



## State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

**MAIL CODE 401-02B**

WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

401 EAST STATE STREET

P.O. BOX 420

Trenton, NJ 08625-0420

TEL: # (609) 292-4543

FAX # (609) 292-0913

PHIL MURPHY

*Governor*

SHEILA Y. OLIVER

*Lt. Governor*

CATHERINE R. McCABE

*Commissioner*

November 1, 2019

### **NOTICE OF FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND INTENDED USE PLAN FOR FFY2019**

Dear Interested Party:

The Department of Environmental Protection (Department) has prepared the following document for the administration of the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2019/State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2020 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund:

#### **Final Amendments to the Federal Fiscal Year 2019/ State Fiscal Year 2020 Intended Use Plan**

The Intended Use Plan (IUP) must be developed by the Department, undergo a public participation process and be approved by the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) for the Department to be awarded its capitalization grants used to finance water infrastructure projects.

In March 2019, the Department finalized the Drinking Water (DW) IUP for FFY2018 and FFY2019 and the Clean Water (CW) IUP for FFY2018 after a public comment period. The FFY2019 CWSRF IUP was proposed and finalized in a separate document. The Final documents are posted on the Department's web site at <http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cwpl.htm>.

The March 2019 DW IUP included changes to fund projects based on project rank (vs. readiness-to-proceed), to ensure the highest priority (those impacting public health) projects would be funded with the available funds. The Department stated in the March 2019 DW IUP that for those projects that received an Authorization to Advertise after July 31, 2018, the Department will be determining financing availability and loan terms in priority ranked order in the Spring 2020 based on available funds.

However, uncertainty regarding funding and delays until Spring 2020 have posed challenges to drinking water systems that need these funds to move forward to address priority public health projects including MCL violations and Lead Action Level Exceedances (ALEs). Therefore, the

Department amended the FFY2019 DW IUP to establish timelines that will allow projects in the fundable range to move forward prior to Spring 2020. In addition, since the demand for drinking water funds for FFY2019 has been much higher than anticipated and exceeds the current amount of available funds, the Department has added a funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly-owned water systems to ensure that the limited funds are distributed among multiple high priority projects. The project cap will not apply to projects over \$25 million that received an Authorization to Advertise for any portion of their project by September 1, 2019.

The Final documents are posted on the Department's web site at <http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cwpl.htm>.

If you have any questions regarding the DWSRF IUP, please contact the following Department staff below:

Linda Doughty	Drinking Water SRF Project List and Policies	609-292-5550
Kristin Tedesco	Drinking Water SRF Project List and Policies	609-292-5550

Sincerely,



Patricia Gardner, Director  
Division of Water Supply and Geoscience

# NEW JERSEY'S ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING PROGRAM

*FINAL AMENDMENTS to the  
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan  
for Federal Fiscal Year 2019 (and State Fiscal Year 2020)*



New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection  
Water Resource Management  
Division of Water Supply and Geoscience  
Water System Operations Element

November 2019

***FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE  
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan  
for Federal Fiscal Year 2019 (and State Fiscal Year 2020)***

The Priority System/Intended Use Plan (IUP) document must be developed annually, undergo a public participation process and be approved by the US Environmental Protection Agency for the State to qualify for State Revolving Fund (SRF) capitalization grants to support the New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Financing Program (“Water Bank”).

In March 2019, the NJ Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) finalized the Drinking Water (DW) IUP for federal fiscal year (FFY) 2018 and FFY2019 and the Clean Water (CW) IUP for FFY2018 after a public comment period. The FFY2019/State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2020 CWSRF IUP was proposed and finalized in a separate document. Copies of the final March 2019 IUP can be found on the website at [www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cwpl.htm](http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cwpl.htm).

The March FFY2019 DW IUP provided information on how drinking water funds, available through the DEP and the NJ Infrastructure Bank (I-Bank), would be used to provide financial assistance for drinking water projects and identified State policies governing loan awards.

The March FFY2019 DW IUP included changes to fund projects based on project rank (vs. readiness-to-proceed), to ensure the highest priority (those impacting public health) projects would be funded with the available funds. The DEP stated in the March 2019 DW IUP that for those projects that received an authorization to advertise after July 31, 2018, the DEP will be determining financing availability and loan terms in priority ranked order in the Spring 2020 based on available funds.

However, uncertainty regarding funding and delays until Spring 2020 have posed challenges to drinking water systems that need these funds to move forward to address priority public health projects including MCL violation and Lead Action Level Exceedances (ALEs). Therefore, the DEP has amended the FFY2019 DW IUP to establish timelines that will allow projects in the fundable range to move forward prior to Spring 2020.

Furthermore, the DEP has added a funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly-owned water system projects that have not received an Authorization to Advertise for a portion of their project on or before September 1, 2019. The DEP established the cap to ensure that limited funds are distributed among multiple high priority projects since the demand for drinking water funds for FFY2019 has been much higher than anticipated and exceeds the current amount of available funds. The DEP is actively pursuing additional funding sources to address the increasing drinking water infrastructure financial needs and reserves the right to modify or waive the cap requirement should available funding increase. Further details on the revised funding timelines and cap are described below.

*“The New Jersey Water Bank is a partnership to... provide low-cost financing for the design, construction, and implementation of projects that help to protect, maintain and improve water quality.”*

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Protecting and enhancing New Jersey's water quality and water infrastructure is vital to the State's health and economy. While often taken for granted, significant planning and investment is required to sustain and improve New Jersey's aging infrastructure systems. That cost often exceeds the capabilities of local water utilities. New Jersey's Water Bank is a permanent low-cost financing program available for an extensive range of water quality infrastructure projects. The NJ Water Bank administers New Jersey's Clean Water SRF (CWSRF) and Drinking Water SRF (DWSRF) under the federal Clean Water Act and Safe Drinking Water Act, respectively.

Established in 1988, the New Jersey Water Bank is a partnership between the DEP and the New Jersey Infrastructure Bank ("I-Bank") to provide low-cost financing for the design, construction, and implementation of projects that help to protect, maintain and improve water quality. It is a revolving/self-perpetuating loan program, in that SRF loan repayments are committed to finance future projects in perpetuity.

The priorities and policies of the NJ Water Bank are established through IUPs. Projects eligible for financing include a wide variety of wastewater treatment works, stormwater management, drinking water systems, land acquisition, and landfill activities.

The NJ Water Bank will continue to offer very attractive low-cost financing packages, including principal forgiveness (or grant-like funding), interest-free loans, and low interest loans for high priority projects.

Because of the success of the DWSRF program over the last two years, the NJ Water Bank has funded a record number of projects and currently has many more project applications in-house in FFY2018 than in previous years. Therefore, to accommodate the maximum number of these projects, and comply with the federal requirements, the DEP amended the FFY2018/SFY2019 and FFY2019/SFY2020 IUPs to modify the DWSRF loan rates, the funding priority, and certain project set-asides in September 2018, and proposed additional changes in December 2018, with the required 30-day comment periods. The DEP responded to all comments regarding these proposed changes and finalized the DWSRF FFY2018/SFY2019 and FFY2019/SFY2020 IUPs in March 2019.

The current amendments to the timing of funding decisions applies to the final FFY2019/SFY2020 DW IUP only. Specifically, these changes will allow:

- All Lead service line replacement projects that are in the fundable range and qualify for principal forgiveness as of the date of the final IUP to proceed to a short-term loan closing after certification by DEP. The DEP has set aside \$30 million for principal forgiveness for LSL replacement projects. \$9 million of the \$30 million set aside has been committed to a LSL project that closed on a short term loan in SFY 2019.
- All projects in the fundable range as of January 1, 2020 to proceed on a short-term loan closing on a readiness to proceed basis in advance of Spring 2020 as follows:
  - Projects in the fundable range as of January 1, 2020 that do not receive certification by January 1, 2020 will be offered funding in SFY 2020 provided the project receives certification by March 1, 2020.

- Projects in the fundable range as of January 1, 2020 that fail to receive certification by March 1, 2020 will be bypassed for the SFY2020 funding cycle and the fundable range will be extended accordingly. The DEP will reach out to all applicants having projects in the January 1, 2020 fundable range asking to confirm readiness to proceed in SFY2020 or request to be bypassed to the next SFY. This will allow the DEP to extend the fundable range by allocating funds from bypassed projects to the next ranked project.

Further details on the revised funding timelines are described on page 11.

The DEP is also adding a funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly-owned water systems to ensure that the limited funds are distributed among multiple high priority projects. This cap applies to publicly-owned water system applicants with projects receiving an Authorization to Advertise after September 1, 2019. The total project cost for funding may not exceed \$25 million per applicant per year and includes the cost funded at the base rate as well as the cost financed at an enhanced subsidization rate (Nano, affordability, lead line replacement). Projects will be funded in priority ranked order based on available funds. This cap does not apply to any projects that have received an Authorization to Advertise for a portion of their project on or before September 1, 2019, provided the scope of the project is not changed or expanded.

## PROGRAM SUMMARY

The DEP and the I-Bank made several changes to the DWSRF program in FFY2018 and FFY2019 and are continuing to support changes that include:

- 50% funding from the DEP at 0% interest and 50% funding from the Water Bank at the AAA market rate bond for publicly-owned water systems;
- 25% funding from the DEP at 0% interest and 75% funding from the Water Bank at the AAA market rate bond for privately-owned water systems;
- Funding in ranked order, not based on readiness-to-proceed as in past years to ensure the highpriority public health projects will be able to be funded in FFY2019 with the funds available;
- Maintaining a loan repayment period of 30 years;
- Maintaining the population cut-offs for the Nano program of 10,000 customers for principal forgiveness; and
- Continuation of the Asset Management Program for small systems with high-ranking projects.

### Continued Improvements Initiated in 2017:

#### Rolling Applications

Applications will be accepted anytime of the year.  
There are no submission deadlines.

#### Principal Forgiveness Funds

The DEP will continue using any carryover principal forgiveness funds from 2017 and 2018 allocating principal forgiveness funds in SFY2019 as follows:

##### **LEAD SERVICE LINE REPLACEMENT PROGRAM**

Funding of lead service line projects will be in ranked order, with those systems exceeding the lead action level receiving the loan rates of 90% principal forgiveness, to replace lead lines in communities serving customers whose median household incomes are less than the county median household income. The loans are capped based on the population served (\$1M, \$5M, \$10M) per applicant/year. Other lead service lines projects will receive the base rate, affordability rate, or Nano financing.

##### **SMALL SYSTEM ASSET MANAGEMENT**

100% principal forgiveness to develop and implement asset management programs for small clean water systems and for small drinking water systems with a high-ranking project (\$100k cap).

##### **NANO**

50% principal forgiveness for small drinking water systems serving ≤ 10,000 residents, in addition to 25% DEP interest-free financing, and 25% I-Bank Market Rate financing.



## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
Program Summary.....	5
Program Goals.....	7
Borrower Eligibility .....	7
Drinking Water Borrowers .....	7
Security Monitoring .....	8
Continued Typical Planning & Design: .....	8
FFY2019 Funding Packages (Long-Term Loans) .....	9
Principal Forgiveness Funds .....	9
Asset Management for Small Systems .....	9
Replacement of Lead Service Line Set-Aside Program .....	10
Nano Loan Program .....	11
Small Water System Engineering Contract Program .....	11
Community Engineering Corps.....	11
Financing Options .....	11
Base DWSRF .....	11
Project Caps.....	12
Revised Drinking Water Financing Timeline .....	12
Planning and Design.....	13
SAIL Program .....	13
Short-Term Loans .....	13
Noteworthy Program Features.....	14
Application.....	14
Loan Awards.....	14
Pre-award Approvals/Emergency Projects .....	14
DEP & I-Bank Fee .....	14
Sources and Use of Funds.....	15
Financial Relationships between the CWSRF and the DWSRF.....	15
Additional SRF Provisions: .....	16
APPENDIX 1: Drinking Water Project Ranking Methodology.....	18
APPENDIX 2: Summary Of Public Comments And Agency Responses from the Final DWSRF FFY2018 and FFY2019 IUP dated March 2019.....	24
APPENDIX 3: Summary of Public Comments and Agency Response from the Proposed Amendments to the Final FFY2019 (and SFY2020) DWSRF IUP .....	33
APPENDIX 4: Amendments To The Final Fiscal Year 2019 Project Priority List .....	38



## PROGRAM GOALS

**Short-term:** Provide funding to needed, construction ready, highly-ranked water quality improvement projects.

**Long-term:**

- Provide capital for water and wastewater infrastructure renewal to protect public health and the environment for multiple generations of New Jersey citizens;
- Continue serving as the Garden State's premier source of environmental infrastructure financing through self-sustaining, efficient and transparent programs;
- Establish and efficiently manage a permanent source of funding for clean water and drinking water infrastructure projects;
- Provide project financing at a much lower cost than program participants could achieve individually thereby passing substantial savings on to New Jersey taxpayers and rate payers; and
- Increase access to capital markets for those participants that find it difficult or expensive on their own, due to lower credit ratings or a lack of familiarity with debt financing.



**Construction of Storage Tanks at the Jackson Twp. MUA**

## BORROWER ELIGIBILITY

The following project sponsors are eligible to receive drinking water related NJ Water Bank financing provided they satisfy the I-Bank and State of New Jersey creditworthiness standards:

### Drinking Water Borrowers

Public community water systems, both privately and publicly owned, and nonprofit noncommunity water systems (as defined by the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations) are eligible for Water Bank assistance. Public community water systems owned by water commissions, water supply authorities, and water districts are also eligible. Federally owned systems and State-owned systems (State agencies, such as State Police, Parks and Forestry, and Corrections) are not eligible to receive Water Bank assistance.

### Drinking Water Project Eligibility


The main objective of drinking water funding is to protect the public health in conformance with the objectives of the Safe Drinking Water Act. Types of eligible projects include:

- Projects to maintain compliance with existing regulations for contaminants with acute health effects (e.g. Surface Water Treatment Rule, Revised Total Coliform Rule) and existing regulations for contaminants with chronic health effects (e.g. Lead and Copper Rule)
- Full lead service lines replacement by systems with Lead Action Level Exceedance



**Drilling of a Drinking Water Well at the Berkeley Twp. MUA**

- Treatment of unregulated contaminants (contaminants that are currently not regulated under the SDWA rules, including contaminants of emerging concern for which there is no drinking water standard)
- Rehabilitate or develop sources to replace contaminated sources
- Treatment facilities
- Storage facilities
- Transmission and distribution pipes, including lead service line location and replacement, regardless of whether the system has ownership of the pipe.
- Projects that address the exceedance of a recommended upper limit for secondary contaminants.
- Purchase or consolidation (i.e., restructure) of a water system that is unable to maintain compliance for technical, financial, or managerial reasons.
- Emergency Repair Projects to replace, in kind, the failure of an essential portion of a public water system that will disrupt water service to any number of the public water system's customers for a minimum of 24 hours total and/or poses a substantial threat to the public health, safety, and welfare.



