 2 except for the 100 cfs Losen Slote Creek pump station and force main, Fluvial Park, and DePeyster Creek Park. This plan would also utilize the Willow Lake Park concept as shown in **Table D-32**. As shown in **Table D-33**, the cost of constructing Riverside Park would be \$1,642,700 under the *Build Plan*. Refer to **Section 2.4.3** for the other Alternative 3 *Build Plan* costs.

ALTERNATIVE 3 (Build Plan) CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Riverside Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	ACRES	\$8,179.60	2	\$15,541.24	
STRIPING TOPSOIL, 6-IN DEEP	CY	\$12.24	1,552	\$18,996.48	
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION	SY	\$11.21	3,105	\$34,807.05	
STORM DRAINAGE MODIFICATIONS & CONNECTIONS	LS	\$2,000.00	1	\$2,000.00	
FINE GRADING	SY	\$5.40	12,418	\$67,057.20	
GEOTEXTILES	SY	\$2.69	4,051	\$10,897.19	
PLANTING SOILS	CY	\$69.21	1,395	\$96,547.95	
PLANTINGS - WETLAND GRASS PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	422	\$1,856.80	
PLANTINGS - TREES, 3.0-IN CAL.	EA	\$400.40	112	\$44,844.80	
PLANTINGS - TREES, ADDITIONAL COST FOR STAKING/GUYING	EA	\$42.00	112	\$4,704.00	
PLANTINGS - SHRUBS, #5 CONTAINER	EA	\$44.62	481	\$21,462.22	
PLANTINGS (EITHER) - NATIVE PLANT, PLUGS	EA	\$4.40	7,980	\$35,112.00	
PLANTINGS (OR) - NATIVE PLANTS, 1 GAL CONTAINERS	EA	\$13.10	-	\$0.00	
SEEDING - LOW MEADOW MIX	SF	\$0.30	2,217	\$665.10	
SEEDING - TURF	SF	\$0.07	17,966	\$1,257.62	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	30	\$1,630.20	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	45	\$3,114.45	
RAIN GARDEN #131 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	812	\$9,744.00	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500.00	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - STONE	CY	\$54.34	35	\$1,901.90	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - RAIN GARDEN SOIL MIX	CY	\$69.21	53	\$3,668.13	
RAIN GARDEN #132 - PLANTINGS & MULCHING	SF	\$12.00	956	\$11,472.00	
STORM DRAINAGE INLET	EA	\$4,500.00	1	\$4,500.00	
PERMEABLE PAVING-ASPHALT (PA)	SF	\$7.16	5,287	\$37,854.92	
PERMEABLE PAVING-CONCRETE (PC)	SF	\$10.85	11,515	\$124,937.75	
PERMEABLE PAVING-WOOD DECK (PW), WOOD SLAT ON STEEL DECK	SF	\$180.00	875	\$157,500.00	
PERMEABLE PAVING-PAVERS (PP), SAND SET	SF	\$17.00	17,013	\$289,221.00	
STRUCTURAL INSPECTION & REPAIR OF EXISTING DOCKS	SF	\$75.00	2,825	\$211,875.00	

## Table D-33: Alternative 3 (Build Plan) - Riverside Park





ALTERNATIVE 3 (Build Plan) CONSTRUCTION COSTS – Riverside Park					
Construction Activity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Estimated Cost per Unit	Total Cost	
BOAT RAMP	LS	\$250,000.00	1	\$250,000.00	
SITE FURNISHINGS-SEATING, BENCHES	LF	\$400.00	200	\$80,000.00	
LIGHT FIXTURE/POLE-SS, INCLG SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	EA	\$5,000.00	19	\$95,000.00	
Area Subtotals – Total Construction Cost <sup>1</sup>					
Subtotal before General Requiremer	nts Contingen	cy and Escala	tion	\$1,642,700	

1. Lands, damages, relocations, and soft costs are excluded.

## 2.5 Construction Cost

This section summarizes the cost of each of the alternatives that were considered. The costs shown exclude lands and damages, real estate, and soft costs. The construction cost of the proposed alternatives range from \$114,500,000 to \$309,404,000, as shown in **Table D-34** through **Table D-37**.



Table D-34: Structural Flood Reduction – Alternative 1 Cost Summary

Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		INGENCY PERFORM ANCE MID- POINT		ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
LINE OF PROTECTION	\$52,159,300	-	\$13,039,825	-	\$9,618,371	\$74,817,496
CANTILEVERED SHEETPILE FLOODWALL (16' Wide)	\$15,383,200	25%	\$3,845,800	2021	\$2,836,720	\$22,065,720
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP	\$546,400	25%	\$136,600	2021	\$100,758	\$783,758
CANTILEVERED WALKWAY SYSTEMS (10' Wide)	\$2,679,100	25%	\$669,775	2021	\$494,036	\$3,842,911
CANTILEVERED WALKWAY SYSTEMS (25' Wide)	\$4,447,700	25%	\$1,111,925	2021	\$820,173	\$6,379,798
CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE T-WALL	\$3,321,900	25%	\$830,475	2021	\$612,571	\$4,764,946
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP and DOUBLE SHEET PILE WALL	\$17,601,500	25%	\$4,400,375	2021	\$3,245,783	\$25,247,658
BCUA TIDE GATE	\$1,689,800	25%	\$422,450	2021	\$311,605	\$2,423,855
INTERIOR DRAINAGE ELEMENTS	\$6,489,700	25%	\$1,622,425	2021	\$1,196,725	\$9,308,850
BERRY'S CREEK	\$18,250,100	-	\$4,562,525	-	\$3,365,387	\$26,178,012
BERRY'S CREEK SURGE BARRIER and PUMP STATION	\$17,625,500	25%	\$4,406,375	2021	\$3,250,208	\$25,282,083
BERRY'S CREEK Misc - Levee and FW Tie Off, Railroad Closure and Road Raising	\$624,600	25%	\$156,150	2021	\$115,179	\$895,929



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		PERFORM ANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	\$13,526,000	-	\$3,381,500	-	\$2,494,245	\$19,401,745
PARKS & OTHER PUBLIC REALM AMENTTIES	\$13,526,000	25%	\$3,381,500	2021	\$2,494,245	\$19,401,745
ALLOWANCES (WETLANDS & UTILITIES)	\$ 9,470,000	0%	-	2021	\$1,397,043	\$10,867,043
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	\$6,071,000	25% \$1,518,000		2021	\$1,119,552	\$8,708,552
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	\$99,476,000	-	\$22,502,000	-	\$17,995,000	\$139,973,000
REAL ESTATE	-	-	-	-	-	-
ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	\$11,937,000	25%	\$2,984,250	2019	\$1,062,766	\$15,984,016
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	\$4,307,000	25% \$1,076,750		2021	\$794,227	\$6,177,977
TOTAL COSTS	\$115,720,000	-	\$26,563,000	-	\$19,852,000	\$162,135,000

Estimate does NOT include Real Estate costs

Estimate includes 25% contingency for construction features and 12% for Engineering and Design.

Escalation based on assumed midpoint of construction in 2021, at 3.5% per year compounded.

Estimate assumes all excess soils generated by construction will be classified as non-hazardous ID27 solid waste that would be transported/disposed from the site at a cost of \$85 per ton. The weight of the excavated material was conservatively estimated to be 2 tons per cubic yard.

Estimate assumes that "hot spots" of HTRW encountered can be addressed either through the project contingency or by implementing measures to reduce the volume. If significant "hot spots" are encountered, there would be modifications to the design to minimize the need to remove HTRW material.

Allowances provide for utility relocations/protection and for construction of wetlands to mitigate unavoidable impacts to existing wetlands that will not be offset by project features.

