

AGENDA

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Housekeeping
- 3. Project Status/Recap of Previous Meeting
- 4. Recap of Screening Criteria
- 5. Breakout Sessions
- 6. Recap Comments, Q&A and Next Steps

HOUSEKEEPING

CAG Communication Frameworks

	Planned	Actual
1 DAY after CAG meeting:		
 All material provided at meeting distributed 	Nov 24	Nov 24
5 DAYS after CAG meeting:		
 Distribution of meeting summary 	Nov 30	Nov 30
 10 DAYS after CAG meeting: 		
 CAG comments on meeting summary due 	Dec 7	Comment Period Ongoing
 2 Days prior to CAG meeting: 		
Finalize meeting summary	Dec 1	Comment Period Ongoing
Provide agenda	Dec 1	Dec 1
 Other information regarding meeting content 	Dec 1	None

PROJECT STATUS





Screening

Purpose & Need

Scoping

Criteria/ Metrics

Concept Alternative

Screening Analysis

Draft EIS

Final EIS

ROD

June 2015 Aug 2015

Notice

of Intent

Sept 2015 Oct 2015

Dec 2015

April 2016

July 2016

Nov. 2016

Jan. 2017

NEPA PROCESS <u>Technical Environmental Studies</u>

ROD

FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

NOI - Notice of Intent

ROD - Record of Decision

EIS - Environmental Impact Statement






SCREENING CRITERIA RECAP


- Criteria are factors that help us evaluate the concepts in terms of:
 - Meeting Purpose and Need
 - Potential Benefits to the Community
 - Potential Impacts to the Natural and Built Environment
- Metrics are how we measure the criteria.


CRITERIA	Flood Risk Reduction	Built Environment	Environmental Impacts	Construction
METRIC	Percentage reduction in flooding	Potential for new amenities created	Number of hazardous waste sites	Degree of difficulty to construct

QUALITATIVE METRICS

Criteria are evaluated on a 'Good, Fair, Poor' standard.







GOOD

Maximum permanent structures with fewer deployable structures. Lower ongoing operation and maintenance costs. Reduced potential for human error.

FAIR


More deployable structures. Moderate ongoing operation and maintenance costs. Moderate potential for human error.


Many deployable structures. High ongoing operation and maintenance costs. Higher potential for human error.



QUANTITATIVE METRICS

Criteria are evaluated numerically.





(0) Sites



HAZARDOUS WASTE

Number of potentially contaminated sites based on desktop data collection.

BREAKOUT SESSION

DECEMBER 3, 2015

RECAP - COMMENTS, Q&A AND NEXT STEPS

December 10, 2015: Concept Screening (Public Meeting)

Wallace School Gym 1100 Willow Avenue 6:00 - 9:00 PM

- Public Drop-In Sessions:
 - December 15, 2015
 St. Lawrence Church Community Room
 22 Hackensack Avenue, Weehawken
 6:30 8:30 PM
 - December 17, 2015
 Hoboken Housing Authority Senior Building
 221 Jackson Street, Hoboken
 6:30 8:30 PM