**Drinking water service line eligible up to the isolation valve**

## Security Monitoring

Projects designed to improve security at otherwise funding-eligible wastewater and drinking water facilities are eligible for funding, including but not limited to: Fencing

- Lighting
- Motion detectors
- Cameras
- Secure doors
- Alternative auxiliary power sources

## Continued Typical Planning & Design:

Planning & Design Loans cover costs incurred in the planning and design phase of a water infrastructure project. These short-term loans are currently being offered at 0% interest and will be offered to eligible projects in the fundable range. Eligible costs include engineering fees, surveys, environmental or geological studies, and other costs related to project plan preparation. The loans must be rolled into a Water Bank capital improvement project or repaid in 3 years. (Note, if combined with a construction loan, the total Short-term Construction Loan term may extend up to five (5) full fiscal years).

## FFY2019 FUNDING PACKAGES (LONG-TERM LOANS)

Table 1: Long-Term 30 Year Funding Packages Breakdown – Drinking Water

Drinking Water	DEP 0%*	I-Bank Market Rate**	Principal Forgiveness	Funding Cap
Asset Management Plan Development	0%	0%	100%	\$100,000 <sup>1</sup>
Base DWSRF – Public	50%	50%	0%	\$25 million <sup>2</sup> (remainder at I-Bank market rate)
Base DWSRF – Investor-owned	25%	75%	0%	\$10 million (remainder at I-Bank market rate)
Small System (“Nano”) ≤ 10,000 customers	25%	25%	50%	\$1 million (remainder at 50/50 or 25/75)
Affordability (publicly-owned water systems only) <sup>3</sup>	75%	25%	0%	\$10 million (Remainder at base)
Lead Line Replacement <sup>4</sup>	10%	0%	90%	\$ 1, 5 or 10 million (depending on population served per eligible water system; remainder at applicable base rate)

\*DEP

\*\*Water Bank portion of funding is at AAA market Rate

### Principal Forgiveness Funds

The DEP expects to use the maximum amount available for principal forgiveness utilizing SRF monies subject to federal restrictions. In addition to the SRF, the DEP may increase any amounts identified in the IUP reserved for principal forgiveness and adjust any caps if additional SRF or non-SRF funds (including Natural Resource Damages (NRD) recovered by the State and Corporate Business Tax (CBT)-diesel funds or subsidized financing received for eligible large dollar-value projects in coordination with the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) to supplement principal forgiveness or low-cost loan funding. In addition, the DEP may bank any non-SRF financing towards future State Match requirements subject to EPA approval.

#### Asset Management for Small Systems

The asset management funding will be available to small drinking water systems in FFY2019, with a high-ranked capital improvement project.

DEP expects to make SRF or non-SRF funds available in the form of principal forgiveness through the NJ Water Bank and cap the amount at \$100,000 per applicant. A total of \$1 million in principal

<sup>1</sup> \$100,000 principal forgiveness for a small system (≤10,000) asset management plan development with a resulting capital improvement project that ranks high enough to qualify for funding. Large systems are also eligible for DEP 100% loans (no PF) asset management funding, funded in ranked order.

<sup>2</sup> The total project cost for funding may not exceed \$25M per applicant per year and includes the cost of funded at the base rate as well as the cost financed at an enhanced subsidization rate (Nano, affordability, lead line replacement).

<sup>3</sup> Systems serving municipalities with MHI ≤ 65% State MHI (2010 census).

<sup>4</sup> Water systems that exceed the lead action level are eligible to receive the lead line replacement funding, in project priority order according to ranking assigned by the priority ranking system (see Appendix 1). PF Funding is capped per applicant/year.

forgiveness funds (plus any of the \$1M reserved last year for Asset Management Plan that remains unobligated at the end of SFY18) is available for this purpose in SFY19 and SFY20. A high-ranked capital improvement project must be implemented to be eligible for the asset management program, but the larger systems are eligible for short-term, interest-free loans, with a capital improvement project. The DEP reserves the right to use these funds to hire a contractor to provide technical services to small communities for asset management.

### Replacement of Lead Service Line Set-Aside Program

The existence of lead service lines in some of our aging drinking water infrastructure poses potential risk to public health. This risk can be significantly reduced through the identification and replacement of lead service lines. Lead service line replacement projects will be funded in priority ranked order, with those water systems exceeding the action level receiving priority. Water systems that do not exceed the lead action level but want to replace lead pipes are eligible for Water Bank base programs in ranked order.

### Eligibility for Principal Forgiveness

The DEP has set aside \$30 million for principal forgiveness for LSL replacement projects. Water systems that have exceeded the lead action level that serve communities with a median household income (MHI) less than the median household income for the county in which they are located are eligible for principal forgiveness through the DEP's lead line replacement program. The MHI is calculated using 2017 Census data, in accordance with data obtained from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/NJ>. Loans will be offered as 90% principal forgiveness and 10% funding from the DEP at 0% interest. Project applicants are capped at \$1, 5 or 10 million per water system (PWSID)/per year based on the population served by the water system. Specifically,

- \$10M (\$9M principal forgiveness) for systems serving populations >100,000
- \$5M (\$4.5M principal forgiveness) for systems serving populations >10,000
- \$1M (\$900K principal forgiveness) for systems serving populations ≤10,000

The balance of financing will be provided at the applicable base rate.

Currently, \$9 million of the \$30 million set aside has been committed to a LSL project that closed on a short term loan in SFY 2019.

### Criteria for receiving a Water bank loan for LSL replacement

The following criteria must be met for the project to be eligible for Water bank loans:

- Be able to document the presence of lead pipes and components
- Lead pipes and components are defined as containing at least 90% or more lead by weight.
- Provide documentation through historic records that the lines to be replaced are lead. Acceptable records include information on the age of the houses and high probability of lead lines and components being present, line installation records, etc.
- Partial lead line replacements are not allowable.

### Nano Loan Program

In FY2018, small systems will be funded in ranked order. \$4 million, subject to any state and federal limitations, is available for loans to small water systems serving 10,000 or fewer customers in FFY2018/SFY2019 and \$4 million in FFY2019/SFY2020. These loans are offered at 50% as principal forgiveness, 25% DEP interest-free, and 25% I-Bank market rate. Projects are capped at \$1 million. Additional financing is available at 50% DEP interest-free and 50% I-Bank market rate (publicly-owned) or 25% DEP interest-free and 75% I-Bank market rate (privately-owned) for amounts greater than the \$1 million cap. These projects are selected based on priority ranked order. In addition, the DEP intends to prioritize small water systems with projects that have secured federal/non-profit grants to be leveraged with SRF funding.

### Small Water System Engineering Contract Program

Under a \$400,000 contract with the NJDEP and the New Jersey Water Association (NJWA), funded through a DWSRF set-aside, small water systems that serve fewer than 3,300 persons are provided with engineering services needed to close on a Water Bank loan. Under the terms of this contract, NJWA partners the small water systems with engineering firms to complete project milestones, including permitting, submittal of the Environmental Decision Document (EDD) and loan closing. When these projects are ready for financing, they will be ranked, and financed to the extent that the DEP can accommodate their loan requests under the Nano program.

### Community Engineering Corps

DEP is partnering with the Community Engineering Corps to identify water systems that serve fewer than 500 persons and need assistance to come into compliance. \$2 million of SRF funds is being made available for this program. 100% principal forgiveness loans will be available to those systems that are assisted by the Community Engineering Corps, with a cap of \$500,000 per project. The DEP will not charge permit fees to these small systems.

## Financing Options

The NJ Water Bank offers the following low interest financing options for eligible projects.

### Base DWSRF

At the time a project is at or near construction completion, long-term financing will be issued.

The DEP made changes to the DWSRF base program shares for the financing package wherein 50% of the allowable project costs for publicly owned water systems are provided by the DEP interest-free and 25% of the allowable project costs for privately-owned water systems are provided by the DEP interest-free and the remaining allowable project costs financed by the I-Bank. Project applicants for privately owned water systems are capped at \$10 million per project. Project costs over \$10 million can be financed by the I-Bank.

In addition to water system ownership (publicly-owned vs. privately-owned), water system loan rates will be determined based on affordability criteria as described in Appendix 1. The DEP determined that for the purposes of the DWSRF Program, a municipality whose median household income equal to or less than 65% of the State's MHI (New Jersey's MHI was \$68,911 as reported in the 2010 Census) is a Disadvantaged Community. The publicly-owned water systems serving these communities will receive a funding package of 75% DEP/25% I-Bank.



Project sponsors are only eligible for one enhanced subsidization rate (Nano, affordability, or PF for lead service line replacement) per capital project up to the specified project caps. The remainder of project costs are financed at the applicable base rate.

### Project Caps

The DEP has added a funding cap of \$25 million (per applicant/per year) for FFY2019 for publicly-owned water systems to ensure that multiple high priority drinking water projects receive funding. This cap applies to any projects that have not received an Authorization to Advertise for a portion of their project on or before September 1, 2019. The total project cost financed, including any portion of the project financed at the base rate and financed at an enhanced subsidization rate (Nano, affordability, lead line replacement) may not exceed \$25 million. This cap does not apply to any projects that have received the Authorization to Advertise for a portion of their project on or before September 1, 2019, provided the scope of the project is not changed or expanded as those projects would otherwise be adversely affected.

The DEP is actively pursuing additional funding sources to address the increasing drinking water infrastructure financial needs and reserves the right to modify or waive the cap requirement should efforts be successful.

### Revised Drinking Water Financing Timeline

For the DWSRF FFY2018/SFY19 and the FFY19/SFY20 program, funding decisions will be based on the DWSRF Project Priority List, as determined by the DWSRF Project Ranking methodology in Appendix 1. For those projects that received an authorization to advertise after July 31, 2018, DEP will be determining financing availability and loan terms in priority ranked order based on available funds. As stated in the final March 2019 DW IUP the NJDEP intended to hold off on funding decisions until Spring 2020. However, these delays have presented challenges to the priority projects, listed in Appendix 1, that are imperative to the protection of public health. Therefore, the DEP determined that amendments to the March 2019 DW FFY2019 IUP are necessary to move forward with all projects in the fundable range in an expedited timeframe. An outline of the proposed schedule for funding decisions is as follows:

- All lead service line replacement projects that are in the fundable range and qualify for principal forgiveness as of final date of these IUP changes will be allowed to proceed to a short-term loan closing after certification by the DEP.
- All projects that are in the fundable range as of January 1, 2020 would be allowed to proceed to a short-term loan closing after certification by the DEP on a readiness to proceed basis. Note that the actual number of projects in the fundable range could expand or contract as loan closing bids are received and total low bid allowable project costs are evaluated.
- Projects in the fundable range that do not receive certification by January 1, 2020 will be offered funding in SFY 2020 provided the project receives certification by March 1, 2020. After March 1, 2020, projects in the fundable range that fail to receive certification will be bypassed for the SFY2020 funding cycle and the fundable range will be extended accordingly.
- The DEP will reach out to all projects within the fundable range asking to confirm readiness to proceed in SFY2020 or request to be bypassed to the next SFY. This will allow the DEP to extend the fundable range by allocating funds from bypassed projects to the next ranked project.

- The NJDEP has included the current project priority list in Appendix 3. Updates to this list will be published in the final revisions to this IUP and again on January 1, 2020 to allow water systems to track their likelihood of funding as the DEP assesses the status of current projects on the list and any new projects that are submitted prior to the January 1, 2020 deadline.

### Planning and Design

The Water Bank is currently offering 100% interest-free financing for up to three years through the planning and design loan program. Planning and design include projects such as the development of asset management plans. Loans are given to projects ranked high enough to be within the fundable range with the expectation that such plans will result in an eligible capital improvement project.

### SAIL Program

The Statewide Assistance Infrastructure Loan (SAIL) program is a disaster relief loan program designed for project sponsors that anticipate receiving FEMA or other federal disaster relief grants. The SAIL program's goal is to provide timely and cost-effective funds, in advance of federal reimbursements, to expedite and support the impacted communities' recovery and rebuilding of environmental infrastructure. SAIL finances projects within a declared disaster area to rebuild water systems directly impacted by a declared disaster as well as costs associated with improving the resiliency of Clean Water and Drinking Water systems, regardless of direct disaster impact.

### Short-Term Loans

All projects are encouraged to secure short-term loans at the time of execution of an engineering design contract for the entirety of the project (planning, design, and construction). Funding will be committed upon certification of each operable segment and satisfaction of the program's credit worthiness standards. Such loans are currently at 0% interest for terms of up to 3 full fiscal years.



# Noteworthy Program Features

## Application

All applications are submitted on the H2LOans website ([h2loans.com](http://h2loans.com)). To create an H2LOans account, the project sponsor's authorized official will need to call the Water Bank at 609-219-8601 for security reasons. The authorized official can then designate a project manager (authorized representative) to submit required information. Application deadlines have been removed and rolling applications are being accepted any time of the year.

[H2LOans Tutorial Video](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UgDDV_SyqL0) ([https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UgDDV\\_SyqL0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UgDDV_SyqL0))

## Loan Awards

Loan awards for new projects will be made in FFY2019/SFY2020 in accordance with [N.J.A.C. 7:22-3, 4, and 5 9](#) (<http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/722.htm>). The loan term for DWSRF projects will be 30 years but cannot exceed the useful life of the project.

Local government units are required to meet the technical, administrative, and environmental provisions of the rules of DEP and the Water Bank ([N.J.A.C. 7:22-3, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 10](#) <http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/722.htm>). Disbursement and loan repayment provisions must be consistent with the rules.

## Pre-award Approvals/Emergency Projects

Eligible projects can qualify to receive pre-award approvals if the requirements of the rules ([N.J.A.C. 7:22-3.32 and 4.32](#) <http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/722.htm>) are met and if executed contracts to implement the project have been received by DEP.

## DEP & I-Bank Fee

In accordance with the USEPA Policy on Fees Charged on Assistance Provided Under the SRF Programs, states must disclose information regarding the assessment and use of any fees associated with SRF activities that are passed on to the program participants. In New Jersey, DEP reserves 4% of the annual SRF capitalization grant to cover a portion of the administrative costs of administering the program. In addition, the annual legislation for the SFY2006 Program established a "Department Loan Origination Fund" that is administered by the Water Bank. The DEP now has a stable fixed fee of 2% of the participant's loan amount. No SRF funding is involved in DEP's loan origination fee. DEP's loan origination fee is not included in the principal amount of the CWSRF and DWSRF loan and is separately accounted for.

The Water Bank's loan is issued at the same market interest rate as the Water Bank obtains from the sale of its bonds. Rather than bonding for all the eligible closing costs associated with each financing, the I-Bank charges the borrowers a one-time charge of 0.1% of the principal Water Bank loan amount to partially cover the costs associated with that particular series' bond issuance expenses. These costs include such activities as: bond counsel, financial advisor, rating agencies, printing and publishing of the Notice of Sale, the Preliminary Official Statement, the Official Statement, and other costs related to the Water Bank's bond sale. In addition, the Water Bank charges an annual administrative fee of 0.3% of the Water Bank's bond principal loan amount to cover the balance of the closing cost and the annual operating expenses associated with the operations of the Water Bank and the on-going costs associated with the loan servicer and Trustees. The Water Bank's annual administrative fee is not included in the principal amount of the loan and is held in an account outside of the SRF. The Water Bank is evaluating its existing fee structure and considering a return to an annual administrative fee equal to 0.30% on 50% of a borrower's total loan

amount. Any changes to the Water Bank's fee annual structure are subject to all applicable approvals and publication in the SFY2019 Financial Plan in May of 2018.

