NJDEP's Highlands Septic Density, 2016 proposal

> Clean Water Council May 10, 2016 Jeffrey L. Hoffman







revised

Highlands Act, 2005

Highlands Water Protection and Planning Act N.J.S.A. 13:20-1 et seq http://www.nj.gov/dep/highlands/docs/highlands_bill.pdf

NJDEP regulations

Highlands Water Protection and Planning Act Rules N.J.A.C. 7:38 http://www.nj.gov/dep/rules/rules/njac7_38.pdf

Highlands Act

The Legislature further finds and declares that the New Jersey Highlands is an <u>essential source of drinking water</u>, providing clean and plentiful drinking water for one-half of the State's population, including communities beyond the New Jersey Highlands, from only 13 percent of the State's land area; that the New Jersey Highlands contains <u>other exceptional natural resources</u> such as clean air, contiguous forest lands, wetlands, pristine watersheds, and habitat for fauna and flora, includes <u>many sites of historic significance</u>, and <u>provides abundant recreational opportuni</u>ties for the citizens of the State.

The Legislature further finds and declares that the New Jersey Highlands provides a <u>desirable quality of life</u> and place where people live and work; that it is <u>important to</u> <u>ensure the economic viability of communities</u> throughout the New Jersey Highlands; and that residential, commercial, and industrial development, <u>redevelopment, and</u> <u>economic growth in certain appropriate areas</u> of the New Jersey Highlands are also in the best interests of all the citizens of the State, providing innumerable social, cultural, and economic benefits and opportunities.

Highlands Regions



Highlands Potable Water



Septic Density Model

N.J.S.A. 13:20-34e. a septic system density standard established at a level to prevent the degradation of water quality, or to require the restoration of water quality, and to protect ecological uses from individual, secondary, and cumulative impacts, in consideration of deep aquifer recharge available for dilution;

Septic Density Model

- Trela-Douglas
- mass model (NO₃ in = NO₃ out)
- septic system is only sources of NO₃
- lot provides dilution recharge
- used in NJ since late 1970s

Highlands Inputs

- people per home 4
- nitrate load per person per year

(10 #/year/person)

recharge rate

- deep aquifer recharge
- drought of record 9.8"/year
- average recharge is 14.6 "/yr
- NO₃ target based on background (mg/l)
- 3% impervious cover
- Result in acres/home (A_{97%})

Nitrate Estimate, 2006

- Background NO₃?
- USGS NWIS
 - 633 NO₃ values from 388 wells
 - Eliminate high 5% (>3.6 mg/l), 602 left
 - Mean \rightarrow 0.8 mg/l
- Serfes, 2004
 - Noncarbonate bedrock, northern NJ
 - 45 samples \rightarrow 0.76 mg/l NO₃
- USGS pristine wells
 - 90% forest, wetlands and water within 500 meters of well
 - 7 wells \rightarrow 0.21 mg/l

Septic Density Results





DEP Approach, 2006, Preservation Area						
Category		# of samples		Median NO ₃ (mg/l)		Density (acres/system)
Nonforested		45		0.76		25
Forested		7		0.21		88

of Additional Septics?



Preservation Area,

Preserved

Developed

Undeveloped



Undeveloped Lots in Preservation Area



Highlands Council analysis

<u>Court Case, 2008</u>

- Farm Bureau challenge, "arbitrary and capricious"
 - used the drought of record (9.8 "/yr) rather than the annual average recharge rate (14.6"/yr)
 - arbitrarily assumed the average number of persons per household in the Highlands Region is four rather than the actual average of 2.7
 - arbitrarily selected low ambient nitrate levels for both forested and non-forested areas
- 2009 ALJ ruling in DEP's favor, Farm Bureau appealed
- 2010 stay on appeals
- DEP decision need better NO₃ estimate

NJDEP Changes

 Switch to land use capability zones compatible with HC's RMP

• Expand nitrate data base Private Well Testing Act

HC's Land Use Community Zones



Private Well Testing Act

Search All of NJ -



STATE OF NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER SUPPLY AND GEOSCIENCE