Estimate assumes deep foundation support will be needed for force mains, storm water piping & box culverts.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - includes 6.5% of construction cost for contractor PM and Supervision (3%), Mob/Demob (1%), Traffic Maintenance (2%), and Erosion-sedimentation controls (0.5%)



Table D-35: Stormwater Drainage Improvements – Alternative 2 Cost Summary

Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CON	TINGENCY	PERFORM ANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
GREY INFRASTRUCTURE	\$75,228,226	25%	\$18,807,057	2021	\$13,872,367	\$107,908,000
NEW PUMP STATIONS	\$48,750,268	25%	\$12,187,567	2021	\$8,989,732	\$69,927,567
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	\$4,832,114	25%	\$1,208,028	2021	\$891,060	\$6,931,202
FORCE MAINS	\$15,647,829	25%	\$3,911,957	2021	\$2,885,518	\$22,445,304
LOCAL DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	\$1,416,161	25%	\$354,040	2021	\$261,145	\$2,031,346
PILING & CRADLES FOR SUPPORT OF PIPELINES, CULVERTS & PUMP STATION	\$4,291,737	25%	\$1,072,934	2021	\$791,412	\$6,156,083
ENERGY DISSIPATION STRUCTURES	\$290,118	<b>25%</b> \$72,530		2021	\$53,499	\$416,146
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	\$14,017,300	25%	\$3,504,325	2021	\$2,584,843	\$20,106,000
OPEN SPACE IMPROVEMENTS	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revegetation (Riparian Habitat)	\$159,532	25%	\$39,883	2021	\$29,418	\$228,833
AVANTI PARK (CONCEPT 7.3)	\$1,154,868	25%	\$288,717	2021	\$212,962	\$1,656,548
CAESAR PLACE PARK (CONCEPT 12.1)	\$1,593,600	25%	\$398,400	2021	\$293,866	\$2,285,866
DEPEYSTER SOUTH (CONCEPT 6.3)	\$2,063,100	25%	\$515,775	2021	\$380,443	\$2,959,318
FLUVIAL PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$3,170,200	25%	\$792,550	2021	\$584,597	\$4,547,347
LITTLE FERRY MUNICIPAL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$684,000	25%	\$171,000	2021	\$126,132	\$981,132
LITTLE FERRY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$561,500	25%	\$140,375	2021	\$103,543	\$805,418
RIVERSIDE PARK	\$1,562,700	25%	\$390,675	2021	\$288,168	\$2,241,543



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		PERFORM ANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
(CONCEPT 1.5)						
ROBERT CRAIG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$247,600	25%	\$61,900	2021	\$45,658	\$355,158
ST. JOSEPH PARK (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$273,800	25%	\$68,450	2021	\$50,490	\$392,740
WILLOW LAKE PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$1,708,000	25%	\$427,000	2021	\$314,962	\$2,449,962
STREETSIDE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bioswale, Rain Garden, Storage Trench, Bumpout and Median Plant Concept Areas:	\$838,400	25%	\$209,600	2021	\$154,604	\$1,202,604
ALLOWANCES	\$5,010,000	0%	\$0	2021	\$739,090	\$5,749,000
Utilities	\$975,000	0%	\$0	2021	\$143,835	\$1,118,835
Wetlands	\$4,035,000	0%	\$0	2021	\$595,255	\$4,630,255
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	\$6,127,000	25%	\$1,531,750	2021	\$1,129,842	\$8,788,592
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	\$100,383,000	23.8%	\$23,843,000	-	\$18,326,000	\$142,552,000
REAL ESTATE	\$0	25%	\$0	-	\$0	\$0
ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	\$12,046,000	25%	\$3,011,500	2019	\$1,072,470	\$16,129,970
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	\$4,347,000	25%	\$1,086,750	2021	\$801,603	\$6,235,353
TOTAL COSTS	\$116,776,000	25%	\$27,941,000	-	\$20,200,000	\$164,917,000

Estimate does NOT include Real Estate costs

Estimate includes 25% contingency for construction features and 12% for Engineering and Design.

Escalation based on assumed midpoint of construction in 2021, at 3.5% per year compounded.

Appendix D



Feature CONTINGENCY CONTINGENCY (2017\$)	PERFORM ANCE ESC MID- @3 POINT	SCALATION @3.5%/Year ESCALATION
--	---	------------------------------------

Estimate assumes all excess soils generated by construction will be classified as non-hazardous ID27 solid waste that would be transported/disposed from the site at a cost of \$85 per ton. The weight of the excavated material was conservatively estimated to be 2 tons per cubic yard.

Estimate assumes that "hot spots" of HTRW encountered can be addressed either through the project contingency or by implementing measures to reduce the volume. If significant "hot spots" are encountered, there would be modifications to the design to minimize the need to remove HTRW material.

Allowances provide for utility relocations/protection and for construction of wetlands to mitigate unavoidable impacts to existing wetlands that will not be offset by project features.

Estimate assumes deep foundation support will be needed for force mains, storm water piping & box culverts.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - includes 6.5% of construction cost for contractor PM and Supervision (3%), Mob/Demob (1%), Traffic Maintenance (2%), and Erosion-sedimentation controls (0.5%)



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		PERFOR MANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION	
Storm Surge Protection	\$70,409,000	25%	\$17,602,000	2021	\$12,983,000	\$100,996,000	
LINE OF PROTECTION - HACKENSACK RIVER	\$42,880,000	25%	\$10,720,000	2021	\$7,907,000	\$61,508,000	
CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE WALLS	\$2,849,367	25%	\$712,342	2021	\$525,434	\$4,087,142	
SHEET PILE I - WALL W/CONCRETE CAP	\$9,380,400	25%	\$2,345,100	2021	\$1,729,781	\$13,455,281	
DOUBLE SHEET PILE WALL	\$8,140,692	25%	\$2,035,173	2021	\$1,501,174	\$11,677,040	
CANTILEVERED SHEETPILE FLOODWALL	\$15,383,196	25%	\$3,845,799	2021	\$2,836,719	\$22,065,714	
CANTILEVERED WALKWAY SYSTEMS	\$7,126,731	25%	\$1,781,683	2021	\$1,314,196	\$10,222,609	
CLOSURE GATES & TIDE GATES	\$2,789,000	25%	\$697,250	2021	\$514,302	\$4,000,552	
INTERIOR DRAINAGE ELEMENTS	\$6,490,000	25%	\$1,622,500	2021	\$1,196,780	\$9,309,280	
BERRY'S CREEK SURGE BARRIER AND PUMP STATION	\$18,250,000	25%	\$4,562,500	2021	\$3,365,368	\$26,177,868	
Earthwork	\$2,448,082	25%	\$612,020	2021	\$451,435	\$3,511,538	
Pump station (1000 cfs electric)	\$8,237,980	25%	\$2,059,495	2021	\$1,519,114	\$11,816,589	
Tainter gate structure	\$6,939,482	25%	\$1,734,870	2021	\$1,279,666	\$9,954,019	
Levee	\$88,966	25%	\$22,242	2021	\$16,406	\$127,614	
Sheet Pile I-Wall with concrete cap	\$35,627	25%	\$8,907	2021	\$6,570	\$51,103	
RR Closure Gate	\$500,000	25%	\$125,000	2021	\$92,202	\$717,202	
GREY INFRASTRUCTURE	\$97,031,000	25%	\$24,258,000	2021	\$17,893,000	\$139,182,000	
NEW PUMP STATIONS	\$48,750,268	25%	\$12,187,567	2021	\$8,989,732	\$69,927,567	

## Table D-36: Alternative 3 (Build Plan Plus Future Plan) – Cost Summary



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		PERFOR MANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	\$14,665,754	25%	\$3,666,438	2021	\$2,704,420	\$21,036,612
FORCE MAINS	\$15,647,829	25%	\$3,911,957	2021	\$2,885,518	\$22,445,304
LOCAL DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	\$13,385,587	25%	\$3,346,397	2021	\$2,468,352	\$19,200,336
PILING & CRADLES FOR SUPPORT OF PIPELINES, CULVERTS & PUMP STATION	\$4,291,737	25%	\$1,072,934	2021	\$791,412	\$6,156,083
ENERGY DISSIPATION STRUCTURES	\$290,118	<b>25%</b> \$72,530		2021	\$53,499	\$416,146
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	\$23,335,000	25% \$5,834,000		2021	\$4,303,000	\$33,472,000
OPEN SPACE IMPROVEMENTS	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revegetation (Riparian Habitat)	\$159,532	25%	\$39,883	2021	\$29,418	\$228,833
Southeast Viewing Platform	\$131,699	25%	\$32,925	2021	\$24,286	\$188,910
AVANTI PARK (CONCEPT 7.3)	\$1,154,868	25%	\$288,717	2021	\$212,962	\$1,656,548
CAESAR PLACE PARK (CONCEPT 12.1)	\$1,593,600	25%	\$398,400	2021	\$293,866	\$2,285,866
DEPEYSTER SOUTH (CONCEPT 6.3)	\$1,806,479	25%	\$451,620	2021	\$333,122	\$2,591,220
FLUVIAL PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$11,185,993	25%	\$2,796,498	2021	\$2,062,739	\$16,045,230
K-Town Park	\$886,397	25%	\$221,599	2021	\$163,455	\$1,271,451
LITTLE FERRY MUNICIPAL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$684,000	25%	\$171,000	2021	\$126,132	\$981,132
LITTLE FERRY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$561,500	25%	\$140,375	2021	\$103,543	\$805,418
RIVERSIDE PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$937,577	25%	\$234,394	2021	\$172,893	\$1,344,864