### Sources and Use of Funds

The Table below represents estimated amounts available from prior program years and anticipated uses for the FFY2019/SFY2020 Drinking Water Environmental Infrastructure Financing Program:

#### **UPDATED ESTIMATES FOR DRINKING WATER PROGRAM ONLY:**

##### **SFY2019 Financing Program**

###### **Anticipated Sources:**

Funds Available from prior years	\$ 48 M
Repayments from prior years' loans	\$ 38 M
FFY2018 DW SRF Grant	\$ 16 M
<u>CW to DW Transfer</u>	<u>\$ 6 M</u>
Subtotal	\$ 108 M
Anticipated I-Bank Share (est. 50%)	\$ 108 M

**Total Program Sources: \$ 216 M**

###### **Anticipated Uses:**

Projects to be Financed in the SFY2019 Program	\$ 216 M*
--	-----------

##### **SFY2020 Financing Program**

###### **Anticipated Sources:**

Funds Available from prior years	\$ 0 M
Repayments from prior years' loans	\$ 38 M
FFY2019 DW SRF Grant	\$ 16 M
<u>CW to DW Transfer</u>	<u>\$ 6 M</u>
Subtotal	\$ 60 M
Anticipated I-Bank Share (est. 50%)	\$ 60 M

**Total Program Sources: \$ 120 M**

\* Current DWSRF obligations and project applications exceed this amount.

### Financial Relationships between the CWSRF and the DWSRF

The federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 offer states the flexibility to meet the funding needs for drinking water and wastewater facilities by transferring funds from one SRF program to the other. Annually, an amount up to 33% of the DWSRF Capitalization Grant may be transferred from the CWSRF program to the DWSRF program, or vice versa. The EPA has issued guidance that would allow

utilization of transfer credits and transfer of funds on a net basis (i.e., funds could be moved in both directions), provided that the final transferred amount does not exceed the authorized ceiling. Additionally, The Water Infrastructure Funding Transfer Act allows the State to transfer up to 5% of the cumulative clean water revolving fund to the drinking water revolving fund to provide additional subsidy to eligible recipients for projects that abate exposure to lead in drinking water.

The SRF program evaluates funds available to determine if adequate monies are available to be used for clean water projects in the current fiscal year. In addition, the type and number of DWSRF projects are reviewed and a determination is made on the need of the funds to be transferred from the CWSRF accounts to the DWSRF accounts or vice-versa.

DEP reserves the right to transfer funds from the CWSRF to the DWSRF (or vice-versa) each fiscal year to the extent allowed by law, including Sandy SRF funds. While all projects that meet the program requirements and are ready to proceed have been able to receive a CWSRF or DWSRF loan in the past, the ability of the programs to finance all qualifying projects in the future is uncertain because of reduced federal funding levels and the increase in the demand for the limited DWSRF funds.

In addition to the potential transfer of funds between the CWSRF and DWSRF, DEP is continuing its policy to cross-collateralize the DWSRF with the CWSRF. This feature results in significant savings to project sponsors and benefits the drinking water project sponsors since there is a large source of revenue available via the CWSRF repayments to cover possible loan defaults. Under the EPA-approved procedures associated with cross-collateralization, a temporary transfer of funds between the two SRFs may occur as if necessary to cover the default of a loan repayment or other financial obligation. DEP and the IBank would take steps to collect any obligations resulting from a loan default and reimburse the appropriate drinking water or clean water account.

### Additional SRF Provisions:

Programmatic requirements are listed below. It is anticipated that these provisions will be maintained in a subsequent federal reauthorization act or federal policy. If substantial changes in the Act necessitate DEP's revision of the FFY2018 document, additional public participation efforts will be conducted.

1. The schedule of state capitalization grant payments, jointly agreed upon by the administrator of the EPA and each state, is based upon the state's IUP.
2. States are required to deposit in the SRF, from state monies, an amount equal to at least 20% of the total amount of all capitalization grants made to the state.
3. Monies in the DWSRF may be used to provide loans at or below market interest rate, for terms not greater than 20 years or the useful life, whichever is less. Repayments must begin no later than one year after completion of the project and must be credited to the SRF (principal and interest). The recipient of a loan must establish a dedicated source of repayments.

Section 1452 of the federal SDWA authorizes the states to provide funding for certain non-project activities, as long as the amounts do not exceed ceilings specified in the statute. The non-project set-asides provide for DWSRF activities that are not construction related and include administration of the DWSRF, technical assistance for small systems, state public water system supervision (PWSS) programs, source water program administration, capacity development, and operator certification. Each state must have a capacity development and operator program, or EPA may withhold up to 20% of the annual capitalization grant.

The DEP issued a workplan for the FFY2018 non-project set-asides on June 15, 2018 which was distributed to community and nonprofit noncommunity water systems and other stakeholders for a 30-day comment

period. The DEP did not receive any comments on the FFY2018 non-project set-aside workplan. This final workplan was submitted as an attachment to the FFY2018 Capitalization Grant. The DEP was awarded the Capitalization Grant in September 2018.

The Table below represents the amounts of the available sources and anticipated uses for certain non-project activities:

<b><u>Funds Available</u></b>	<b><u>FFY 2018</u></b>
Federal Capitalization Grant	\$ 18,957,000.00
State Match	
20%	\$ 3,791,400.00
<b>Projected Expenditures</b>	
Administration (net position)	\$ 979,451.00
Non-project Set-asides	\$ 1,849,961.00
Small System Tech Assistance (2%)	\$ 183,708.00

# APPENDIX 1: DRINKING WATER PROJECT RANKING

## METHODOLOGY

Table 1 of Category A lists the types of projects eligible for DWSRF funding. A project must be assigned points from Category A to be eligible for ranking; points assigned from the remaining categories are in addition to the points received in Category A. Priority points are assigned only if the project scope includes actual repair, rehabilitation, or correction of a problem or improvement clearly related to priority Category A. Projects that include multiple elements, as listed in priority Category A, are separately listed by the elements involved and priority points assigned for each element.

DEP assigns points to each project using the Project Priority System and ranks all eligible projects according to the total number of points each project receives. All projects are subsequently placed on the Project Priority Comprehensive List according to their ranking. Projects with more points are ranked above those with fewer points. The addition of new projects to the Project Priority Comprehensive List, periodic revisions to the Priority System, or the identification of new information regarding a project, may result in changes to an individual project ranking.

The principal elements of the Priority System are: A) Compliance and Public Health Criteria, B) Water Supply Plans/Studies, C) State Designations, D) Affordability, and E) Population. Points are assigned for each of the five priority categories and are discussed in more detail below.

The order of project priority for funding is as follows:

1. Emergency Projects are considered a public health hazard and receive funding over other projects on the Comprehensive Priority List;
2. Surface Water Treatment Rule violations including uncovered finished water reservoirs;
3. MCL and Lead Action Level Exceedances;
4. Unregulated contaminants (contaminants of emerging concerns);
5. Small Systems serving less than 10,000 persons, up to 15 % of DWSRF Funds;
6. Projects that have secured federal/non-profit grants to be leveraged with SRF funding,
7. Other projects currently on the comprehensive list.

The prospective applicant must notify DEP of any changes to project scope or any other circumstance that may affect the calculation of priority points. DEP recalculates, if appropriate, the prospective applicant's ranking utilizing the new information submitted and revises the priority ranking accordingly.

Points are assigned for each of the five priority categories discussed below, as applicable.

### Category A. Compliance with the SDWA and Protection of Public Health

DWSRF funds are utilized to address contamination problems and to ensure compliance with the SDWA requirements. Priority is given to water systems in non-compliance with the surface water treatment requirements and those incurring acute primary maximum contaminant level (MCL) violations, or action level exceedances as defined in the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations and the New Jersey Safe Drinking Water Regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:10). Table 1 describes the project elements that are eligible for DWSRF funds:

TABLE 1. Project Elements Eligible for  
Project Priority Ranking in the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program<sup>5</sup>

1.	Systems that utilize surface water that are not in compliance with the surface water treatment requirements or have had any acute violations (either <i>E. coli</i> or nitrates) and have been issued an administrative order or directive by DEP requiring the correction of any noncompliance of its treatment facilities to address an immediate public health threat.	500 Points
2.	Systems that utilize groundwater under the direct influence of surface water, that are not in compliance with the surface water treatment requirements or have had any acute violations (either <i>E. coli</i> or nitrates) and have been issued an administrative order or directive by DEP requiring the correction of any noncompliance of its treatment facilities to address an immediate public health threat.	350 Points
3.	Systems that utilize groundwater that have had any acute violation (either <i>E. coli</i> or nitrates).	300 Points
4.	Systems that have had, or DEP reasonably expects to have, any primary maximum contaminant level (MCL) violations (except acute violations) or exceedance of action levels (Lead and Copper Rule).	250 Points
5.	Systems that have, or DEP reasonably expects to have, exceeded a groundwater quality criterion, guidance, or advisory as deemed applicable by the DEP.	200 points
6.	Systems that were classified as vulnerable, because of a 2007 DEP Interconnection Study.	200 Points
7.	Systems that have been issued a notice of noncompliance by DEP for reasons other than water quality; i.e. inadequate storage, inadequate source, lack of emergency power, etc.	175 Points
8.	Purchase and/or consolidation of a water system to comply with the SDWA for capacity development.	170 Points
9.	Systems that are proposing improvements for drought or other related water supply management initiatives, as identified or designated by the State.	160 Points
10.	Systems that have lost well capacity due to saltwater intrusion and a solution is needed to preserve the aquifer as a viable aquifer.	150 Points

---

<sup>5</sup> A project must be assigned points from Category A to be eligible for Project Priority List ranking; points assigned from Categories B through E supplement the points received in Category A.

11.	Extension of water mains, including associated appurtenances and water system facilities, to private wells that have had any maximum contaminant level exceedances or have exceeded lead and copper action levels.	125 Points
12.	Existing treatment facilities that need to be rehabilitated, replaced, or repaired to ensure compliance with the SDWA.	100 Points
13.	Existing transmission or distribution mains with appurtenances that need to be rehabilitated, replaced, repaired or looped to prevent contamination caused by leaks or breaks in the pipe or improve water pressures to maintain safe levels or to ensure compliance with the SDWA.	75 Points
14.	Existing pump stations or finished water storage facilities that need to be rehabilitated or replaced to maintain compliance with the SDWA.	60 Points
15.	New finished water storage facilities or pump stations that are needed to maintain pressure in the system and/or prevent contamination.	50 Points
16.	Addition or enhancement of security measures at drinking water facilities, including but not limited to fencing, lighting, motion detectors, cameras, secure doors and locks, and auxiliary power sources.	45 Points
17.	Green Infrastructure: renewable energy generation such as solar panels, hydroelectric, geothermal or wind turbines or infrastructure built at the water system facilities such as green roofs, porous pavement, bioretention or grey water reuse.	45 Points
18.	Systems which have had any exceedance of any secondary drinking water regulations that have received notification issued by DEP that exceedance of a secondary drinking water regulation causes adverse effects on the public welfare, and for which the system has received a directive issued by the DEP requiring correction of the exceedance.	40 Points
19.	Installation of new water meters and/or other water conservation devices, including but not limited to retrofit plumbing fixtures.	35 Points
20.	Construction of new or rehabilitation of existing interconnections between water systems to improve water pressures to maintain safe levels, promote availability of alternative source of supply, or to ensure compliance with the SDWA.	30 Points
21.	Replacement of water meters.	25 Points
22.	Redevelop wells, construct new wells, or construct or rehabilitate surface water sources with associated treatment facilities to meet the New Jersey SDWA rules for required pumping capacity.	15 Points
22.	Other project elements, not including items 1 through 21 above, that ensure compliance with the SDWA and protect public health, as approved by DEP.	1 Point



### Category B. Water Supply Plans/Studies

Planning water system improvements that advance comprehensive water supply concepts can facilitate cost effective drinking water system rehabilitation. To provide an incentive for appropriate planning, 50 points are given if the project is clearly identified in other appropriate plans (i.e. five-year master plan, five-year capital improvement plan, rate setting study or comprehensive water supply plan for a particular region or watershed) approved by a municipal or State agency (such as DEP, the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs or the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities) within the last five years.

Thirty-five (35) points are given to each project that demonstrates that its water system structurally inspects its finished storage facilities every five years. Also, 25 points are given for a system that has a valve exercise program. Documentation must be provided to receive the above-mentioned points.

Please note that having an Asset Management Plan is now a requirement for project sponsors seeking a DWSRF loan.

### Category C. State Designations

#### 1. State Plan

DEP assigns points to projects in municipalities that the State Planning Commission has approved under the Plan Endorsement or Center Designation Process. Please note that if a local entity has not received designation by the State Planning Commission, projects within that entity would receive zero points for this element.

- a. Projects located predominantly within or designed to provide service to a designated growth area that lies within a municipality that has received Plan Endorsement of its Master Plan from the New Jersey State Planning Commission or is an Urban Center or Urban Complex are eligible for 20 points.
- b. Projects located predominantly within or designed to provide service to a designated growth area that lies within a municipality that are identified in the Master Plan currently recognized as endorsed by the New Jersey State Planning Commission as a designated center other than an Urban Center (Regional Center, Town, Village, Hamlet) are eligible for 15 points.

For a current list of those local governments that have gained Plan Endorsement from the New Jersey State Planning Commission, please check the Office for Planning Advocacy at the Department of State website at <http://www.nj.gov/state/planning/plan.html> and then refer to the current State Plan Policy Map to determine if the project area lies within a designated growth area.

Contact the Office for Planning Advocacy, Department of State, P.O. Box 820, Trenton, N.J. 08625-0820 or call (609) 292-7156 for further information on the State Development and Redevelopment Plan.

#### 2. Transit Village Initiative

The New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT) participated in a multi-agency Smart Growth partnership known as the Transit Village Initiative. The Transit Village Initiative helps to redevelop and revitalize communities around transit facilities to make them an appealing choice for people to live, work and play, thereby reducing reliance on the automobile. The Transit Village Initiative is an excellent model for Smart Growth because it encourages investment in portions of New Jersey where infrastructure and public transit already exist. Aside from Smart Growth community revitalization, two other goals of the Transit Village Initiative are to reduce traffic congestion and improve air quality by increasing transit ridership. Therefore, DEP will provide five additional priority points to any project

sponsored by a Transit Village community or to any project that is constructed within a Transit Village community. For more information about Transit Villages, please see <http://www.nj.gov/transportation/community/village/> and for a list of Transit Villages, please see <http://www.nj.gov/transportation/community/village/faq.shtm>.

### 3. Brownfield Development Area (BDA)

DEP sponsors a program to promote the re-use of formerly contaminated sites. DEP's Brownfield Program, spearheaded by the Office of Brownfield Reuse, serves as a vital component of the state's Smart Growth efforts to stem the tide of sprawl and channel new development into cities and towns. Under the innovative Brownfield Development Area (BDA) approach, DEP works with selected communities affected by multiple brownfield sites to design and implement plans for these properties simultaneously, so remediation and reuse can occur in a coordinated fashion. The DWSRF supports this initiative by providing five additional priority points to any project serving a BDA. For more information about Brownfield Development Area Initiative, please see <http://www.nj.gov/dep/srp/brownfields/bda>.