-

DWSG Home | Contact DWSG | A - Z Index | DEP Home

Water Supply Home		Private well resting Act (PWTA)
		Required when selling or leasing a home or multi-unit dwelling with private well
Drinking Water		
Customers	,	• <u>An Overview</u>
		 Free online lessons developed by the National Ground Water Association with support from
Drinking Water	•	the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
Systems		Frequently Asked Questions
147-II-		Statutory and Regulatory Authority
wells	•	 <u>Private Well Testing Act, N.J.S.A. 58:12A-26 et seq.</u>
N1 Coological and		 <u>Private Well Testing Act regulations, N.J.A.C. 7:9E et seq.</u> – Full text of the rules that
NJ Geological and		implement the Act
water Survey		 <u>Regulations Governing the Certification of Laboratories & Environmental Measurements</u>,
Water Allocation		N.J.A.C. 7:18 et seq.
Water Allocation	,	List of New Jersey Certified Laboratories
General Information	•	 <u>Additional Resources</u>: educational, remediation/treatment funding, treatment devices and
		health effects
Contact Us		Technical Resources
		 <u>Required Parameters for Private Well Testing</u>
Open Public Records		 <u>Global Positioning System (GPS) Requirements for Spatial Data Collection</u>
Act (OPRA)		 Office of Information Resources Management (OIRM), Bureau of Geographic Information Systems (BGIS)
		 <u>US Army Corps of Engineers – Army Geospatial Center</u>
		 <u>New Jersey Private Well Water Test Reporting Form</u> - A form used exclusively by laboratories reporting well test results to
		their clients.
		 Directory of New Jersey Health Departments
		• PWTA data
		 Initial Well Test Results for September 2002 - March 2003
		Weil lest Results For September 2002 - April 2007
		Addendum to Well Test Results For September 2002 - April 2007
		 Well Test Results, September 2002-April 2014

Driveto Wall Testing Act (DWTA)

- Laboratory Reporting of Results
- Contact us

http://www.nj.gov/dep/watersupply/pw pwta.html



Model Grid



Combined PWTA & NWIS Data



Observed PWTA NO₃

Summary of Private Well Testing Act Data								
	Plan	ning Area Zo	ones	Preservation Area Zones				
Parameter	Conserva- tion	Existing Comm.	Protec- tion	Conserva- tion	Existing Comm.	Protec- tion		
Summary of Observed Nitrate Values								
Minimum (mg/l)	0.038	0.1	0.059	0.13	0.033	0.035		
median (mg/l)	3.00	3.43	2.17	2.30	3.36	2.00		
maximum (mg/l)	26	106	19.8	26.2	153	23.6		
number	1,562	3,294	2,273	781	2,206	4,699		
Number of Samples with no Observed Nitrate								
number	339	687	747	188	653	1,833		

USGS Modeling - 1

- What land use parameters are significant?
- Examined 320 different parameters in a 500meter radius around each NWIS point
- 5 land use characteristics are significant:
 - % urban land
 - % ag land
 - septic system density
 - total length of streams
 - # of known contaminated sites

USGS Modeling - 2

- 2,000' x 2,000' grid cells
- NJDEP provided 19,371 NO₃ values
- USGS model based on 19,670 NO₃ values
- Logistic regression model of median value in each cell
- Apply to all cells, generate a median NO₃
- Centroid of each cell assigned to a Highlands Area and a LUCZ
- Take median of all cell medians

Estimated Median NO₃



Nondetects – How to Handle?

Concern that not addressing NDs may artificially raise estimate of median NO₃.

of NO3 NDs by DL

- 0.0 mg/l
- 50% of detection limit
- 100% of detection limit
- Kaplan-Meier analysis of left-censored data



NonDetect Methods & Results

USGS ran model 4 times, substituting all NDs with a different value each time.

Preservation Area						
Table 1. Estimated median nit	rate (mg/l)					
	Method for Handling Non-Detects					
Zone	Zero	0.5DL	K-M	DL		
Existing Community	1.77	1.78	1.79	1.79		
Conservation	1.60	1.61	1.64	1.64		
Protection	0.80	0.83	1.05	1.06		
	\bigcirc					

Assume 0.0 mg/l for all NDs

- Most conservative
- Housing densities, acres/system
 - 11 existing community LUCZ
 - 12 conservation LUCZ
 - 23 protection LUCZ
- 10,710 units
- + 1,145 (12%)

<u>Status</u>

- Published in register May 5, 2016
- http://www.state.nj.us/dep/rules/ proposals/20160502a.pdf
- Public hearing June 1, 2016

Objections So Far

- PWTA data skewed towards development
- Should measure, not model, NO₃
- Rollback in protection

Questions?