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		PERFOR MANCE MID- POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
ROBERT CRAIG ELEMNTARY SCHOOL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$247,600	25%	\$61,900	2021	\$45,658	\$355,158
ST. JOSEPH PARK (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$273,800	25%	\$68,450	2021	\$50,490	\$392,740
WILLOW LAKE PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$2,873,298	25%	\$718,325	2021	\$529,847	\$4,121,469
STREETSIDE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bioswale, Rain Garden, Storage Trench, Bumpout and Median Plant Concept Areas:	\$838,400	25%	\$209,600	2021	\$155,000	\$1,203,000
ALLOWANCES	\$14,480,000	0%	\$0	2021	\$2,136,000	\$16,616,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	\$13,342,000	25%	\$3,335,500	2021	\$2,460,000	\$19,138,000
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	\$218,597,000	23.3%	\$51,030,000	-	\$39,775,000	\$309,404,000
REAL ESTATE	\$0	25%	\$0	-	\$0	\$0
ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	\$26,232,000	25%	\$6,558,000	2019	\$2,335,468	\$35,125,000
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	\$9,465,000	25%	\$2,366,250	2021	\$1,745,382	\$13,577,000
TOTAL COSTS	\$254,294,000	25%	\$59,954,000	-	\$43,856,000	\$358,106,000

Estimate does NOT include Real Estate costs

Estimate includes 25% contingency for construction features and 12% for Engineering and Design.

Escalation based on assumed midpoint of construction in 2021, at 3.5% per year compounded.

Estimate assumes all excess soils generated by construction will be classified as non-hazardous ID27 solid waste that would be transported/disposed from the site at a cost of \$85 per ton. The weight of the excavated material was conservatively estimated to be 2 tons per cubic yard.

Appendix D



|--|

Estimate assumes that "hot spots" of HTRW encountered can be addressed either through the project contingency or by implementing measures to reduce the volume. If significant "hot spots" are encountered, there would be modifications to the design to minimize the need to remove HTRW material.

Allowances provide for utility relocations/protection and for construction of wetlands to mitigate unavoidable impacts to existing wetlands that will not be offset by project features.

Estimate assumes deep foundation support will be needed for force mains, storm water piping & box culverts.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - includes 6.5% of construction cost for contractor PM and Supervision (3%), Mob/Demob (1%), Traffic Maintenance (2%), and Erosion-sedimentation controls (0.5%)

## Table D-37: Alternative 3 Build Plan – Cost Summary

Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY		ESTIMATED TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY (2017\$)	PERFORMANCE MID-POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
GREY INFRASTRUCTURE	\$45,422,000	25%	\$11,355,000	\$56,777,000	2021	\$8,376,000	\$65,153,000
NEW PUMP STATIONS	\$28,100,268	25%	\$7,025,067	\$35,125,335	2021	\$5,181,795	\$40,307,130
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	\$4,832,114	25%	\$1,208,028	\$6,040,142	2021	\$891,060	\$6,931,202
FORCE MAINS	\$7,866,146	25%	\$1,966,537	\$9,832,683	2021	\$1,450,547	\$11,283,229
LOCAL DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	\$1,416,161	25%	\$354,040	\$1,770,201	2021	\$261,145	\$2,031,346
PILING & CRADLES FOR SUPPORT OF PIPELINES, CULVERTS & PUMP STATION	\$3,085,812	25%	\$771,453	\$3,857,265	2021	\$569,035	\$4,426,300
ENERGY DISSIPATION STRUCTURES	\$121,477	25%	\$30,369	\$151,846	2021	\$22,401	\$174,247
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	\$10,029,000	25%	\$2,507,000	\$12,536,000	2021	\$1,849,000	\$14,385,000
OPEN SPACE IMPROVEMENTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revegetation (Riparian Habitat)	\$159,532	25%	\$39,883	\$199,414	2021	\$29,418	\$228,833
AVANTI PARK (CONCEPT 7.3)	\$1,154,868	25%	\$288,717	\$1,443,586	2021	\$212,962	\$1,656,548
CAESAR PLACE PARK (CONCEPT 12.1)	\$1,593,600	25%	\$398,400	\$1,992,000	2021	\$293,866	\$2,285,866
LITTLE FERRY MUNICIPAL (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$684,000	25%	\$171,000	\$855,000	2021	\$126,132	\$981,132
LITTLE FERRY PUBLIC SCHOOLS (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$561,500	25%	\$140,375	\$701,875	2021	\$103,543	\$805,418
RIVERSIDE PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$1,642,669	25%	\$410,667	\$2,053,336	2021	\$302,914	\$2,356,251
ROBERT CRAIG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	\$247,600	25%	\$61,900	\$309,500	2021	\$45,658	\$355,158

Appendix D



Feature	ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CON	FINGENCY	ESTIMATED TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY (2017\$)	PERFORMANCE MID-POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
(CONCEPT 3.1)							
ST. JOSEPH PARK (CONCEPT 3.1)	\$273,800	25%	\$68,450	\$342,250	2021	\$50,490	\$392,740
WILLOW LAKE PARK (CONCEPT 1.5)	\$2,873,298	25%	\$718,325	\$3,591,623	2021	\$529,847	\$4,121,469
STREETSIDE GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bioswale, Rain Garden, Storage Trench, Bumpout and Median Plant Concept Areas:	\$838,400	25%	\$209,000	\$1,047,400	2021	\$154,516	\$1,201,916
ALLOWANCES	\$5,010,000	0%	\$0	\$5,010,000	2021	\$739,090	\$5,749,090
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	\$3,930,000	25%	\$982,000	\$4,912,000	2021	\$724,633	\$5,636,633
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	\$64,391,000	23.1%	\$14,844,000	\$79,235,000		\$11,689,000	\$90,924,000
REAL ESTATE	\$10,300,000	25%	-	\$10,300,000		-	\$10,300,000
ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	\$7,727,000	12%	\$927,000	\$8,654,000	2019	\$616,381	\$9,270,381
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION	\$2,791,000	25%	\$700,000	\$3,491,000	2021	\$515,003	\$4,006,003
TOTAL COSTS	\$85,209,000	25%	\$ 16,471,000	\$101,680,000		\$12,820,000	\$114,500,000
OTHER COSTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feasibility Study/EIS	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$20,500,000
NJDEP Program Administration	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$15,000,000
TOTAL PROGRAM COSTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$150,000,000

Estimate does NOT include Real Estate costs

Estimate includes 25% contingency for construction features and 12% for Engineering and Design.




Feature Feature ESTIMATED COST BEFORE CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION (2017\$)	CONTINGENCY	ESTIMATED TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY (2017\$)	PERFORMANCE MID-POINT	ESCALATION @3.5%/Year	ESTIMATED TOTAL w/ CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION
---	-------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

Escalation based on assumed midpoint of construction in 2021, at 3.5% per year compounded.

Estimate assumes all excess soils generated by construction will be classified as non-hazardous ID27 solid waste that would be transported/disposed from the site at a cost of \$85 per ton.

The weight of the excavated material was conservatively estimated to be 2 tons per cubic yard.

Estimate assumes that "hot spots" of HTRW encountered can be addressed either through the project contingency or by implementing measures to reduce the volume. If significant "hot spots" are encountered, there would be modifications to the design to minimize the need to remove HTRW material. are encountered, there would be modifications.

Allowances provide for utility relocations/protection and for construction of wetlands to mitigate unavoidable impacts to existing wetlands that will not be offset by project features.

Estimate assumes deep foundation support will be needed for force mains, storm water piping & box culverts.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - includes 6.5% of construction cost for contractor PM and Supervision (3%), Mob/Demob (1%), Traffic Maintenance (2%), and Erosion-sedimentation controls (0.5%)

# 3.0 Operations and Maintenance

### 3.1 General

The performance of the LOP would continue to meet its design intent if it is properly maintained during normal (non-storm) conditions and properly operated during times of nor'easters and hurricane flooding events. The need for proper maintenance of the LOP is critical given the potential damages to infrastructure in this area if deterioration or damage to structures due to lack of maintenance cause the system to fail during the storm event. The general O&M requirements are summarized in **Table D-38** and is discussed in greater detail in **Appendix C** and summarized below.