### 4. Green Project Reserve (GPR)

DEP promotes green infrastructure, water and energy efficiency, and environmental innovation in its water improvement projects. Therefore, DEP provides 15 additional priority points to any project that is a categorically eligible project.

Please note that the points from these four items of Category C can be cumulative. Please note for water systems that service more than one municipality; the municipality that has the highest population served will be counted for this category.

## Category D. Affordability

The purpose of the affordability criteria is to determine which project sponsors' water systems are eligible for additional points under the Affordability Category.

Affordability is the degree of need for financial assistance based upon the New Jersey median household income compared to the municipal median household income (MHI). Affordability is determined by the following formula:

$$(\text{Municipal MHI} / \text{Statewide MHI}) \times 100 = \text{Affordability Factor}$$

Points are assigned as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Point values assigned based on Affordability Factor calculation

1. Affordability factor of 100 or greater	0 Points
2. Affordability factor from 85 through 99	15 Points
3. Affordability factor from 66 through 84	30 Points
4. Affordability factor less than or equal to 65	80 Points

The median household income of the municipality which the water system serves and the statewide median household income will be determined from income data in the most recent United States Census, which is currently the 2010 Census.

DEP determined that for the purposes of the DWSRF Program, a municipality whose median household income is 35 % or more below the State's MHI is considered a Disadvantaged Community and receives 80 priority points which is proportionately greater than the other affordability factor points. (New Jersey's MHI is \$68,911 as reported in the 2010 Census.)

A weighted MHI is calculated for a project sponsor whose water system serves more than one municipality, as shown in the example below.

Example:

Municipalities Served	MHI	Populations Served	Fraction of total population served	Weighted municipal MHI
Lancaster	30,000	5,000	0.167	5,000
Mayberry	20,000	10,000	0.333	6,660
Holmeville	25,000	15,000	0.500	12,500
<b>Total</b>		<b>30,000</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>24,160</b>

Please note for water systems that service more than 10 municipalities, the 10 municipalities that have the highest populations served will be considered in the above table for the affordability factor.

#### Category E. Population

As a tiebreaker, projects are assigned points based on the permanent population of the water system service area. For a resort community where the summer and winter populations vary greatly, the permanent population will be calculated by taking the sum of twice the winter population and once the summer population and dividing by three (see below). For water systems that service more than one municipality, a total of all the permanent population served in the multiple service areas is used. Priority points are calculated as the permanent population served by the water system divided by 100,000, expressed as a decimal. In the event that projects remain tied, the project which serves a greater proportionate population in the water system's area is given higher priority.

Population served for resort communities will be calculated by the following equation:

$$[(2 \times \text{Winter Population}) + \text{Summer Population}] / 3 = \text{Weighted Permanent Population}$$

## APPENDIX 2: SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSES FROM THE FINAL DWSRF FFY2018 AND FFY2019 IUP DATED MARCH 2019

### **I. Proposed DWSRF FFY2018 (SFY2019) IUP issued November 13, 2017**

The DEP proposed a joint Drinking Water SRF – Clean Water SRF IUP for FFY2018 (SFY2019) on November 13, 2017 (November 2017 Proposed IUP). A Notice of Public Hearing was sent to interested parties, including community water systems, non-profit non-community water systems and engineers. A public hearing was held on December 6, 2017 at the New Jersey Environmental Trust in Lawrenceville, NJ. The 30-day public comment period closed on December 14, 2017. The DEP received one written comment on the FFY2018 Proposed DWSRF IUP.

The following person submitted comments on the DWSRF November 2017 Proposed IUP proposed on November 17, 2017: Amendments:

1. Alan S. Dillon, Ewing, NJ

#### **COMMENT**

A. Dillon commented that the Drinking Water 2018 Project Priority List included a proposed water main extension project for Sea Village Marina LLC (NJ0108021), which has not existed as a public community water system for the last five years. Mr. Dillon stated that funding consideration of a tax delinquent non-water system entity, with no residents, as eligible for a total of \$1,534,000 is not directly related to the purposes of DWSRF financing. As such, Sea Village Marina should be removed from the 2018 DWSRF proposed projects list.

#### **RESPONSE**

The DEP acknowledges that Sea Village Marina LLC is no longer classified as a public water system. Therefore, the project is no longer eligible for DWSRF funding and has been removed from the project priority list.

### **II. Proposed Amendments to the FFY2018 (SFY2019) DWSRF Proposed IUP issued September 24, 2018 and**

### **III. Supplemental Amendments to the FFY2018 (SFY2019) Proposed IUP issued December 19, 2018**

Due to concerns regarding the availability of DWSRF funds for loan applications in-house, on September 24, 2018, the DEP proposed amendments to the Drinking Water SRF IUP proposal for FFY2018 (SFY2019) and proposed the Drinking Water SRF IUP for FFY2019 (SFY2020) (October 2018 IUP Amendments). Again, a Notice of Public Hearing was sent to interested parties, including community water systems, non-profit non-community water systems and engineers. Proposed changes to the October 2018 IUP Amendments included: funding projects that received authorization to advertise after July 31, 2018 based on project rank (vs. readiness-to-proceed); changes in loan rates for public systems and investor owned systems; revised loan duration from 30 years to 20 years; requirement to have a lead action level exceedance to qualify for principal forgiveness for lead service line replacement; elimination of the asset management funds for small systems; caps on loan amounts; and reduced availability of principal forgiveness (PF) for some small systems. Hearings on the October 2018 IUP Amendments were held on October 12, 2018 at the DEP's Public Hearing Room, Trenton, NJ and on October 16, 2018 at the North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority, Newark, NJ. Seventeen (17) individuals attended the public hearing in Trenton and four (4) provided comments. Eight (8) individuals attended the public hearing in Newark and three (3) provided comments. The DEP also accepted written comments submitted on the October 2018 IUP

Amendments until close of business on October 24, 2018. Written comments were submitted by ten (10) entities, including several that attended and provided testimony during the public hearings.

The following persons submitted comments on the October 2018 IUP Amendments:

1. Christine Ballard, T&M Associates
2. Ras J. Baraka, Mayor, City of Newark
3. Joseph A. Bella, Executive Director, Passaic Valley Water Commission
4. Michael DeLoreto, Gibbons P.C., on behalf of Passaic Valley Water Commission
5. James G. Fearon, Gluckwalrath LLP, on behalf of the Township of Howell
6. Michael Francis, Mayor, Borough of Hopatcong
7. Peggy Gallos, Association of Environmental Authorities (AEA)
8. Eleni Giannikopoulos, Suburban Consulting Engineers
9. Eileen M. Heinzl, Borough Administrator, Borough of Pennington
10. Dan Kennedy, Utility and Transportation Contractors
11. Janice Kovach, Mayor, Township of Clinton, on behalf of the Clinton Water Department
12. Erin Law
13. William R. Mayer, Decotiis, Fitzpatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP on behalf of the Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority (ACMUA)
14. William R. Mayer, Decotiis, Fitzpatrick, Cole & Giblin, LLP on behalf of the Hardyston Township Municipal Utilities Authority (HTMUA)
15. William Pikolycky, Mayor, Borough of Woodbine
16. Donald Shields, Vice President of Engineering, New Jersey American Water

On December 19, 2018, in response to comments received on the October 2018 IUP Amendments, the DEP proposed Supplemental Amendments (December 2018 Supplemental IUP Amendments), reestablishing the population cut-offs for the Nano Loan program back to 10,000 for principal forgiveness; reestablishing loan duration up to 30 years; reestablishing \$30M PF for lead service line replacement with yearly project caps per system of \$1M, \$5M, or \$10M based on system size; and reestablishing the Asset Management Program for small systems with high-ranking projects. A public hearing was held on January 9, 2019 at 10:00 AM at the New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust, 3131 Princeton Pike, Building 4, Suite 216, Lawrenceville, NJ. Six (6) individuals attended the public hearing, two (2) provided comments. The DEP also received two (2) additional written comments submitted by the January 19, 2019 close of comments date.

The following persons submitted comments on the December 2018 Supplemental IUP Amendments:

1. Dennis W. Doll, President & CEO, Middlesex Water Company
2. Eleni Giannikopoulos, Suburban Consulting Engineers
3. William Pikolycky, Mayor, Borough of Woodbine
4. Donald Shields, Vice President of Engineering, New Jersey American Water

The below summarizes the major public comments received on the October 2018 IUP Amendments and the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments and memorializes the DEP's responses.

#### COMMENT

The Borough of Pennington, Borough of Woodbine, HTMUA, and the AEA all commented on the October 2018 IUP Amendments requesting that the DEP restore the \$1,000,000 available to small systems for the development of an Asset Management Plan ("AMP") as proposed in the November 2017 Proposed IUP as these funds would help them comply with the requirements of the Water Quality Accountability Act (WQAA). This set-aside, capped at \$100,000 per water system serving 10,000 or fewer residents in the November 2017 Proposed IUP, was originally proposed as available as principal forgiveness if the AMP resulted in a capital project costing more than \$250,000. E. Law commented that \$100,000 is a lot of money for those small systems that need to comply with WQAA, and a small program lasting a few years would allow small water systems to comply with the initial requirements of the WQAA. Furthermore, HTMUA objected to linking AMP funds to subsequent funding projects on a priority basis because a small system

accepting the AMP funds may not be able to satisfy the “resulting capital improvement project” condition required for AMP principal forgiveness.

#### RESPONSE

The October 2018 IUP Amendments eliminated the 100% PF set-aside program for small drinking water systems so that more funds would go towards highly ranked capital projects. However, based on the comments received, the DEP has reestablished the Asset Management Program for small drinking water systems with high-ranking projects (e.g. MCL violations, Action Level Exceedances, Contaminants of Emerging Concern, etc.) where the resulting capital improvement project is likely to rank high enough to receive funding. If a water system has a high-ranking project, there is little doubt the water system would be unable to satisfy the condition for subsequent principal forgiveness.

HTMUA is one of the systems that had an approved contract for an AMP prior to July 31, 2018 and received a short-term loan to complete an AMP on September 12, 2018. The AMP will continue to qualify for 100% PF under the terms of the original IUP. However, the DEP cannot determine at this time if HTMUA’s Water Tank Refurbishment Project will qualify for funding based on rank order. HTMUA is encouraged to submit the required project documentation in case other higher ranked projects fail to meet the deadline for funding and additional funds become available. HTMUA’s Water Meter Replacement Project has the option to be transferred for Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) funding eligibility due to their water conservation properties.

#### COMMENT

AEA commented that the sudden shift from loan rates at 75% zero interest/25% market rate to 50% zero interest/50% market rate did not provide borrowers with enough notice (at least 1-year) to adjust capital plans, budgets and rates. Suburban Consulting Engineers commented that the DEP should not change both the interest rate and the length of the loan from 30 years to 20 years.

#### RESPONSE

The November 2017 Proposed IUP provided notice that the DEP was considering changes to the program for the FFY19/SFY20 program. The changes were proposed earlier than anticipated in the October 2018 IUP Amendments based on the higher than anticipated demand for drinking water funds in SFY2019 and SFY2020. To ensure the long-term sustainability of the fund, these changes were necessary to finance drinking water projects. However, the DEP agrees that the change in both the interest rate and the loan length might jeopardize a water system’s ability to make infrastructure improvements for projects already in the system, so the DEP changed the loan period back to 30 years in the December 2018 Supplemental IUP Amendments.

#### COMMENT

The DEP received multiple comments from entities that asked for reconsideration of the Nano program and suggested caps for larger projects in order to make more funds available for Nano projects. The Borough of Woodbine and the HTMUA commented in response to the October 2018 IUP Amendments that the DEP should grandfather the current Nano program eligibility criteria for applicants who already have projects in the system or reconsider the eligibility criteria and principal forgiveness limits for the Nano-lite program that would allow small systems with slightly over 500 customers to take advantage of the Nano program. The Borough of Hopatcong also noted that reconsideration of these Nano projects is needed to obtain health and safety benefits for small systems. Furthermore, another point noted by Suburban Consulting Engineers is that these smaller public water systems need to convince the governing unit for the town to invest in the drinking water infrastructure by maintaining the attractive loan rates for funding priorities that will encourage other small systems to utilize the available funds. AEA, Suburban Consulting Engineers, and T&M Associates all suggested capping loans for large projects at \$10 to \$20 million, and/or setting aside \$5 to \$10 million for projects of under \$1 million to assist small systems. T&M and Suburban both noted that \$10M is a very significant amount of money to a smaller system that might represent their entire capital improvement plan. AEA also offered an alternate suggestion of returning to the policy of limiting borrowing to public systems.



## RESPONSE

In the December 2018 Supplemental IUP Amendments, in response to the comments on the Nano program, the DEP has reestablished the population cut-offs for the small systems program at 10,000 or fewer residents. In addition, \$4M will be used for Nano loan program in SFY2019 and in again SFY2020, and will be offered at 50% PF, 25% zero interest, 25% market rate for qualifying systems capped at \$500,000 PF per project. Using the higher population cutoff will increase the number of small systems eligible for this loan rate. Although the DEP provides special loan rates for small systems, the DEP's highest priority is funding projects to address MCL violations and Action Level exceedances, no matter the water system size.

The DWSRF has never been restricted to publicly owned water systems. The Safe Drinking Water Act specifies that community water systems, both publicly and privately-owned, and nontransient noncommunity water systems are eligible for DWSRF funding.

## COMMENT

The Borough of Woodbine raised concerns that the delay or loss of DWSRF funds puts other committed United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) grant and loan funds in jeopardy, which make up the balance of the money needed to implement their project. The water system also asked for additional ranking points for water systems in distressed communities like Woodbine. These are the communities that can least afford increased costs through higher user rates and should be afforded additional points to make them more competitive in the ranking system.

## RESPONSE

The DEP held a conference call with Woodbine on November 26, 2018 to address the concerns regarding the USDA funding. During the call, Woodbine was encouraged to proceed with sending in the project planning and design documents and obtaining the necessary DEP permits. Contract documents were submitted November 29, 2018 and are under review. With the changes to the Nano program referenced above, communities with just over 500 residents like Woodbine will be eligible for funding at the Nano rates, should this project be ranked high enough and should funds be available. Project ranking will still be determined by project priority order. Note that Woodbine received additional points under the current ranking system based on median household income. The DEP has included a statement in the December Supplemental IUP Amendments, such that projects that have secured federal/non-profit grants to be leveraged with SRF funding will be given priority for funding, as DWSRF funds are available.

## COMMENT

NJAW noted that they serve approximately one third of the State's population. The modified loan rates in the October IUP Amendments are not being used to lower customer rates but are being used to fund other needed improvement projects. This is financially penalizing their customers by preventing NJAW from using the capital saved from lower interest rates, and thus causing NJAW to find other financing for needed projects. UTCA also commented that it is hard to understand why the State made decisions (against) those entities that invest in their own service area.

NJAW commented that the merits of providing a higher priority to a project for a system that is in violation of a current primary drinking water standard (surface water treatment technique, MCL or ALE) is hard to understand. The change in allocation methodology discriminates against the largest group of customers in the State, when they supply a wider demographic area that also includes low-income and disadvantaged communities. Furthermore, in comments on the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments, NJAW noted that none of the recommendations related to Investor Owned Utilities were considered in revising the IUP. Their main issue was the negative impact on customers served by investor owned utilities by limiting total available funds (\$10 million cap per project) and imposing higher project interest rates (change from 75/25 to 25/75).