Action	Frequency
System Inspections	Once per year
Routine	Four per year (90 days intervals)
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Culvert/Ditch Cleaning	When necessary
Routine	Once per year
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Pipe System Cleaning	Once per 5 years
Routine	Once per year
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Ditch Dredging	Once per 5 years
Closed Circuit Television Inspection	Once per 5 years
Pump Station Inspections	Four times a year (90 day intervals)
Pump Station Maintenance	Twice per year

Table	D-38:	General	O&M	Assum	otions
IUNIC	D 00.	Contorui		Assum	

## 3.2 Emergency Operations

Emergency surveillance, communication, and chain of responsibility for the LOP structures and associated infrastructure would fall under existing protocols agreed upon by the NJDEP or local communities. Particular attention would be given to monitoring the performance of the LOP structures during storm events in the first few years of operation in order to ensure that they function as designed. Coordination with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the National Weather Service and National Hurricane Center, and the NJDEP would be required during storm events to initiate standard flood risk management techniques. Typical emergency flood risk management techniques will include the following:

- Storm event patrolling and reporting of trouble spots;
- Scour hole repair buried floodwall and levee;.
- Wave wash protection of eroded levee slopes;
- Topping of low or eroding spots on levee crown using sandbags;



- Flood barrier construction; and
- Sandbagging to control boils that are issuing sediment.

## 3.3 Maintenance

Maintenance under the Proposed Project would be the upkeep and repair of structures to maintain the function of the structure after construction is complete. Maintenance of specific Proposed Project features is described in the subsections below.

## 3.3.1 Floodwall

Maintenance of the concrete T-wall would be based on maintaining the integrity of the structure, which may be reduced due to loss of material at the toe of the structure and/or liquefaction of soil due to poor drainage. The pile foundation would provide support to prevent failure in the case of liquefaction. In addition, repair of the concrete would be performed to minimize corrosion of the reinforcing steel within the concrete.

### 3.3.2 Earthen Levee

Earthen levees would be maintained to remedy any adverse conditions threatening the integrity of the structure. Items or issues requiring maintenance include:

- Crown roadway and access ramps;
- Rodent activity;
- Vegetation management;
- Erosion control and repair;
- Seepage; and
- Cracking, settlement, and slips.

## 3.3.3 Pump Station and Sector Gates

The pump station and sector gates would be maintained in accordance with various equipment manufacturers' instructions. A detailed Operation, Maintenance, Rehabilitation, Repair, and Replacement (OMRRR) Plan would be developed during the construction phase.

## 3.3.4 Interior Drainage Facilities

Interior drainage facilities, including flap gates, sluice gates, trash racks, etc., would be maintained in accordance with the OMRRR Plan.

### 3.4 Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs

The estimated annual O&M costs for Alternative 1, Alternative 2, Alternative 3 *Build Plan*, and Alternative 3 *Build Plan* plus *Future Plan* are provided in **Table D-39** through **Table D-42**. Cost estimates for operating, inspecting, and maintaining the various grey infrastructure features associated with the drainage improvement and LOP features were developed in general accordance with Federal Flood Control Regulations (Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 208.10 (33 CFR 208.10). Those requirements include system inspections at 90-day intervals during the year and before and after flood events.



Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	Description		Cost
Storm Surge Protection			
System Inspections	Includes annual inspection of levees (1 feet) , floodwalls (22,000 linear feet), a (28,700 linear feet); quarterly inspectior and tidal gates, drainage outlet stru	200 linear nd ditches n of closure ctures)	\$68,800
Levees and floodwalls	Includes mowing of levees and minor floodwalls	repairs to	\$44,100
Drainage Ditch/swales	Includes vegetation control, debris and removal	sediment	\$232,000
Closure and Tidal gates	Routine maintenance, scheduled and u maintenance 11 closure and tidal	nscheduled gates	\$45,900
Drainage Outlet structures	Routine maintenance, scheduled and unscheduled maintenance of the 53 outlet structures		\$48,000
Sub-total - Storm Surge Protection			\$438,800
Green Features -Open Space (Does not include equipment and replacement of park features)	square feet	unit cost	
Fluvial Park	177,200	\$0.81	\$142,600
Riverside Park	111,800	\$0.81	\$90,000
DePeyster Creek Park	350,100	\$0.81	\$281,800
K-town Park	60,984	\$0.81	\$49,100
Southeast Viewing Platform	1,055	\$0.36	\$400
Sub-total - Green Features - Open Space			\$563,900
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COSTS:			\$1,002,700
			SAY \$1 350 000

## Table D-39: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 1





## Table D-40: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 2

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs - Grey Features -	East Riser Ditch (500 cfs pump station, discharge channel, modified forebay inlet to existing tide gate, culvert upgrades, ditch dredging)	Losen Slote and Carol Place (50 cfs and 100 cfs pump stations, force mains)	Total
	Concept 12.1 D	Concept 13.2 D	
Grey Features -			
System Inspections	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning	\$31,500	\$0	\$31,500
Pipe System Cleaning	\$0	\$8,000	\$8,000
Ditch Dredging	\$39,000	0	\$39,000
CCTV Inspections	\$0	\$6,300	\$6,300
Pump Station Inspections	\$800	\$3,800	\$4,600
O& M for Pumping Stations	\$360,000	\$130,000	\$490,000
Sub-total - Grey Feature	\$443,300	\$160,100	\$603,400
Green Features -Parks (not including equipment and replacement of park features)	square feet	unit cost	
Avanti Park	41,900	\$0.81	\$33,700
Caesar Place Park	173,900	\$0.81	\$140,000
Depeyster Creek Park	350,100	\$0.81	\$281,800
Fluvial Park	177,200	\$0.81	\$142,600
Little Ferry Municipal	59,900	\$0.81	\$48,200
Little Ferry Public Schools (Overall O&M estimated to be \$92,700/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	115,100	\$0.81	\$60,400
Riverside Park	111,800	\$0.81	\$90,000
Robert Craig Elementary School (Overall O&M estimated to be \$26500/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	74,600	\$0.36	\$13,000
St. Joseph Park (Overall O&M estimated to be \$13,400/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	37,800	\$0.36	\$6,700



Ap	pen	dix	D
	P		_

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs - Grey Features -	East Riser Ditch (500 cfs pump station, discharge channel, modified forebay inlet to existing tide gate, culvert upgrades, ditch dredging)	Losen Slote and Carol Place (50 cfs and 100 cfs pump stations, force mains)	Total
Willow Lake Park* (Overall O&M estimated to be \$108,200/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	304,700	\$0.36	\$73,200
Sub-total - Green Features _ Parks	square feet	unit cost	\$889,600
Green Features - Streetside Green Infrastructure			
DePeyster Creek (Storage/Tree trench)	2,800	\$0.28	\$800
Carol Place (Bioswales)	8,900	\$0.74	\$6,600
West River Ditch (rain gardens)	2,900	\$0.74	\$2,100
Park St. (storage/tree trench)	3,100	\$0.28	\$900
Park St. (bioswales)	5,200	\$0.74	\$3,800
Main St (storage/Tree trench)	7,100	\$0.28	\$2,000
Main St (Bioswale)	2,300	\$0.74	\$1,700
Main St (Rain garden)	4,600	\$0.74	\$3,400
Sub-total - Green Features - Streetside			\$21,300
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COSTS:			\$1,514,300
			say \$1,500,000







## Table D-41: Annual O&M Cost Summary for Alternative 3 Build Plan

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs - Grey Features -	East Riser Ditch (500 cfs pump station, discharge channel, modified forebay inlet to existing tide gate, culvert upgrades, ditch dredging)	Losen Slote and Carol Place (50 cfs pump stations, force mains)	Total
Grey Features -			
System Inspections	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning	\$31,500	\$0	\$31,500
Pipe System Cleaning	\$0	\$5,500	\$5,500
Ditch Dredging	\$39,000	0	\$39,000
CCTV Inspections	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,000
Pump Station Inspections	\$3,800	\$1,900	\$5,700
O& M for Pumping Stations	\$360,000	\$65,000	\$425,000
Sub-total - Grey Feature	\$446,300	\$87,400	\$533,700
Green Features -Open Space (not including equipment and replacement of park features)	sq ft	unit cost	
Avanti Park	41,900	\$0.81	\$33,700
Caesar Place Park	173,900	\$0.81	\$140,000
DePeyster Creek Park (Not included in the <i>Build</i> <i>Plan</i> )	-		-
Fluvial Park (Not included in the <i>Build</i> <i>Plan</i> )	-		-
Little Ferry Municipal	59,900	\$0.81	\$48,200
Little Ferry Public Schools (Overall O&M estimated to be \$92,700/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	115,100	\$0.81	\$51,800
Riverside Park	111,800	\$0.81	\$90,000
Robert Craig Elementary School (Overall O&M estimated to be \$26,500/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	74,600	\$0.36	\$13,200