In responding to the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments, Middlesex Water Company offered support for NJAW's statements. Specifically, Middlesex Water Company stated that this is yet "another example of disparate treatment between public and private utilities," noting that investors do not receive



any benefit from government subsidies. Middlesex Water Company viewed changes to the program to offer assistance to poorly managed facilities as a reward for bad behavior at the expense of the broader taxpayer base.

#### RESPONSE

Every year, the DEP issues a proposed DWSRF ranking methodology for projects and ranks incoming projects accordingly. This prioritization gives priority to projects that address the most serious risks to human health and are necessary to ensure compliance with the SDWA. In years past, project priority was not an important criterion for determining funding, as there were enough DWSRF funds to fund all project applicants. However, the recent increase in demands on the program, including large private utility projects, based on readiness-to-proceed, depleted the available funds. Projections indicate that inadequate funds would be available to fund high priority projects if the Program continued to finance on a readiness to proceed basis in SFY2019 and SFY2020. Without making changes to the loan rate structure, the DEP does not have enough money to fund those projects with the most public health benefit and continue to fund large projects for the investor owned water systems. The change in allocation methodology is not intended to discriminate against the larger utilities but rather focus the limited amount of funds on the projects that best meet the goals of the program and sustain the program in the future.

#### COMMENT

Comments were both in favor and against the changes to the current rolling deadlines. T&M encouraged the DEP to draw definitive deadlines, so small to medium water systems can better understand and utilize the process, as rolling applications mean small and medium size communities cannot take advantage of funding because they have requirements to spend money on an annual basis. Suburban Consulting stated that the rolling deadlines are a benefit to the engineers because of the tight timeframe surrounding budget approvals at the local level. UTCA and NJAW both commented that by not using the readiness-to-proceed approach and moving the loan program backwards towards hard deadlines, we are not allowing those water systems to proceed if they have completed the steps to move forward.

#### RESPONSE

As the demand for drinking water funding has increased and the available funds decreased, the DEP is no longer in the position to use readiness-to-proceed alone as the key indicator for project funding. With limited funds, the DEP is focusing our funding efforts on high ranking projects which address identified health-based issues. The DEP will certify projects that are in the fundable range in Spring 2020<sup>6</sup> when additional funds are available. For those projects that received an authorization to advertise or an authorization to award by July 31, 2018, the project will continue to qualify for financing under the terms of the original IUP issued for FFY2018/SFY2019 (November 2017), provided that the water system closes on a short-term loan by June 30, 2019. If the project fails to close on a loan by June 30, 2019, the DEP will hold financing until June 30, 2020, however, the project will be subject to the revised loan rates and terms of the amended IUP.

#### COMMENT

Several commenters suggested changes to the points assessed as part of the DEP's ranking system. AEA suggested providing points to projects when they are being done to comply with water quality issues such as emerging contaminants and the WQAA. The NJAW commented that the DEP should provide equal priority points to projects that are designed to improve treatment performance that would enhance system reliability to assure adherence to primary drinking water standards. The Clinton Water Department is proactively addressing miles of water mains that are approaching their anticipated useful life and stated that, while not a contaminant, it is arguably an equally serious concern and should be included as a category with an adequate point system to rank such projects with respective importance. The NJAW echoed statement made by Clinton Water Department by stating projects that are designed to improve treatment performance that would enhance system reliability to assure adherence to primary Drinking Water

---

<sup>6</sup> The Spring 2020 deadline referenced in the response to comments from the final March 2019 DWIUP is being amended as part of the current revisions dated September 2019.

Standards compliance are equally important and should receive equal priority points, otherwise the DEP will continue to reward mediocre performance of water suppliers that have historically disregarded their responsibility and likely lack Technical, Managerial and/or Financial (TMF) Capacity. Suburban Consulting Engineers stated that the DEP should also consider the water audit rating system that would prioritize water main replacement and would warrant a higher ranking than traditional water main replacement.

#### RESPONSE

Revisions to the point system were not one of the proposed changes to the IUP, however the DEP assigns the most points to those systems that have exceedances of the MCLs, ALEs and contaminants of emerging concern. With an increasing focus on drinking water issues, such as asset management and emerging contaminants, the DEP agrees that a reevaluation of the point system is needed. However, due to the complexity of that task it is anticipated as part of a future IUP.

#### COMMENT

The DEP received comments on the proposed amendments that decreased the principal forgiveness available for Lead Service Line (LSL) replacement projects from \$30M to \$5M with a \$1M cap per municipality. The City of Newark commented that this results in inadequate funds set aside for LSL projects and asked that the funding cap be raised to \$6.75M per application for each phase of a LSL replacement project. NJAW commented that there should be no change for LSL replacement projects and the DEP should maintain 90% principal forgiveness/10% DEP interest-free loans capped at \$1 million per municipality served that has a median household income less than the median household income of the county.

#### RESPONSE

Funding for LSL replacement is a priority both nationwide and for the DEP. Therefore, the DEP is reestablishing the \$30M in principal forgiveness available for LSL replacement. With only \$30M available, there are still a limited number of projects that can be funded. The need throughout the State, far surpasses the available funds. The DEP is maintaining a cap on the project funds with the goal to provide funding for the water systems that have lead ALEs and are most in need. In addition to having an ALE, the water system is only eligible for this funding if the median household income of the service area is less than the median household income of the county where the water system is located. The DEP is raising the cap on the loan amount based on the population served by the water system (\$1M, \$5M, \$10M) per applicant per year, which allows water systems such as Newark with existing lead ALEs to obtain additional principal forgiveness to help cover various phases of a project in subsequent years based on availability. It also gives room for other municipalities, such as Trenton and the PVWC, with lead ALEs, to take advantage of the available funds. At this time, NJAW is not currently eligible for LSL funding since they have not incurred an ALE. Should they incur an ALE, the DEP will calculate their eligibility based on the LSL eligibility criteria for MHI.

#### COMMENT

With regards to the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments, NJAW and Suburban Consulting Engineers stated that lead service line replacements should not be contingent on exceedance of the lead action level. If a system is proactively addressing lead service line replacements, they should be eligible for the same funding. If not, at a minimum they should be available for the funding available.

#### RESPONSE

As noted in the above response, there are limited amount of funds available for principal forgiveness and so therefore funding must be prioritized for those systems with an exceedance that is currently impacting public health. There are not enough funds to maintain the program at the current rates.

#### COMMENT

The Township of Howell commented that in applying the "grandfathering" rules, a DEP authorization for a project under the Clean Water Program issued prior to September 24, 2018 (the date on which the Proposed DWSRF Program Amendments were announced) should be deemed to satisfy the "grandfathering" rule with respect to a companion project under the Drinking Water Program, where they are in effect a combined project substantially related by geographic scope.

#### RESPONSE

Clean Water SRF projects are not impacted by the changes. Only drinking water projects are affected. In the case of the Township of Howell, that means the CWSRF project issued prior to September 24, 2018 is proceeding forward. The DWSRF project has not received an authorization to advertise and it will be subject to the proposed IUP revisions. With limited DWSRF funds, all drinking water projects must be funded in project priority order to address those that have the greatest impact on public health.

#### COMMENT

PVWC stated that using the maximum transfer of CWSRF funds could fund eligible projects that were slated to receive DWSRF dollars.

#### RESPONSE

The DEP has already requested and received approval from the United States Environmental Protection Agency to transfer an amount equal to 33 percent of the State's FFY2018 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Capitalization Grant from the CWSRF to the DWSRF. This is the maximum amount allowed under the Federal rules. Furthermore, the DEP is transferring all drinking water projects, such as water meters, that are eligible for the CWSRF program for funding.

#### COMMENT

AEA commented that large projects should be required to seek Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) funding before coming to the I-Bank.

#### RESPONSE

To date, the DEP has not required large systems (or small systems) to use the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act of 2014 (WIFIA) funds for their projects although this might be considered in the future. WIFIA established the federal credit program administered by EPA for eligible water and wastewater infrastructure projects. Projects eligible for the DWSRF are eligible for funding under WIFIA. WIFA assistance is awarded competitively based on letters of interest received for eligible, creditworthy projects. The WIFIA loan amount is limited to 49% of eligible project costs. State DWSRF funds can be used to provide additional financing as needed.

#### COMMENT

UTCA and E. Law both stressed that there is a need to work with the legislature to obtain more funding for drinking water infrastructure.

#### RESPONSE

The DEP recognizes that costs to restore and replace critical infrastructure are expected to be in the billions of dollars over the next several decades. Obtaining this funding will require coordination from various entities, public and private, within municipalities and counties and is complicated by the need to properly prioritize necessary infrastructure improvements, increasing regulatory requirements and other community needs. The DEP continues to evaluate ways to help offset the costs of meeting regulatory requirements and the paramount goal of protecting public health.

#### COMMENT

NJAW stated in response to both the October 2018 IUP Amendments and the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments that the DEP should allow both private and public entities to demonstrate project level affordability.

## RESPONSE

The State developed affordability criteria that assists in identifying applicants that would have difficulty financing projects without additional subsidization. Under the base program, water systems with a median household income (MHI) less than 65% of the State MHI based on the latest 2010 US Census receive adjusted loan rates. When more than one municipality is served by a water system, the weighted municipal population and MHI is used to determine the weighted MHI and determine affordability; affordability is not determined based on the MHI of a single municipality served. Private investor owned water systems are not eligible for adjusted loan rates based on affordability under the base program. It is unlikely that these water systems would meet the affordability criteria noted above due to their large customer base, which is typically spread across multiple municipalities.

However, the DEP does apply an affordability criterion for both privately-owned and publicly-owned water systems under the DEP's LSL replacement program due to the potential risk to public health. Water systems that have exceeded the lead action level that serve communities with a median household income (MHI) less than the median household income for the county in which they are located are eligible for principal forgiveness for full lead service line replacement projects. Loans will be offered as 90% principal forgiveness and 10% funding from the DEP at 0% interest. Project applicants are capped at \$1, 5 or 10 million per water system (PWSID)/per year based on the population served by the water system.

The DEP has set aside \$30 million for principal forgiveness for LSL replacement projects. MHI is calculated using 2017 Census data, in accordance with data obtained from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/NJ>

## COMMENT

Atlantic City MUA asked the DEP to modify the December 2018 Supplemental IUP Amendments to allocate funds for 100 percent DEP financing for drinking water projects for publicly owned utility authorities with service contracts with municipalities that are under State supervision in accordance with Section 4(c)(1)(b) of Chapter 85 of the New Jersey 2018 Public Laws.

## RESPONSE

Chapter 85 of the New Jersey 2018 Public Laws provides up to 100 percent of the total allowable loan amount not to exceed a total of \$10 million for drinking water project loans to municipalities that do not satisfy the New Jersey Infrastructure Bank credit policy but are subject to State financial supervision and oversight pursuant to the "Local Government Supervision Act (1947), " P. L. 1947. c. 151 (C. 52:27BB-1 et seq.). The law does not require the DEP to provide the full 100% financing from the DEP. Therefore, financing for these systems will be in ranked order based on the amendments to the IUP.

## COMMENT

In responding to the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments, Suburban Consulting Engineers stated that midsize systems, as well as other projects in the queue, should receive consideration to honor funding of the project at the proposed rate of 50% interest free financing from the DEP and 50% at the I-Bank market rate with the remaining funds that are left in the state fiscal year.

## RESPONSE

As noted in the public notice for the amendments to the IUP, the success of the program has resulting in funding a record number of projects. Funding under the existing loan terms by year of application or by the current project rank would put the long-term viability of the DWSRF fund in jeopardy. The proposed changes are necessary to accommodate the maximum number of projects and ensure the highest priority public health projects will be able to be funded with the limited funds available.

## COMMENT

Howell stated that in a case where a governmental entity is the indirect obligor of at least 50% of the debt service on a loan under the Drinking Water Program, that such loan would be entitled to 0% financing at the one-half funding level applicable to loans to governmental entities (as opposed to the one-quarter funding level applicable to loans to investor-owned utilities).

## RESPONSE

The proposed changes are intended to balance the interests of investor owned and municipally owned water systems. A governmental entity is the indirect obligor of almost all private or investor-owned utilities that serve those municipalities. The DWSRF provides financing directly to the water system and not to the municipality. Based upon this and the large number of government entities in the State, offering 0% financing or a more favorable Base DWSRF financing package is not sustainable long term for these water systems.

## COMMENT

PVWC made several comments on the proposed IUP. First, stating that the funding cap per project for Disadvantaged Communities be eliminated. Second, that the fifteen highest ranked DWSRF projects receive greater access to zero-interest loans for their projects, with at least 75 percent of the entire project's cost eligible for the zero-interest loan program. Finally, that the loan terms are provided to the Project based upon the IUP in effect at the time the application was submitted, rather than at the time of the loan closure.

## RESPONSE

As stated above, the NJ Water Bank has funded a record number of projects and currently has many more project applications in-house in FFY2018 than in previous years. Funding under the existing loan terms by year of application or by the current project rank would put the long-term viability of the DWSRF fund in jeopardy. The proposed changes are necessary to accommodate the maximum number of projects and ensure the highest priority public health projects will be able to be funded with the limited funds available.

*Transcripts of the hearings on the October 2018 IUP Amendments are available from the transcription services (Guy J. Renzi & Associates of Trenton, NJ) to any interested person or organization upon request. Transcripts of the hearing on the December 2018 IUP Supplemental Amendments are available from the transcription services (Rosenberg & Associates, Inc. of Florham Park, NJ) to any interested person or organization upon request. In addition, copies of the transcripts may be reviewed at the DEP's offices at 401 East State Street in Trenton, New Jersey.*



# APPENDIX 3: SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSES FROM THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FINAL DWSRF FFY2019 (AND SFY2020) IUP DATED SEPTEMBER 2019

## **IV. Proposed Amendments to the Final FFY2019 (SFY2020) DWSRF IUP issued September 23, 2019**

On September 23, 2019, the DEP proposed amendments to the final March 2019 DWSRF IUP to allow projects in the fundable range to move forward prior to Spring 2020 and provide a funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly-owned water systems to ensure that the limited funds are distributed among multiple high priority projects. Notice for this public hearing, including the proposed revised IUP, was posted to the DEP's website at [www.nj.gov/dep/watersupply](http://www.nj.gov/dep/watersupply) and <https://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/cwpl.htm#PropIUP2019> on September 23, 2019. Notice was also emailed to all interested parties, including public community water systems and nonprofit noncommunity water systems subject to the revised IUP. A hearing on the September 2019 IUP Amendments was held on October 1, 2019 at the DEP's Public Hearing Room, Trenton, NJ. Two (2) individuals from the public, two (2) individuals from the DEP, and two (2) individuals from the I-Bank attended the public hearing in Trenton and only one (1) provided comments. The DEP also accepted written comments until close of business on October 8, 2019. Written comments were submitted by three (3) entities, including the individual that attended and provided testimony during the public hearing.