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs - Grey Features -	East Riser Ditch (500 cfs pump station, discharge channel, modified forebay inlet to existing tide gate, culvert upgrades, ditch dredging)	Losen Slote and Carol Place (50 cfs pump stations, force mains)	Total
St. Joseph Park (Overall O&M estimated to be \$13,400/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	37,800	\$0.36	\$6,700
Willow Lake Park* (Overall O&M estimated to be \$245,300/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	304,700	\$0.81	\$137,100
Sub-total - Green			\$520,700
Green Features -			
Streetside Green Infrastructure			
DePeyster Creek (Storage/Tree trench)	2,800	\$0.28	\$800
Carol Place (Bioswales)	8,900	\$0.74	\$6,600
West River Ditch (rain gardens)	2,900	\$0.74	\$2,100
Park St. (storage/tree trench)	3,100	\$0.28	\$900
Park St. (Bioswales)	5,200	\$0.74	\$3,800
Main St (storage/Tree trench)	7,100	\$0.28	\$2,000
Main St (Bioswale)	2,300	\$0.74	\$1,700
Main St (Rain garden)	4,600	\$0.74	\$3,400
Sub-total - Green Features - Streetside			\$21,300
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COSTS:			\$1,075,700
			say \$1,100,000







Table D-42: Annual C	O&M Cost Summa	rv for Alternative 3	Build Plan Plus	Future Plan
		. y 101 / 1101114110 C	Banarianii iac	, i atai c i ian

Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs	Description	n	Total
Storm Surge Protection			
System Inspections	Includes annual inspection of leve floodwalls (22,000 linear feet), and feet); quarterly inspection of clo drainage outlet stru	ees (1200 linear feet) , d ditches (28,700 linear osure and tidal gates, uctures)	\$68,800
Levees and floodwalls	Includes mowing of levees and mi	inor repairs to floodwalls	\$44,100
Drainage Ditch/swales	Includes vegetation control, debris	s and sediment removal	\$232,000
Closure and tidal gates	Routine maintenance, schedul maintenance 11 closure	ed and unscheduled and tidal gates	\$45,900
Drainage outlet structures	Routine maintenance, schedul maintenance of the 53 ou	ed and unscheduled utlet structures	\$48,000
Berry's Creek Tainter Gate/Pump Station	Includes routine maintenance, ma power	jor repairs/replacement,	\$324,000
Sub-total - Storm Surge Protection			\$762,800
Drainage/ Fluvial Flooding Improvement features (Grey features)	East Riser Ditch (500 cfs pump station, discharge channel, modified forebay inlet to existing tide gate, culvert upgrades, ditch dredging)	Losen Slote and Carol Place (50 cfs and 100 cfs pump stations, force mains)	Total
System Inspections	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$24,000
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning (Lower East Riser ditch)	\$31,500	\$0	\$31,500
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning (Upper East Riser ditch)	\$63,000	\$0	\$63,000
Pipe System Cleaning	\$0	\$8,000	\$8,000
Ditch Dredging	\$39,000	0	\$39,000
CCTV Inspections	\$0	\$6,300	\$6,300
Pump Station Inspections	\$800	\$3,800	\$4,600
O& M for Pumping Stations	\$360,000	\$130,000	\$490,000
Sub-total - Grey Feature	\$506,300 \$160,100		\$666,400
Green Features -Open Space (not including equipment and replacement of park features)	square feet	unit cost	
Avanti Park	41,900	\$0.81	\$33,700
Caesar Place Park	173,900	\$0.81	\$140,000
DePeyster Creek Park	350,100	\$0.81	\$281,800
Fluvial Park	177,200	\$0.81	\$142,600



K-town Park	60,984	\$0.81	\$49,100
Little Ferry Municipal	59,900	\$0.81	\$48,200
Little Ferry Public Schools (Overall O&M estimated to be \$92,700/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	115,100	\$0.81	\$51,800
Riverside Park	111,800	\$0.81	\$90,000
Robert Craig Elementary School (Overall O&M estimated to be \$26500/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	74,600	\$0.36	\$13,200
Southeast Viewing	1,055	\$0.36	\$400
St. Joseph Park (Overall O&M estimated to be \$13,400/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	37,800	\$0.36	\$6,700
Willow Lake (Overall O&M estimated to be \$108,200/year). Shown in "Total" is estimated net increase in O&M over current estimated O&M)	304,700	\$0.36	\$54,100
Sub-total - Green Features - Open Space			\$911,600
Green Features - Streetside Green Infrastructure			
DePeyster Creek (Storage/Tree trench)	2,800	\$0.28	\$800
Carol Place (Bioswales)	8,900	\$0.74	\$6,600
West River Ditch (rain gardens)	2,900	\$0.74	\$2,100
Park St. (storage/tree trench)	3,100	\$0.28	\$900
Park St. (Bioswales)	5,200	\$0.74	\$3,800
Main St (storage/Tree trench)	7,100	\$0.28	2,000

Appendix D



Main St (Bioswale)	2,300	\$0.74	\$1,700
Main St (Rain garden)	4,600	\$0.74	\$3,400
Sub-total - Green Features - Streetside			\$21,300
TOTAL ANNUAL O&M COSTS:			\$2,362,100
			say \$2,400,000

This Page has been Intentionally Left Blank.



# 4 ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 Estimating Excavation, Disposal, and Fill Requirements for Culverts & Bridges and Calculating Easements
- Attachment 2 Estimating Impacts to Riparian and Wetland Habitat
- Attachment 3 Operation and Maintenance Requirements for Alternatives 2 and 3

This Page has been Intentionally Left Blank.

# Memo

Date: Tuesday, November 07, 2017

Project:	RBDM
To:	Michael Vecchio
From:	Kim Hayden

Estimating Excavation, Disposal and Fill Requirements for Culverts & Bridges and Subject: Calculating Easements

**Project background**: The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), as the Project sponsor, intends to complete Preliminary Planning and Design for the Rebuild by Design Meadowlands Flood Protection Project, which includes the Boroughs of Little Ferry, Moonachie, Carlstadt, and Teterboro, and the Township of South Hackensack, Bergen County, New Jersey.

The proposed project was developed as a concept through the Hurricane Sandy Rebuilding Task Force's RBD competition. This competition promoted the development of innovative resilience projects in the Sandy-affected region. The Proposed Project is a proposal for the New Jersey Meadowlands that aims to reduce flooding risks in the project area, with potential ancillary benefits.

The Phase 1 Pilot Area was selected by Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through the RBD competition, and HUD Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds, totaling \$150M, have been allocated to it.

The State of New Jersey through New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (NJDCA) is the Grantee of HUD (CDBG–DR) funds that have been appropriated under the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113–2, approved January 29, 2013) related to disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure and housing, and economic revitalization in the most impacted and distressed areas resulting from a major disaster that was declared pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act of 1974 (Stafford Act) in calendar year 2012 for Hurricane Sandy.

To complete the feasibility study for preparing the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the process was developed to perform 3 separate screenings. Screening 1 was a coarse screening to narrow down 30 design concepts to 7 design concepts. Screening 2 increased the level of detail of these 7 concepts which were screened down to a total of 6. These remaining 6

concepts were part of a feasibility study.

## Pipe / Culvert Removal & Replacement Excavation Volumes

The *Excavation (Pavement Road Section)* quantity from the Cost Estimate represents the volume of base course aggregate and asphalt pavement to be removed in order to replace culverts or install new culverts and force mains. A conservative total pavement layer thickness of 1.5 feet was used, based on New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT) Standard Construction Details (Roadway, Traffic, Bridges) dated 2016 and the New Jersey Asphalt Pavement Design Guide dated 2013, which typically requires 6 inches of graded aggregate base course, with a total minimum of 5 inches for the asphalt base and surface layers.

In instances where adequate cover over proposed pipes / culverts marginally could not be achieved due to geometric constraints (i.e., maintaining positive slope along the alignment in a relatively flat area), it is assumed that regrading within the construction limit is permissible in order to meet minimum requirements. Any additional regrading efforts are not included in the Cost Estimate and are expected to be covered by the contingency as needed. For this level of development, cover along the pipe alignment was only verified at manhole and catch basin locations (pipe segment ends). During the next phase of design, cover will be verified along the entire profile of the proposed system using a detailed topographic survey.

The excavation widths were calculated using a modified formula from the American Concrete Pipe Association:

## Excavation trench width (ft) = 0 uter pipe diameter or width (ft) \* 1.25 + 1 ft + 1.5 ft.

The 1.5 feet component of the above formula conservatively accounts for the trench box thickness that may be required given the depth of the excavation and soil type. Multiple trench box vendors recommend a minimum of 6 to 8 inches of separation between the outer diameter / width of the pipe and the box wall.

The *Excavation (Soil)* quantity represents the volume of soil to be removed in order to replace existing culverts or install new culverts or force mains. The depth of the excavation extends from the ground surface, if vegetated (i.e. outside of roadway or asphalted area), or from the bottom of the *Pavement Road Section* layer to the bottom of the proposed coarse aggregate layer beneath the installed pipe.

The proposed *Coarse Aggregate Material* layer thickness for a concrete circular pipe is 6 inches, per the NJDOT 2016 Standard Construction Details, or 2.0 feet below the bottom of a concrete box culvert, per Section 29.5.7 of the NJDOT 2016 Design Manual for Bridges and Structures. Refer to Figures 1 and 2 for a typical excavation trench cross section within a paved area for a box culvert and circular pipe, respectively.



Figure 1 Cross Section of Excavation Trench – Concrete Box Culvert



Figure 2 Cross Section of Excavation Trench – Circular Concrete Pipe

Per the NJDOT 2016 Design Manual for Bridges and Structures, box culverts also require a minimum of 1 foot of a *Coarse Aggregate Material* on both sides once the pipe is laid. *Pipe Bedding Material* accounts for the remainder of the excavation trench, as depicted in Figures 1 and 2 above,

Since no excavated pavement or soils are anticipated to be reused on site, the *Excavation* quantities are equal to the associated *Disposal* volumes. The removal and replacement of storm drainage manholes, inlets and pipe laterals affected by the proposed excavation / installation were accounted for under separate line items in the Cost Estimate.

## Bridge Removal & Replacement

The cost to remove bridge structures within an open channel system were estimated using an

average deck area, calculated by multiplying the existing width by the length of the deck. Proposed bridge structures were quantified by calculating the trench area required to install the new bridge decking, plus 1 foot on both sides of the deck to account for headwalls. This trench area was calculated using the same formula used for pipe / culvert installation, as discussed above. Additionally, notes were included in the Cost Estimate provided the type of bridge or bridge material, i.e., single span wooden footbridge, four span railroad bridge, etc.

Soil excavation and disposal quantities for bridge structures were captured in the total dredging volume calculated in AutoCAD Civil 3D for channel improvements. Due to modeling limitations, the soil excavation (dredging) quantity associated with the removal / replacement of one bridge on the East Riser Ditch was estimated by hand, and not in the Civil 3D model.

## Easements

The real estate quantities for the grey infrastructure civil design are divided into two categories based on the duration of their demand: (1) *Temporary Easements* provide access for construction activities required to implement proposed concept design (i.e., to remove existing and install new pipe, channel widening, pump station construction, etc.). The *Temporary Easements* quantity includes the area within the construction limit boundary. The construction limit encompasses work zones, staging areas and Operations and Maintenance (O&M) access roads. (2) *Permanent Easements* secure necessary work areas to accommodate both routine and emergency repairs and maintenance which may include the use of heavy machinery (i.e., routine channel dredging, pipe repair and cleanout, etc.). The *Permanent Easements* quantity includes the footprint of the excavation trench (for culvert installation areas) and bank to bank extents of the proposed channel plus associated O&M corridors that would be used throughout the lifespan of the installed project.

The O&M corridors for all Feasibility Study concepts are a minimum of 10 feet in width to accommodate truck and vehicular access. Where there are no physical or zoning constraints, the corridors extend to 15 feet wide. The Main Street (1.5) concept corridors extend into the centerline of the roadway, encompassing one direction of traffic.

# Both *Temporary* and *Permanent Easement* quantities were further divided between areas *within Tax Parcels* (private land) or *Public Right-of-Way* (ROW) for Alternative 3 only.

The CAD drawing files containing green infrastructure and landscape design linework were extracted from "Focus Group Data Share > Alternative 2 > Feasibility Study > CAD Models" folder on SharePoint, which were uploaded by AECOM on 29 September 2017. The *Temporary* and *Permanent Easements* were exported to GIS shapefiles, which were then merged with the civil grey infrastructure easements for all concepts. The *Temporary* and *Permanent Easement* boundaries represented on the Real Estate plan sheets encompass all civil grey, green and landscape linework.

# Memo

Date: Tuesday, November 07, 2017

Project:	RBDM
To:	Michael Vecchio
From:	Pratik Desai

Subject: Screening 2 – Estimating Impacts to Riparian and Wetland Habitat

**Project background:** The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), as the Project sponsor, intends to complete Preliminary Planning and Design for the Rebuild by Design (RBD) Meadowlands Flood Protection Project, which includes the Boroughs of Little Ferry, Moonachie, Carlstadt, and Teterboro, and the Township of South Hackensack, Bergen County, New Jersey.

The Proposed Project was developed as a concept through the Hurricane Sandy Rebuilding Task Force's RBD competition. This competition promoted the development of innovative resiliency projects in the Sandy-affected region. The Proposed Project is a proposal for the New Jersey Meadowlands that aims to reduce flooding risks in the project area, with potential ancillary benefits.

The Phase 1 Pilot Area was selected by Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through the RBD competition, and HUD Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds, totaling \$150M, have been allocated to it.

The State of New Jersey through New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (NJDCA) is the Grantee of HUD (CDBG–DR) funds that have been appropriated under the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113–2, approved January 29, 2013) related to disaster relief, long-term recovery, restoration of infrastructure and housing, and economic revitalization in the most impacted and distressed areas resulting from a major disaster that was declared pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act of 1974 (Stafford Act) in calendar year 2012 for Hurricane Sandy.

To complete the feasibility study for preparing the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the process was developed to perform 3 separate screenings. Screening 1 was a coarse screening to narrow down 30 design concepts to 7 design concepts. Screening 2 increased the level of detail of these 7 concepts which were screened down to a total of 6. These remaining 6 concepts were part of a feasibility study.

**Purpose:** This technical memorandum documents the process used to quantify the impacts to riparian areas for several concepts to develop a high level cost estimate for the feasibility study.

**Assumptions for Riparian Buffer:** For all concepts, it was assumed that the riparian buffer area extends 50 feet from the existing channel banks.

**Data/sources used to calculate the impact areas:** Construction Limit: The Construction Limit boundary includes the grey/green infrastructure improvements, temporary construction access, temporary staging

area and permanent operation and maintenance (O&M) access. This boundary was developed in CAD as a part of preparing screening exhibits by HDR and AECOM hydraulic modeling and civil design teams. This boundary was then exported to GIS database where the calculations of impact areas were performed.

Existing Channel Boundary: HDR modeling team defined this boundary as a part of existing conditions hydraulic HEC-RAS 1D/2D or InfoWorks models. This boundary was then exported to GIS database where the calculations of impact areas were performed.

Wetland boundary: 2012 NJDEP land use / land cover data (wetlands and water bodies attributes)

Impervious cover: Manually defined in ArcGIS based on 2015 NJDEP aerial imagery

Riparian vegetation area: 2012 NJDEP land use / land cover data and AECOM vegetated area surveys

**Methodology to calculate impact areas:** The following process was established to calculate the impacts to the riparian habitat for each concept due to construction of the proposed improvements and O&M access after the construction is completed.

- 1. The riparian area within the Construction Limit was determined for each concept using the data layers listed above.
- 2. The existing impervious areas, structures that are proposed for removal / replacement that are grass covered, temporary wetland impact areas, proposed pump station footprints and the existing channel bottom areas were deducted from the riparian area calculated in the first step. The existing channel bottom areas were calculated using average widths from the pre-simplified HEC-RAS models.

The impacts to the riparian habitat areas for the grey infrastructure component of each concept are summarized in the section below.