The following persons submitted timely comments on the September 2019 IUP Amendments:

1. James Jensen, P.E., HDR Inc., on behalf of Howard Levison, Water Administrator for The Township of South Orange Village
2. Fred Sickels, FASickels Environmental Management, LLC
3. Steve Picco, Director, Trenton Water Works, Department of Water and Sewer

### **COMMENT**

The commenter raised concerns that uncertainty regarding funding has caused delays in the replacement of lead service lines. Therefore, the City of Trenton agrees with the DEP's proposal to amend the timelines. (3)

### **RESPONSE**

The DEP considered the potential delays as a factor in amending the timelines to allow projects in the fundable range to move forward and appreciates support for the amendments.

### **COMMENT**

Given the volume of DWSRF projects (1,156) and the limited available funding, only a small percentage of these projects will receive SRF funding in the current fiscal year. Many of these projects will be by-passed by March 1, 2020. Furthermore, some projects may come in at a lower price once competitive bidding is complete. This is a key unknown at this point and will determine just how far down the list funding can reach. The current project priority list makes it difficult to judge the likelihood that a project will proceed to funding in the current fiscal year, be by-passed, or not be reached. The format does not include color coding or any other information to facilitate understanding of the status of these projects in the process. It would be helpful if project sponsors had the opportunity to better determine if their project will be funded through the SRF or if they should pursue financing apart from the SRF program. (1,2)

### **RESPONSE**

In lieu of changes to the project priority list, the water systems should refer to the “Living List” posted monthly on the DEP’s website at [www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/mface.htm](http://www.nj.gov/dep/dwq/mface.htm). This list is color coded with a key to allow water systems to check on project status. There are approximately 200 projects under active review on the Living List that, unlike the project priority list, does not include most of the inactive projects from water systems that are not proceeding with DWSRF funding. The current Living List is sorted alphabetically but in response to the above comments, the DEP will be updating the Living List to include one for DWSRF in ranked order.

The Living List should be used only as a general guideline for obtaining status. Throughout the history of the Water Bank, the extent of the fundable range has always been subject to change based on available funds, new applicants, deactivations, and changes in costs for projects that have already closed on a short-term loan. Furthermore, the current list does not display the applicant’s eligibility for enhanced subsidization rates (Nano, affordability, lead line replacement), which are a factor in determining the fundable range. The use of the list to make final determinations to pursue financing apart from SRF should be made in consultation with the DEP.

Finally, the project priority list includes approximately 700 projects and not the 1,156 cited by the commenter. There are no projects ranked from 401 to 855. The DEP is working to clarify this information moving forward.

#### COMMENT

The current SRF project priority system (pages 18-19) does not consider the most pressing needs faced by providers as they work to implement DEP mandates and urgent priorities. The DW IUP has instead prioritized projects by assigning points based on the risk to human health due to failure of a facility. The IUP under review includes priorities but they are not effectively in-line with the current priorities being implemented by the DEP and under discussion by the Legislature. The DEP should modify the prioritization process to accelerate investments to address legacy contaminant threats such as lead and to stretch out the implementation timeline for initiatives where SRF funds are insufficient to support recently rolled out rules such as the WQAA and PFOA or augment the available funding to support implementation to meet the most pressing issues first. Otherwise water systems are overwhelmed as is the DEP’s administration of the program. There is insufficient funds and time to meet all the mandates at once. The DEP should consider prioritizing all lead inventory and remediation projects ahead of some other issues in the IUP. Without focusing on one major initiative, we run the risk of chipping away at small pieces of a large universe of concerns, that grows over time, without fully resolving any one issue. (1, 2)

#### RESPONSE

As stated above in response to comments on the March 2019 IUP, the cost to restore and replace critical infrastructure, including lead service line replacement costs, is expected to be in the billions of dollars over the next several decades. The IUP does not set regulatory requirements or implementation timelines, instead it outlines how available funds are best prioritized to address the growing needs of water systems across the State with the paramount goal of protecting public health. The Drinking Water Project Ranking Methodology in Appendix 1 lists the priorities and ranking points for FFY2019. DEP believes that the priorities outlined in this IUP are in-line with DEP’s own priorities and initiatives, as well as what has been expressed to DEP by the Legislature. For example, the project priorities include priority points for surface water systems to cover open finished water reservoirs, principal forgiveness for legacy issues such as lead service line replacement, and points to fund projects for the treatment for unregulated contaminants like PFOA. Because of the individual needs of each water system, prioritizing any one issue is unrealistic as it may adversely affect water systems with critical needs. As the DEP continues to evaluate both the priorities for funds and ways to offset the costs of meeting regulatory requirements, modifications to the point system are expected to be included in a future IUP.

#### COMMENT



The IUP describes how the DEP will priority rank, fund, and roll projects over to future State fiscal years to meet existing requirements and new mandates to water systems. These requirements have created not only a funding problem, but an administrative log-jam because projects are not reviewed, and decisions are not rendered in a timely fashion. Systems that need to receive DEP SRF authorization to proceed are waiting a year or more for a decision. The DEP should assign additional staff resources to the administrations of the program to support applicants and accelerate review of the loan application process. This is necessary to allow the applicants to move forward and still preserve the applicant's right to secure SRF funding if the project ranking intersects with available funds in the future. Significant delays keep water systems from initiating projects that address DEP/Legislative mandates for fear of losing the ability to seek DWSRF funding at a later date. (1,2)

#### RESPONSE

The DEP is continuing to review construction documents, issue authorizations to advertise, and issue authorizations to award in a timely manner. The applications required for financing are complex documents that are reviewed for compliance with federal and state laws and regulations. The review process requires a constant dialogue between DEP and applicants and could result in need for additional submissions and corrections. This is one reason why DEP moved to an electronic submission portal, H2Loans, to make this process as streamlined as possible. The DEP recognizes that the change in funding priorities from "readiness to proceed" to ranked order due to limited funds may have delayed certain funding decisions for projects currently on the ranked list. These concerns are among the reasons that the proposed September 2019 IUP revisions include a change in the timeline for funding to allow projects in the fundable range to move forward prior to Spring 2020. This will also give other ranked projects a better indication of the potential for receiving DWSRF funds based on their ranking on the list. Any delays are primarily from these changes and not as a result of staffing shortfalls, however, additional resources in the future may enhance service to applicants.

#### COMMENT

Do not impose a \$25M cap on public-owned water utilities for lead-inventory/abatement projects. (2) The NJDEP is proposing to add a funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly owned water system projects. The City of Trenton anticipates more than a \$200 million project to replace all of the LSLs in its system. Without federal or state principal forgiveness, this cost must be transferred to either homeowners and/or rate payers. By financing the project through the DWSRF, the cost can be spread over a longer horizon and at a lower interest rate than other sources available to the City of Trenton. Under the current LCR requirements, the City of Trenton may be required to replace double the amount of LSLs per year than in Phase 1, which is a \$16 million project. Because of the increasing lack of available contractors, under potential LSL replacement obligations enforced by the DEP, the City anticipates the need for \$35 to \$40 million in funding per year. The commenter requests that the DEP consider modifying the funding cap for each water system to match its replacement obligation schedule imposed by the DEP, not less. Systems that chose to replace LSL at a pace faster than the regulatory obligation shall be eligible for funding up to \$25 million, as suggested. (3)

#### RESPONSE

The funding cap of \$25 million per applicant per year for publicly-owned water systems applies to all projects, including lead abatement projects, to ensure that the finite funds are distributed among multiple water systems and multiple high priority projects. This cap does not apply to any projects that have received an Authorization to Advertise for a portion of the project on or before September 1, 2019, provided the scope of the project is not changed or expanded. The LSL replacement obligations follow requirements of the Federal Lead and Copper Rules. The DEP does not currently have enough available funds to provide principal forgiveness and zero percent interest loans to cover the cost of LSL replacement for those systems or projects submitted to meet requirements of other Federal rules. Therefore, the DEP has determined that the cap is necessary at this time to provide assistance to multiple high priority projects for FFY2019. Any project costs more than \$25 million can be funded with a market rate loan from the I-Bank. The DEP and this Administration continue to seek additional funding from the federal government and State sources. For example, the federal Water Infrastructure Funding Transfer Act, was recently enacted to provide for the transfer of up to an estimated \$100 million from the Clean Water SRF

to the Drinking Water SRF as additional subsidy for lead-related projects. The prioritization and use of this funding will be defined in the upcoming IUPs.

#### COMMENT

A law change should be considered that would allow the NJIB to finance projects not currently approved by the DEP. The excellent NJIB loan terms may help those municipalities with less attractive bond ratings to acquire funding to meet needs for projects that fall too far down the priority list to be SRF funded. Perhaps such loans would not include many of the provisions required under the federal SRF that increase costs. (2)

#### RESPONSE

The success of the DWSRF program is based on the partnership between DEP and I-Bank to create low cost funding for borrowers. Most of the savings is from DEP provided funds at 0% interest, combined with the I-Bank's AAA rating which allows I-Bank to issue loans at a lower rate than the typical A rated borrower's rate. The commenter is correct that if the borrowers were to get a 100% AAA rated I-Bank loan, rather than an A rated or BBB rated loan, a municipality would still obtain better financing rates through the I-Bank. However, this lower I-Bank rate is made possible since a portion of funding comes from the DEP which provides additional loss collateral for the I-Bank's bonds by linking the program's bond reserve account known as the MPTA (Master Program Trust Account). In other words, the corresponding DEP loan provides additional security for the I-Bank bonds to support a AAA rating that produces the lower I-Bank rate.

#### COMMENT

On page 18, the IUP states that emergency projects will receive funding over other projects on the comprehensive priority list. But the Comprehensive List includes emergency projects such as, East Orange (rank 80), PVWC (rank 164) and South Orange (rank 225). Why are those projects ranked so low if they are emergencies? The DEP should consider creating a separate list for emergencies or simply place all emergency projects at the top of the Comprehensive List so costs and available funds can be better tracked. The Village of South Orange also requested the DEP's assistance to expedite project financing. (1,2)

#### RESPONSE

The ranks cited by the commenter are the base ranks that those projects received when submitting a request for funding through DWSRF. Once a project is determined to be an emergency, it is moved forward ahead of other projects on the list regardless of ranking. In this case, none of the projects currently on the project priority list were determined to meet the criteria to move forward and receive emergency funding under the DWSRF program. This criteria limits funding to projects (i) that will repair the actual or impending failure of one or more components of a drinking water or wastewater system caused by structural or mechanical failure, sabotage or act of God; (ii) where the actual or impending failure of the system could not have reasonably been foreseen; and (iii) are necessary and limited to correct the failure of an essential portion of the environmental infrastructure system to restore service.

#### COMMENT

One commenter noted that on Page 8 it states: Planning & Design Loans cover costs incurred in the planning and design phase of a water infrastructure project. These loans are 0% interest. Eligible costs include engineering fees, surveys, environmental or geological studies, and other costs related to project plan preparation. The loans must be rolled into a Water Bank capital improvement project or **repaid in 3 years** (emphasis added). On page 13 it states the Water Bank is offering 100% interest-free financing for up to three years through the planning and design loan program. Planning and design include projects such as the development of asset management plans. **Loans are given with the expectation that such plans will result in an eligible capital improvement project.** (emphasis added) The DEP needs to clarify which is in force. The commenter recommends that the first listed text be implemented to encourage water systems to initiate needed projects. (2)

The second commenter noted that pages 8 and 13 reference interest-free financing for up to three years through the planning and design (P&D) loan program. It was previously understood that the term was going to be extended for up to five years. Considering that the project loan program prioritization process is being revamped, the commenter requested that the DEP / State revise the P&D authorization process to allow applicants to move forward with the related environmental and engineering activities that are required to move projects forward concurrently with financing related requirements. (1)

#### RESPONSE

The DEP has modified language in the IUP to clarify project ranking is a consideration in issuing a P&D loan. P&D loans must be repaid in 3 years or rolled into a high-ranking capital project. If combined with a construction loan for a high-ranking capital project, the total Short-term Construction Loan term may extend up to five (5) full fiscal years. Any changes to this program will be made in a future IUP.

#### COMMENT

A change in the DWSRF IUP format should be considered. Currently multiple funding years are included with no clear association with listed projects. It's a cumbersome document to move through. (2)

#### RESPONSE

The proposed amendments currently include only the terms related to funding in FFY2019. For FFY2020, the DEP intends to avoid combining multiple years, if possible.

#### COMMENT

On page 16 – Additional SRF Provisions – Item 3 on the list is blank. (2)

#### RESPONSE

The list has been fixed and updated. Item 3 on the list was a provision previously related to CWSRF so it was deleted from the IUP as the document only relates to DWSRF.

*Transcripts of the hearings on the September 2019 IUP Amendments are available from the transcription services (Guy J. Renzi & Associates of Trenton, NJ) to any interested person or organization upon request. In addition, copies of the transcripts may be reviewed at the DEP's offices at 401 East State Street in Trenton, New Jersey.*

## APPENDIX 4: FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FINAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