<b>Riparian Area Re-vegetation Summary (Grey Infrastructure)</b>										
Concept No.	Concept Description	Riparian Re-vegetation Area (AC)	Unit Cost	Total re-vegetation Cost						
13.3	Losen Slote / Carol Place	0.02	\$124,634.00	\$2,492.68						
6.3	Depeyster Creek	1.94	\$124,634.00	\$241,789.96						
12.1	East Riser Ditch	14.87	\$124,634.00	\$1,853,307.58						
13.4	Concept D: East Riser & Losen Slote combination	4.01	\$124,634.00	\$499,782.34						
11.2	West Riser Ditch	8.37	\$124,634.00	\$1,043,186.58						
1.5	Main Street	0	\$124,634.00	\$0.00						

**Basis of unit cost for riparian area restoration:** The unit cost per acre of \$124,634 was used based on construction bid pricing from other recent local projects. This unit cost included both restoration costs for temporary impacts and monitoring and maintenance costs to complete permit compliance. This unit cost does not include mobilization, clearing, grubbing, tree removal, grading activities, access road installation and removal, soil and erosion controls, permitting, and real estate costs. These items would be covered elsewhere. It also assumed that waterfowl fencing and capping or importation of clean fill was not required.

# Memo

Date: Monday, December 18, 2017

Project: RBDM

Subject: Operation and Maintenance Requirements for Alternatives 2 and 3 (*WO 4, Task 9.2 and 9.3*)

## Introduction

AECOM was awarded a contract by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) to complete a feasibility study, environmental impact statement, project design and construction administration for the Rebuild By Design Meadowlands Flood Protection Project (the Proposed Project). That project was one of several award winners for a Rebuild By Design competition sponsored by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Funds for the project are being provided to NJDEP by HUD. AECOM subsequently signed HDR to a subcontract to provide data management, engineering, and environmental sciences support throughout the project. Engineering support for the project included developed and screening of drainage improvement concepts.

The New Meadowlands drainage improvement concept development and screening process included evaluation of the operation and maintenance requirements. The requirements apply equally to Alternative 2 concepts and elements therein adopted as part of Alternative 3 concepts. The HDR design team defined requirements and associated costs for drainage system maintenance, periodic inspection and pump station operation and maintenance. Cost information was provided to the AECOM cost team for use as part of Screening 2.

## **Operation and Maintenance Requirements**

Cost estimates for operating, inspecting and maintaining the various grey infrastructure features associated with the drainage improvement features were developed in general accordance with Federal Flood Control Regulations (Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 208.10 (33 CFR 208.10). Those requirements include system inspections at 90-day intervals during the year and before and after flood events. The required actions and associated frequencies are summarized in Table 1.

Cost estimates were based on man-hour and equipment estimates for system inspections, ditch and culvert cleaning (routine, and before and after flood events), pipe system cleaning, ditch dredging, closed circuit television pipe inspections, pump station inspections, and pumps station power and maintenance. A summary of Operation and Maintenance costs for the seven concepts evaluated as part of Screening 2 is contained in Table 2.

Action	Frequency
System Inspections	
Routine	Four per year (90 days intervals)
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Culvert/Ditch Cleaning	
Routine	Once per year
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Pipe System Cleaning	
Routine	Once per year
Flooding	Before and after one flood each year
Ditch Dredging	Once per 5 years
Closed Circuit Television Inspection	Once per 5 years
Pump Station Inspections	Four times a year (90 day intervals)
Pump Station Maintenance	Twice per year

# Table 1: General Operation and Maintenance Assumptions

Concept	Annual Cost
1.5 Main Street	\$215,300
6.3 Depeyster Creek	\$83,599
7.3 Carol Place	\$110,051
11.2 West Riser Ditch	\$275,478
12.1 East Riser Ditch	\$271,882
13.1 – East Riser Ditch- Main Street	\$562,714
13.2 Losen Slote-Park Street	\$271,882
Concept D (Losen Slote segment)	\$160,125
Concept D (East Riser Ditch segment)	\$476,963

 Table 2: Operation and Maintenance Costs for Alternative 2 Concepts

Attachment A, Figures 1 - 9, contain detailed staffing and equipment-hour breakdowns supporting the costs reported in Table 2.

# Attachment A

# Staff and Equipment-Hour Operation and Maintenance Cost Breakdown

This Page has been Intentionally Left Blank.

Action	No. of actions	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of	Unit cost of equipment/ day	Equipment	Total Annual
Action	peryear	Not of noursystamy action	Not of days, action	NOT OF STUTT	iotal stati noars	Ture	LUDOI COST	equipments	uuy	Tental Cost	cost
System Inspections					-						
Quaterly Inspection	4	40	.5	3	480	\$50.00	\$24,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$24,000.00
Flood Event Inspection	2	40	5	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$12,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning	-		-								1
Routine Cleaning	0	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning	0	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Pipe System Cleaning						-					
Routine Cleaning	1	40	5	3	120	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$13,500.00
Flood Event Cleaning	2	40	.5	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$27,000.00
Ditch Dredging	0	0	C	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
CCTV Inspections					1						
Routine Inspections	0.2	200	25	4	160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$23,000.00
Flood Event Inspections	1	200	25	4	800	\$50.00	\$40,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$75,000.00	\$115,000.00
Pump Station Inspections	1	16	2	1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Total Annual O&M Cost											\$215,300.00

### Concept 1.5 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Figure 1: Main Street Operation Costs

### Concept 6.3 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
System Inspections											
Quaterly Inspection	2	16	2	. 1	. 64	\$50.00	\$3,200.00	l C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$3,200.00
Flood Event Inspection		2 16	2	. 1	32	\$50.00	\$1,600.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning				j j		1					
Routine Cleaning		40		3	120	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$21,000.00
Flood Event Cleaning		40		. 3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00	\$42,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning					0						
Routine Cleaning	ĵ.	0 (0	C	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning		0 0	0	0	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ditch Dredging		р С	C	0	C	\$0.00	\$0.00	, c	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,998.66
CCTV Inspections											1
Routine Inspections	Ì	0 (	C	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspections	(	) (C	0	) C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	-					450.00	1000.00		d4 500.00	10.00	±000.00
Pump Station Inspections	-	16		1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	, <u>c</u>	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Total Annual O&M Cost	L.	L			L		L	1		ļ	\$83,598.66

Total volume excavated 5-Year Dredging Volume Unit Cost of Dredging per CY Unit cost of T & D per CY Total unit cost of Dredging per CY Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years) Total Annualized cost of dredging 4170 CY 417 CY \$9.84 \$170.00 \$179.84 \$74,993.28 \$14,998.66

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 2: Depeyster Creek Operation and Maintenance Costs

### Concept 7.3 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
										2	
System Inspections											
Quaterly Inspection	4	16	2	3	192	\$50.00	\$9,600.00	0	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$9,600.00
Flood Event Inspection	2	16	2	3	96	\$50.00	\$4,800.00	0	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,800.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning											
Routine Cleaning	1	16	2	3	48	\$50.00	\$2,400.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$6,000.00	\$8,400.00
Flood Event Cleaning	2	16	2	3	96	\$50.00	\$4,800.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$12,000.00	\$16,800.00
Pipe System Cleaning										-	
Routine Cleaning	1	16	2	3	48	\$50.00	\$2,400.00	1	\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$4,400.00
Flood Event Cleaning	2	16	2	3	96	\$50.00	\$4,800.00	1	\$1,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$8,800.00
Ditch Drodzing	r			0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	¢0.00	\$13.350.61
Dicci Dreaging				0	0	30.00	30.00		\$0:00	30.00	\$13,230.01
CCTV Inspections											
Routine Inspections	0.2	80	10	4	64	\$50.00	\$3,200.00	2	\$1,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$7,200.00
Flood Event Inspections	1	80	10	4	320	\$50.00	\$16,000.00	2	\$1,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$36,000.00
Pumn Station Inspections	1	16		1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	n	\$1.000.00	50.00	\$800.00
r amp station inspections		10			10	930.00		ľ	91,000.00	,0.00	,000.00
Total Annual O&M Cost											\$110,050.61

Total volume excavated	3684 CY
5-Year Dredging Volume	368.4 CY
Unit Cost of Dredging per CY	\$9.84
Unit cost of T & D per CY	\$170.00
Total unit cost of Dredging per CY	\$179.84
Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years)	\$66,253.06
Total Annualized cost of dredging	\$13,250.61

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 3: Carol Place Operation and Maintenance Costs

#### Concept 11.2 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
System Inspections											
Quaterly Inspection	4	40	5	1	160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Flood Event Inspection	2	2 40	5	1	80	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning											
Routine Cleaning	1	80	10	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00	\$42,000.00
Flood Event Cleaning	2	2 80	10	3	480	\$50.00	\$24,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$60,000.00	\$84,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning									e		
Routine Cleaning	C	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning	C	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ditch Dredging	C	00	0	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$136,678.40
CCTV Inspections			-		3		-	17			
Routine Inspections	C	0	0	C C	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspections	C	0	0	Û Û	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Pump Station Inspections	1	16	2	1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Total Annual O& M Cost											\$275,478.40

Total volume excavated 5-Year Dredging Volume Unit Cost of Dredging per CY Unit cost of T & D per CY Total unit cost of Dredging per CY Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years) \$683,392.00 Total Annualized cost of dredging \$136,678.40

38000 CY

3800 CY

\$9.84

\$170.00

\$179.84

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 4: West Riser Ditch Operation and Maintenance Costs

#### Concept 12.1 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
System Inspections											
Quaterly Inspection	4	40 40	5	. 1	160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	0 0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Flood Event Inspection		2 40	5	1	80	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	0 0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning				-							
Routine Cleaning		1 80	10	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00	\$42,000.00
Flood Event Cleaning	1	2 80	10	3	480	\$50.00	\$24,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$60,000.00	\$84,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning											
Routine Cleaning	I	0	C		C	\$50.00	\$0.00	i c	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning		0	C	Û	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	) C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ditch Dredging	1	0	<u>c</u>	0	<u>c</u>	\$0.00	\$0.00	C C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$133,081.60
CCTV Inspections											
Routine Inspections	1	0	Ċ	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	C C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspections	, i	0	C	0	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Pump Station Inspections		1 16	2	1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	C C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Total Annual O&M Cost			1	I	ļ	ļ	I	I	L.	1	\$271,881.60

- Total volume excavated 5-Year Dredging Volume Unit Cost of Dredging per CY Unit cost of T & D per CY Total unit cost of Dredging per CY Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years) Total Annualized cost of dredging
- 37000 CY 3700 CY \$9.84 \$170.00 \$179.84 \$665,408.00

\$133,081.60

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

## Figure 5: East Riser Ditch Operation and Maintenance Costs

#### Concept 13.1 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
		-				5	6				
System Inspections					1						
Quaterly Inspection	1	40 40	5	4	640	\$50.00	\$32,000.00	) ()	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$32,000.00
Flood Event Inspection	-	40	5	4	320	\$50.00	\$16,000.00	0 0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$16,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning	8 2					0	0				р. — а
Routine Cleaning	1	80	10	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00	\$42,000.00
Flood Event Cleaning		2 80	10	3	480	\$50.00	\$24,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$60,000.00	\$84,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning											
Routine Cleaning	1	40	5	3	120	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	) 1	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$13,500.00
Flood Event Cleaning	-	2 40	5	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$27,000.00
Ditch Dredging	(	0	0	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	C	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$208,614.40
CCTV Inspections											
Routine Inspections	0.2	2 200	25	4	160	\$50.00	\$8.000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$15.000.00	\$23,000,00
Flood Event Inspections		200	25	4	800	\$50.00	\$40,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$75,000.00	\$115,000.00
Rumn Station Inspections		16		,	37	\$50.00	\$1.600.00	r	\$1.500.00	50.00	\$1.600.00
r unip station inspattions	-	10 10	2		32	330.00	91,000.00	ر ر د	, <u>31,300,00</u>	,0.00	\$1,000,00
Total Annual O&M Cost											\$562,714.40

Total volume excavated 5-Year Dredging Volume Unit Cost of Dredging per CY Unit cost of T & D per CY Total unit cost of Dredging per CY Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years) Total Annualized cost of dredging 58000 CY 5800 CY \$9.84 \$170.00 \$179.84 \$1,043,072.00 \$208,614.40

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 6: East Riser Ditch / Main Street Extension Operation and Maintenance Costs

#### Concept 13.2 - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
System Inspections											
Quaterly Inspection	1	40	5	. 1	. 160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Flood Event Inspection		40	5	1	80	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning		1									
Routine Cleaning		80	10	3	240	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$30,000.00	\$42,000.00
Flood Event Cleaning		80	10	3	480	\$50.00	\$24,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$60,000.00	\$84,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning	-	0								2	
Routine Cleaning	(	0	c c	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning	(	C	C	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ditch Dredging	ſ			0	c.	\$0.00	\$0.00	D	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$133,081.60
						,	,	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
CCTV Inspections											
Routine Inspections	(	0	L C	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspections	(	0	0	C	C	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
						4-0.00	4000.00			40.00	4000.00
Pump Station Inspections		16	4 Z		16	\$50.00	\$800.00		\$1,500.00	50.00	\$800.00
									6074 004 00		
lotal Annual D&M Cost									\$271,881.60		

Total volume excavated 5-Year Dredging Volume Unit Cost of Dredging per CY Unit cost of T & D per CY Total unit cost of Dredging per CY Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years) Total Annualized cost of dredging

37000 CY 3700 CY \$9.84 \$170.00 \$179.84 \$665,408.00 \$133,081.60

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 7: Losen Slote – Park Street Operation and Maintenance Costs

### Concept 13.2 Losen Slote Portion of Concept D - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost
System Inspections										
Quarterly Inspection	4	40	5	1	160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	C C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspection	2	40	5	1	80	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	C C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning	6-	v					-			
Routine Cleaning	0	80	10	3	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning	0	80	10	3	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
Pipe System Cleaning										
Routine Cleaning (once every two years)	0.5	10	5	2	10	\$50.00	\$500.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$3,750.00
Flood Event Cleaning (before and after a flood annully)	2	10	1.25	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$3,750.00
Ditch Dredging		0	0	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	C	\$0.00	\$0.00
CCTV Inspections	5	×								
Routine Inspections (once per 5 yrs)	0.2	10	1.25	2	4	\$50.00	\$200.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$375.00
Flood Event Inspections (before and after a flood annually)	2	10	1.25	2	40	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$3,750.00
Pump Station Inspections	1	16	2	1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	1	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.00
Pump Station Power and Maintenance										
Total Annual O&M Cost										

Total volume excavated	0 CY
5-Year Dredging Volume	0 CY
Unit Cost of Dredging per CY	\$9.84
Unit cost of T & D per CY	\$170.00
Total unit cost of Dredging per CY	\$179.84
Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years)	\$0.00
Total Annualized cost of dredging	\$0.00

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 8: Losen Slote Segment of Concept D

### Concept 12.1 East Riser Ditch Segment of Concept D - Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

Action	No. of actions per year	No. of hours/staff/action	No. of days/action	No. of staff	Total staff hours	Avg. labor rate	Labor cost	No. of equipments	Unit cost of equipment/day	Equipment rental cost	Total Annual cost
					)	1					
System Inspections									-		
Quaterly Inspection	1	40	5	1	160	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Flood Event Inspection	2	2 40	5	1	80	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
Ditch/Culvert Cleaning											
Routine Cleaning	1	1 20	2.5	3	60	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$10,500.00
Flood Event Cleaning	Ż	2 20	2.5	3	120	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	2	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$21,000.00
Pipe System Cleaning	6										
Routine Cleaning	Ċ	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Cleaning	C C	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ditch Dredging	C	ם נו	0	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$72,662.55
CCTV Inspections											
Routine Inspections	C	0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Flood Event Inspections	c c	0 0	0	0	0	\$50.00	\$0.00	C	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
					ji						
Pump Station Inspections	1	16	2	1	16	\$50.00	\$800.00	0	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Pump Station Power and Maintenance					1						\$360,000.00
Total Annual O&M Cost										\$476,962.55	

East Riser Ditch Length (Tide Gate to US of HW 80)=	20100 ft
East Riser Ditch Length (Tide Gate to Moonachie Ave)=	4300 ft
Ratio	0.21
Assumed Dredging Volumes	
Total volume excavated	20202 CY
5-Year Dredging Volume	2020.2 CY
Unit Cost of Dredging per CY	\$9.84
Unit cost of T & D per CY	\$170.00
Total unit cost of Dredging per CY	\$179.84
Total Cost of Dredging (every 5 years)	\$363,312.77
Total Annualized cost of dredging	\$72,662.55

10% of the total excavated volume to be dredged every 5 years.

Figure 9: East Riser Ditch of Concept D

This Page has been Intentionally Left Blank.