APPENDIX 4

STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project Number	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support Cost	Total Project Cost	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C.a	Cat C.b	Cat C.c	Cat C.d	Cat D	Cat E	Total Points
1	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-012</a>	Construction of a cover for the Cedar Grove Reservoir	285,000	\$50,000,000	\$12,730,000	\$62,730,000	500	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	652.85
2	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-024</a>	Installation of a 2.0 MG storage tank next to existing Verona storage tank	347,052	\$2,970,000	\$1,566,800	\$4,536,800	500	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	603.47
4	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-014</a>	Levine Reservoir Water Storage Improvements - Phase 1	314,900	\$17,142,000	\$5,186,920	\$22,328,920	500	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.15	603.15
5	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-020</a>	Phase-2 Lead Service Line Replacement (LSLR) Project	280,139	\$12,989,172	\$418,386	\$15,693,186	250	50	20	0	5	0	80	2.9	407.9
6	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-019</a>	Phase-1 Lead Service Line Replacement (LSLR) Project	280,139	\$6,000,000	\$1,528,353	\$7,528,353	250	50	15	0	5	0	80	2.8	402.8
8	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-009</a>	Installation of 48-inch pipe at wells to increase chlorine contact time at nine wells	7,900	\$750,000	\$525,000	\$1,275,000	350	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.08	365.08
9	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-026</a>	PVWC Lead Service Line Replacement	147,000	\$1,400,000	\$578,000	\$1,978,000	250	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	353.47
10	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-021</a>	Phase 3-10 Lead Service Line Replacement (LSLR) Project	280,000	\$120,000,000	-	\$144,929,550	250	0	15	0	5	0	80	2.94	352.94
11	New Brunswick City	<a href="#">1214001-005</a>	Water Treatment Plant Improvements	50,000	\$10,435,000	\$3,443,100	\$13,878,100	250	0	15	5	0	0	80	0.5	350.5
12	Trenton City	<a href="#">1111001-011</a>	Lead Service line replacement	391,000	\$13,000,000	\$2,900,000	\$15,900,000	250	50	15	0	5	0	0	3.91	323.91
15	Bloomfield Township	<a href="#">0702001-003</a>	Lead Service Line Replacement	47,982	\$875,000	\$1,440,000	\$1,098,395	300	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.47	305.47
16	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-017</a>	Oak Street Treatment Plant Improvements	290,470	\$4,239,000	\$2,763,840	\$7,002,840	250	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	302.9
17	Aqua New Jersey Incorporate	<a href="#">1103001-005</a>	Addition of radium treatment at Well 9 to resolve MCL exceedance	49,000	\$583,100	\$418,226	\$1,001,326	250	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.49	300.49
18	Burlington City	<a href="#">0305001-002</a>	Rehabilitation of Dual Media Filters	9,835	\$700,000	\$398,000	\$1,098,000	250	0	15	5	0	0	30	0.1	300.1
19	North Shore Water Association	<a href="#">1904004-004</a>	Water System Refurb	105	\$100,000	\$145,400	\$245,400	300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
19	North Shore Water Association	<a href="#">1904004-001</a>	Existing Well Requires Replacement	105	\$360,000	\$115,000	\$475,000	300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	300
22	Bloomfield Township	<a href="#">0702001-004</a>	Interconnection Project	47,315	\$1,920,000	\$491,000	\$2,592,000	250	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.47	270.47
23	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-013</a>	Construction of a new 50 MGD Bellville Pump Station	872,153	\$25,000,000	\$11,690,000	\$36,690,000	160	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	268.72
24	Winslow Township	<a href="#">0436007-006</a>	Add radium removal treatment at existing wells 1 and 8 to correct Maximum Contaminant Level violations	39,328	\$4,953,080	\$2,439,355	\$7,392,435	250	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.39	265.39
25	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-004</a>	Installation of lead corrosion control measures at four interconnections	35,928	\$400,000	\$280,000	\$680,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	265.36
25	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-003</a>	Replacement of 7,000 lead service lines	35,928	\$14,000,000	\$5,940,000	\$19,940,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	265.36
27	Upper Deerfield Township	<a href="#">0613004-001</a>	Radium Treatment Removal for Love Lane WTP (wells # 3 & 4)	4,500	\$2,200,000	\$1,228,000	\$3,428,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.04	265.04
29	ADTI Housing Corporation	<a href="#">2103002-001</a>	Chlorination system	83	\$243,700	\$109,665	\$353,365	250	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	265
30	Buttonwood Mobile Home Park	<a href="#">0301001-001</a>	Buttonwood system	77	\$240,000	\$78,000	\$318,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	265
31	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-013</a>	Removal and disposal of sludge from lagoon	285,000	\$3,000,000	\$1,580,000	\$4,580,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	252.85
31	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-016</a>	Pequanock Water Treatment Plant Rehab	285,000	\$6,658,000	\$5,020,400	\$11,678,400	100	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	252.85
31	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-001</a>	Construction of an ozonation facility	285,000	\$10,000,000	\$4,660,000	\$14,660,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	252.85
34	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-015</a>	Morris-Delair WTP improvements - Phase II	77,344	\$919,790	\$524,245	\$1,444,035	100	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	250.77
34	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-016</a>	Parkside WTP various improvements	77,344	\$245,277	\$139,797	\$385,074	100	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	250.77
36	Moorestown Township	<a href="#">0322001-001</a>	North Church Street Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	20,726	\$15,260,000	\$4,601,000	\$19,861,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	250.21
37	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-009</a>	Arsenic treatment system at the Spring Street Treatment Facility	16,350	\$422,903	\$373,372	\$796,275	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	250.16
40	Sparta Township	<a href="#">1918004-003</a>	Installation of uranium treatment equipment at two of the existing Autumn Hill well house (Well 1 and Well 2)	15,726	\$350,000	-\$14,000	\$336,000	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	250.16
42	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-011</a>	Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) Treatment Addition	86,898	\$16,000,000	\$4,890,000	\$20,890,000	200	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.87	235.87
43	East Orange City	<a href="#">0705001-014</a>	Water System Improvement and Resiliency Project 2017	65,078	\$19,520,000	\$5,805,200	\$25,325,200	100	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.65	235.65
44	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-017</a>	Water Distribution System Upgrades	285,000	\$971,100	\$866,400	\$1,837,500	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.9	227.9
45	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-009</a>	Replacement of 12,000 Lead service lines	285,000	\$30,000,000	\$11,060,000	\$41,060,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	227.85
45	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-008</a>	Cleaning and lining of water mains, upgrading 4 inch mains to 6 & 8 inch mains, replace old fire hydrants	285,000	\$24,800,000	\$9,396,000	\$34,196,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	227.85
45	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-002</a>	Rehab of 42-inch Steel water main including cleaning & lining	285,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,070,000	\$5,070,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	227.85
49	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-014</a>	Replacement of Lead Service Lines	77,344	\$567,000	\$396,900	\$963,900	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	225.77
49	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-013</a>	Cleaning & Lining of distribution and transmission mains	77,344	\$7,971,514	\$4,543,450	\$12,514,964	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	225.77
49	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-004</a>	Replacement of water mains on South Merrimac Road and New Hampshire Road	77,344	\$4,100,000	\$2,064,000	\$6,164,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	225.77
49	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-020</a>	Cleaning and lining of a transmission mains	77,344	\$7,358,322	\$4,193,950	\$11,552,272	75	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	225.77

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Project			Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
53	Bridgeton City	<a href="#">0601001-005</a>	Replacement of 2,190 LF of 6 inch with 8 inch main	22,770	\$1,723,000	\$724,600	\$2,447,600	75	50	15	0	0	0	80	0.23	220.23	
54	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-007</a>	Construction of a hydro-electric facility at the pre-treatment plant screen building	285,000	\$6,000,000	\$3,750,000	\$9,750,000	45	50	20	0	0	15	80	2.85	212.85	
55	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-006</a>	1 MG Storage Tank Sand Blasting and painting	94,225	\$1,345,500	\$1,042,626	\$2,388,126	60	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.94	210.94	
56	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-007</a>	Replacement of fifteen water mains suspended on Garden State Parkway bridges	80,468	\$2,500,000	\$1,360,000	\$3,860,000	75	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.8	210.8	
56	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-006</a>	Replacement of west well transmission main	80,468	\$2,500,000	\$1,360,000	\$3,860,000	75	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.8	210.8	
56	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-002</a>	Cleaning & Lining of mains	80,468	\$2,164,500	\$1,212,380	\$3,376,880	75	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.8	210.8	
56	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-010</a>	Installation of 2,150 LF of 8-inch & 1,400 LF of 4-inch for a redevelopment	80,468	\$325,000	\$227,500	\$552,500	75	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.8	210.8	
60	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-006</a>	Rehabilitate the North Camden pump station	77,344	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	60	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.77	210.77	
61	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-005</a>	Installation of solar system at offices and at WTP	47,011	\$4,000,000	\$2,020,000	\$6,020,000	45	50	20	0	0	15	80	0.47	210.47	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-025</a>	Recycle Clear Phase to the Head of the Treatment Plant	872,153	\$5,130,000	\$3,207,360	\$8,337,360	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-029</a>	Basins 1-4 Flocculator Rehabilitation	872,153	\$1,970,000	\$1,399,840	\$3,369,840	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-022</a>	Basins 5 & 6 Rehabilitation	872,153	\$12,095,000	\$6,927,370	\$19,022,370	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-028</a>	Filter Bldg Pipe Gallery Dehumid	872,153	\$1,246,000	\$985,712	\$2,231,712	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-016</a>	Install 6 Layer Aerators including air piping and appurtenances.	872,153	\$1,000,000	\$950,000	\$1,950,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-032</a>	Rehabilitation of Treatment Facility	872,153	\$2,465,520	\$1,683,276	\$4,148,796	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-014</a>	Construction of a 6 MG baffled clearwell and rehab of an existing clearwell to include baffles	872,153	\$5,000,000	\$3,190,000	\$8,190,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-027</a>	Expansion of Aeration System	872,153	\$1,554,000	\$1,161,888	\$2,715,888	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-012</a>	Improvement of chemical feed equipment, pressure gauges, meters and alarms for increased security measures	872,153	\$500,000	\$475,000	\$975,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
62	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-020</a>	Rehabilitation of existing WTP	872,153	\$4,250,000	\$2,770,000	\$7,020,000	100	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	208.72	
72	Aqua New Jersey Incorporate	<a href="#">2119001-008</a>	Replacement of 7,080 LF of undersized water mains in Philipsburg	33,560	\$1,062,000	\$727,280	\$1,789,280	75	50	0	0	0	0	80	0.34	205.34	
73	Buena Vista Township	<a href="#">0660004-001</a>	Water Main extension due to private well contamination	184	-	-	-	125	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	205	
74	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-018</a>	Upgrade residual treatment process to include belt thickeners	347,052	\$5,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$7,460,000	100	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	203.47	
75	East Greenwich Township	<a href="#">0803001-004</a>	Installation of filtration system for PFC removal at #3 Well	9,550	\$1,741,000	\$539,200	\$2,280,200	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	200.1	
76	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-011</a>	Rehabilitation of the basculate gate at the Charlotteburgh Reservoir with alarm and control systems	285,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	45	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	197.85	
78	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-017</a>	Boonton Plant Centrifuge	264,290	\$1,450,200	\$290,040	\$1,740,240	100	50	15	0	0	0	30	2.62	197.62	
79	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-009</a>	Installation of solar power at water treatment plant	80,468	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	45	50	0	5	0	15	80	0.8	195.8	
80	East Orange City	<a href="#">0705001-012</a>	WORPS SCADA Instrumentation/Controls Planning and Design	65,078	\$3,000,000	\$2,070,000	\$5,070,000	60	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.65	195.65	
80	East Orange City	<a href="#">0705001-013</a>	WORPS Emergency Backup Power Generator Planning and Design	65,078	\$3,420,000	\$2,184,000	\$5,604,000	60	50	0	5	0	0	80	0.65	195.65	
82	Bridgeton City	<a href="#">0601001-006</a>	Well 14/15 Rehabilitation	25,349	\$5,300,000	-	\$6,810,000	100	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.25	195.25	
83	Salem City	<a href="#">1712001-003</a>	Upgrades to WTP to address taste and odor problems	5,857	\$4,500,000	\$2,240,000	\$6,740,000	100	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.06	195.06	
84	Egg Harbor City	<a href="#">0107001-002</a>	Replacement of a water treatment plant	4,700	\$8,500,000	\$2,768,740	\$11,268,740	100	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.05	195.05	
85	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-021</a>	New Auto Meter Reading Equip for entire City	77,344	\$100,000	\$3,108,500	\$3,208,500	25	50	20	0	0	15	80	0.77	190.77	
86	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-027</a>	PHASE 6B WATER MAIN REHABILITATION PROJECT	247,597	\$6,529,990	-	\$8,140,310	75	50	20	5	5	0	30	2.62	187.62	
87	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-006</a>	Construct a 48 inch by-pass main and rehabilitate the single 70+ yr old 74 inch aqueduct	872,153	\$15,000,000	\$6,260,000	\$21,260,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	183.72	



FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Project			Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
87	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-009</a>	Rehab of the Kearny/Bayonne Transmission main	872,153	\$5,600,000	\$3,526,000	\$9,126,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	183.72	
89	Tuckerton Borough	<a href="#">1532002-004</a>	Rehabilitation of three green sand filter tanks and one backwash tank	3,365	\$109,000	\$49,050	\$158,050	100	35	15	0	0	0	30	0.03	180.03	
90	Woodbine Borough	<a href="#">0516001-001</a>	WTP Upgrade and water main extension	2,472	\$2,437,500	\$686,730	\$3,124,230	100	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.03	180.03	
91	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-019</a>	Installation of 2200 LF of 12-inch main to connect Eastside Pumping station to Patersons downtown area	347,052	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	178.47	
91	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-017</a>	Installation of 7000 LF of 12-inch main to replace Granite Ave storage tank	347,052	\$1,700,000	\$1,008,000	\$2,708,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	178.47	
91	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-015</a>	Replace approximately 200 large antiquated valves	347,052	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	178.47	
94	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-010</a>	Replacement of 38,234 old water meters in the distribution system.	285,000	\$19,000,000	\$7,540,000	\$26,540,000	25	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	177.85	
95	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-018</a>	Replacement of Water Distribution Mains	273,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,070,000	\$5,070,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	80	2.73	177.73	
96	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-007</a>	Water Main Replacement Program	94,225	-	-	-	75	0	20	0	0	0	80	0.95	175.95	
98	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-019</a>	Route 139 Water Main Replacement Project	262,000	\$5,000,000	\$1,060,000	\$6,060,000	75	50	20	5	5	0	15	2.62	172.62	
99	Wildwood City	<a href="#">0514001-006</a>	2019 Capital Improvements (Drinking Water)	94,333	\$4,756,511	\$478,605	\$6,183,204	75	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.94	170.94	
100	Manchester Township	<a href="#">1518005-001</a>	Various main replacements	26,877	\$243,890	\$56,585	\$300,475	75	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.27	170.27	
101	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-031</a>	Purchase and Install New Dewatering System	150	\$2,469,700	\$1,215,800	\$3,685,500	100	50	20	0	0	0	0	0	170	
101	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-026</a>	Low Lift Gas Pump	872,153	\$9,142,875	\$3,665,650	\$12,808,525	100	50	20	0	0	0	0	0	170	
104	Arthur Road Well Association	<a href="#">1912007-001</a>	Connection of this system to Hopatcong Borough	60	\$200,000	\$299,500	\$499,500	170	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	170	
105	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-019</a>	Ramapo Pump Station Improvements	872,153	\$12,000,000	\$6,750,000	\$18,750,000	60	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	168.72	
105	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-035</a>	Rehabilitation of Pump Stations	872,153	\$1,000,000	\$5,181,742	\$6,181,742	60	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	168.72	
105	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-021</a>	Implementation of alternative energy generation systems at the Wanaque TP	872,153	\$2,500,000	\$1,790,000	\$4,290,000	45	50	20	0	0	15	30	8.72	168.72	
109	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-021</a>	Swimming River WTP 2nd Clearwell	335,449	\$16,973,964	\$5,143,231	\$22,117,195	100	50	0	0	0	0	15	3.35	168.35	
111	Camden City	<a href="#">0408001-022</a>	Install potable wells/flr elevations at Morris Delair WTP	46,585	\$100,000	\$1,160,000	\$1,260,000	15	50	20	0	0	0	80	0.47	165.47	
112	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-020</a>	Replacement of Prospect Park storage tank	347,052	\$800,000	\$560,000	\$1,360,000	60	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	163.47	
112	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-023</a>	Decommissioning of Granite Avenue Tank	347,052	\$1,700,000	\$1,008,000	\$2,708,000	60	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	163.47	
114	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-014</a>	Water Main Replacement on Cartagena Drive, Alhama Drive, Cadiz Drive, Valencia Drive and Monterey Drive	86,898	\$1,600,000	\$495,000	\$2,095,000	75	50	20	0	0	0	15	0.87	160.87	
115	Berkeley Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1505004-003</a>	Install new solar panels at treatment plant	8,130	\$750,000	\$525,000	\$1,275,000	45	0	20	0	0	15	80	0.08	160.08	
116	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-004</a>	Replacement of 8in water main	3,236	\$1,597,665	\$962,972	\$2,560,637	75	50	15	5	0	0	15	0.03	160.03	
116	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-002</a>	Replacement of leaking water mains	3,236	\$1,150,000	\$766,000	\$1,916,000	75	50	15	5	0	0	15	0.03	160.03	
116	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-007</a>	Replace WM on Route 46, Extend WM on Rte 80, Replace Meters	3,236	\$2,465,360	\$1,683,186	\$4,148,546	75	50	15	5	0	0	15	0.03	160.03	
119	Wildwood City	<a href="#">0514001-004</a>	Wildwood Boardwalk water main replacement	45,500	\$1,820,080	\$674,016	\$2,494,096	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.45	155.45	
120	Manchester Township	<a href="#">1518005-002</a>	Repaint and repair one MG elevated storage facility	21,083	\$4,169,100	\$1,380,014	\$5,549,114	60	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.21	155.21	
122	Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0505002-003</a>	Villas East Phase 2 and Lower Cape May Regional water main extensions	22,393	\$5,146,520	\$1,776,886	\$6,923,406	125	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.1	155.1	
123	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-001</a>	Hudson Avenue Water Main Installation	7,224	\$750,000	\$150,000	\$900,000	125	0	15	0	0	15	0	0.07	155.07	
124	Little Egg Harbor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1516001-005</a>	Little Egg Harbor Water Improvements Phases I	6,667	\$4,789,562	\$2,107,404	\$6,896,966	75	50	15	0	0	0	15	0.07	155.07	
125	Paulsboro Borough	<a href="#">0814001-003</a>	Water Main Replacement (Thomson, Wood, Eliz. and Commerce St.)	6,025	\$850,000	\$1,877,722	\$2,727,722	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.06	155.06	
126	Clementon Borough	<a href="#">0411001-001</a>	Rehab of Gibbsboro Water Main (White Horse Pike & White Horse Rd.)	5,003	\$300,000	\$156,750	\$456,750	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.05	155.05	
128	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-007</a>	Sussex Borough Main Street Water Main Replacement Project	2,201	\$496,477	\$644,047	\$595,772	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.02	155.02	
129	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-005</a>	Lake Rutherford Water Line Installation Project	2,130	\$1,018,000	\$203,600	\$1,221,600	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.02	155.02	
129	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-006</a>	Water Systems Enhancements	2,130	\$186,000	\$37,200	\$223,200	75	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.02	155.02	
131	Newark City	<a href="#">0714001-014</a>	Installation of a SCADA system	285,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,360,000	\$3,860,000	1	50	20	0	0	0	80	2.85	153.85	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
		Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
132	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-033</a>	Security Enhancements Project - Orechio Dr Complex	872,153	\$2,632,200	\$2,030,610	\$4,662,810	45	50	20	0	0	0	0	30	8.72	153.72
132	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-018</a>	Security system improvements - Relocation of Wanaque WTP main entrance gate closer to Ringwood Blvd	872,153	\$3,000,000	\$1,890,000	\$4,890,000	45	50	20	0	0	0	0	30	8.72	153.72
132	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-023</a>	Security system improvements	872,153	\$1,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,700,000	45	50	20	0	0	0	0	30	8.72	153.72
135	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-018</a>	Oak Glenn Treatment Plant Expansion	290,470	\$26,920,000	\$12,419,600	\$39,339,600	100	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	152.9
137	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-029</a>	CJO Plant Upgrade - DBP Removal Treatment	282,741	\$21,043,630	\$6,201,344	\$27,244,974	100	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	152.33
138	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-005</a>	Replacement of electrical cable for wellfield which includes Well Nos. 3, 4 & 5	80,468	\$950,000	\$665,000	\$1,615,000	15	50	0	5	0	0	0	80	0.8	150.8
138	East Orange Water Commission	<a href="#">0705001-004</a>	Rehab of Braidburn wells #1 & #2; Canoe Brook wells #2, #3 & #4	80,468	\$1,196,000	\$786,240	\$1,982,240	15	50	0	5	0	0	0	80	0.8	150.8
140	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-006</a>	Rehabilitation of Ford Wellfield treatment, pumps & motors, electrical, SCADA and transmission mains	24,062	\$4,600,000	\$2,250,536	\$6,850,536	100	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	150.24
141	Freehold Borough	<a href="#">1315001-002</a>	Water Plant Development	12,052	\$5,000,000	-	\$6,440,000	100	0	15	0	5	0	0	30	0.12	150.12
142	Salem City	<a href="#">1712001-004</a>	Salem City Water Meter	4,931	\$1,092,100	\$253,420	\$1,345,520	35	0	15	0	5	15	80	0.05	150.05	
143	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0338001-002</a>	Replacement of 56,000 LF of 6 and 8-inch mains-Twin Hills	34,731	\$8,100,000	\$2,350,880	\$10,450,880	75	50	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.35	145.35
143	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0338001-003</a>	Replacement of 6 & 8 inch mains in Rlitenhouse section	34,731	\$1,585,600	\$957,664	\$2,543,264	75	50	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.35	145.35
145	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-012</a>	Upgrades to well #4 water treatment plant including a new air stripping tower	33,000	\$756,000	\$529,200	\$1,285,200	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	30	0.33	145.33
145	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-013</a>	Upgrades to the existing water treatment plant at well #13 with new replacement well #18.	33,000	\$4,000,000	\$2,020,000	\$6,020,000	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	30	0.33	145.33
147	Stafford Township	<a href="#">1530004-017</a>	Replacement of 1,600 LF of water main on Charles Blvd	28,868	\$363,066	\$344,910	\$707,976	75	35	20	0	0	0	0	15	0.29	145.29
147	Stafford Township	<a href="#">1530004-016</a>	Installation of 5,000 LF of main under the GSP as secondary crossing	28,868	\$3,000,000	\$1,580,000	\$4,580,000	75	35	20	0	0	0	0	15	0.29	145.29
150	Egg Harbor City	<a href="#">0107001-001</a>	Construction of a new storage tank	4,700	\$2,000,000	\$340,000	\$2,340,000	50	0	15	0	0	0	0	80	0.05	145.05
151	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-005</a>	Roof and Structural repairs to a 1MG reservoir	3,236	\$608,125	\$425,687	\$1,033,812	60	50	15	5	0	0	0	15	0.03	145.03
151	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-008</a>	Rehabilitate existing storage facilities	3,236	\$373,000	\$1,190,014	\$1,563,014	60	50	15	5	0	0	0	15	0.03	145.03
153	Manchester Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1603001-007</a>	Replace existing booster station	12,111	\$1,100,000	\$744,000	\$1,844,000	60	50	15	0	0	0	0	15	0.12	140.12
154	Berkeley Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1505004-004</a>	Install automated meter reading system	8,130	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	25	0	20	0	0	0	15	80	0.08	140.08
156	Upper Deerfield Township	<a href="#">0613004-002</a>	Seabrook Water Tower Replacement (Upper Deerfield)	2,964	\$1,615,000	\$323,000	\$1,938,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.03	140.03
157	Waterford Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0435003-001</a>	New water mains for Maximum Contaminant Level violations	2,408	\$1,465,738	\$904,923	\$2,370,661	125	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	140.02
158	Mount Arlington Borough	<a href="#">1426005-003</a>	Altenbrand, Windemere, McGregor and Lee Water Main Extension	133	\$1,529,000	\$330,000	\$2,020,000	125	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	140.02
159	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-025</a>	Phase 1 & 2 Water Main Replacement Project	264,161	\$16,166,000	\$3,345,200	\$19,511,200	75	0	20	5	5	15	15	2.62	137.62	
159	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-026</a>	5-B Water Project	250,000	\$6,100,000	\$725,400	\$7,320,000	75	0	20	5	5	0	0	30	2.62	137.62
161	East Orange City	<a href="#">0705001-500/001</a>	Install generators -White Oak Rd	80,468	\$3,217,000	\$1,159,440	\$4,376,440	1	50	0	5	0	0	0	80	0.8	136.8
163	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-009</a>	Water Meter and MTU Replacement	75,619	\$2,210,000	\$350,000	\$2,652,000	25	0	15	0	0	0	15	80	0.76	135.76
164	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-016</a>	Upgrade the interconnection with United WC	347,052	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	30	0	20	0	0	0	0	80	3.47	133.47
164	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-022</a>	Emergency interconnection upgrade	347,052	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	30	0	20	0	0	0	0	80	3.47	133.47
164	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-010</a>	Installation of a back up Wanaque interconnection line	347,052	\$750,000	\$525,000	\$1,275,000	30	0	20	0	0	0	0	80	3.47	133.47
167	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-016</a>	Large Valve Replacement Program- Phase 2	264,290	\$6,101,000	\$1,220,200	\$7,321,200	75	0	20	5	0	0	0	30	2.64	132.64
168	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-010</a>	Journal Square North Cleaning	262,000	\$5,000,000	\$2,067,000	\$7,067,000	75	0	20	5	0	0	0	30	2.62	132.62
169	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-012</a>	Water Main Replacement	247,000	\$12,000,000	\$6,886,000	\$18,886,000	75	0	20	5	0	0	0	30	2.47	132.47
169	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-006</a>	Transmission Main Install	247,000	\$13,500,000	\$6,310,000	\$19,810,000	75	0	20	5	0	0	0	30	2.47	132.47
169	NJ City Univ. / Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-005</a>	Redevelopment of Brownfield site	247,000	\$882,867	\$601,385	\$1,484,252	75	0	20	5	0	0	0	30	2.47	132.47
176	Manchester Township	<a href="#">1518005-004</a>	MANCHESTER TOWNSHIP 1.0MG ELEVATED TANK	21,200	\$4,962,608	-	\$6,135,130	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.21	130.21

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total Points
		Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E		
177	Pine Hill Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0428002-001</a>	Construction of GAC filtration system for removal of IPMP - Critical Area #2	12,492	\$250,000	\$175,000	\$425,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.12	130.12	
178	Bellmawr Borough	<a href="#">0404001-005</a>	Improvements to WTP	11,583	\$415,500	\$83,100	\$498,600	100	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.12	130.12	
179	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-001</a>	Water Treatment Plant upgrades	2,666	\$116,857	-\$16,359	\$100,498	100	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.03	130.03	
180	Bayville Central Regional Board of Education	<a href="#">1505355-001</a>	Additional treatment on existing well	2,500	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.02	130.02	
181	Downe Township	<a href="#">0604001-004</a>	Construction of new storage tank on New Jersey Avenue	770	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.01	130.01	
182	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-019</a>	Replacement of 5,000 LF of 24-inch cast iron mains	233,376	\$4,200,000	\$2,108,000	\$6,308,000	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	127.33	
182	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-018</a>	Construction of a water main	233,376	\$23,200,000	\$8,884,000	\$32,084,000	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	127.33	
186	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-028</a>	RENEW 2018 - Woodbridge Twp.	22,844	\$8,591,350	\$2,607,612	\$11,198,962	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	1.33	126.33	
187	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-008</a>	Water Meter Replacement Program	94,225	-	-	-	25	0	20	0	0	0	80	0.95	125.95	
188	Old Bridge Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1209002-005</a>	Laurence Harbor Water System Upgrade, Phase 1	65,375	\$1,753,990	\$182,000	\$2,315,267	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.67	125.67	
189	Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0901001-004</a>	Rehabilitation of gate house valve chamber and venturi flow meter	61,842	\$900,000	\$594,000	\$1,494,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	30	0.62	125.62	
190	Aqua New Jersey Incorporate	<a href="#">0415002-008</a>	Replacement of 5,900 LF of water main on Lakeside, East Blenheim, Haines, Lake & Church, etc	49,350	\$936,100	\$723,456	\$1,659,556	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.49	125.49	
191	Long Beach Township	<a href="#">1517001-013</a>	Replacement of water mains	35,367	\$2,466,545	\$1,345,279	\$3,811,824	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.35	125.35	
192	Wall Township	<a href="#">1352003-002</a>	Route 34 Water Main Improvements	26,146	\$1,849,100	\$3,121,922	\$4,971,022	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	125.26	
192	Wall Township	<a href="#">1352003-001</a>	Route 138 Water Main Improvements	26,146	\$886,000	\$1,486,820	\$2,372,820	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	125.26	
194	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-004</a>	Construction of mains (Lakeview & Airmount)	16,350	\$795,000	\$556,500	\$1,351,500	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	125.16	
194	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-003</a>	Construction of mains (Rte 17, Snyder & Airmount)	16,350	\$985,000	\$689,500	\$1,674,500	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	125.16	
194	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-002</a>	Replacement of mains (Carol & Maple)	16,350	\$1,340,000	\$849,600	\$2,189,600	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	125.16	
194	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-001</a>	Construction of mains (Rte 17, Grant & Airmount)	16,350	\$1,690,000	\$1,003,600	\$2,693,600	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	125.16	
198	Harrison Town	<a href="#">0904001-001</a>	Cleaning & Lining of mains on Grant Ave., Cleveland Ave., & Hamilton Street	14,425	\$5,500,000	\$2,680,000	\$8,180,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	30	0.14	125.14	
198	Harrison Town	<a href="#">0904001-005</a>	Replacement of 3,160 LF of water mains on S 2nd, Frank E. Rogers Blvd & Scott Mobus Place	14,425	\$1,500,000	\$920,000	\$2,420,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	30	0.14	125.14	
198	Harrison Town	<a href="#">0904001-004</a>	Cleaning and Lining and of approximately 3,000 LF of 10, 12 and 14 inch mains	14,425	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	30	0.14	125.14	
201	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-010</a>	West Main Street Water Main Replacement Project - Asset Management Planning	12,500	\$998,750	\$449,437	\$1,448,187	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	125.12	
201	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-011</a>	2017-TOC-WMR-CTWP-Glen Eagles Drive, Muirfield Lane, and Heather Hill Way	12,500	\$872,970	\$392,837	\$1,265,807	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	125.12	
203	Manchester Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1603001-001</a>	Heights Tank Rehabilitation	12,028	\$389,167	\$1,800,000	\$500,000	60	50	15	0	0	0	0	0.12	125.12	
204	Glen Ridge Borough	<a href="#">0708001-008</a>	GR-2017-LSL-R/FH-RR Lead Service Water-Line Replacement/Fire Hydrant - Repairs & Replacement & Water System Asset Management Plan	7,681	\$1,606,395	-	\$2,573,210	75	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	125.08	
205	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-009</a>	Meter Upgrades	3,236	\$43,750	\$504,224	\$547,974	25	50	15	5	0	15	15	0.03	125.03	
206	Perth Amboy City	<a href="#">1216001-009</a>	The Replacement of Water Meters Project	47,300	\$575,830	\$259,123	\$834,953	25	0	0	0	0	15	80	0.47	120.47	
207	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0338001-012</a>	Well No. 1 Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	34,731	\$2,001,800	\$1,718,944	\$3,720,744	100	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.38	120.38	
208	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-017</a>	2016 Water Distribution Rehabilitation Project	36,848	\$1,906,425	\$740,056	\$2,646,481	75	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.36	120.36	
209	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0338001-011</a>	Well 6 Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	34,731	\$6,250,000	\$3,914,000	\$10,164,000	100	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.35	120.35	
210	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-009</a>	Construction of .4 miles of 12-inch water mains to loop dead ends and enhance water pressure	33,000	\$200,000	\$140,000	\$340,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.33	120.33	
210	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-008</a>	Replacement of 2,300 LF of 8-, 10- and 12-inch water mains	33,000	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.33	120.33	
210	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-007</a>	Replacement of 1.4 miles of 8-inch with 10 - inch water mains	33,000	\$1,100,000	\$744,000	\$1,844,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.33	120.33	
214	Wildwood City	<a href="#">0514001-003</a>	Water system improvements - 2016 street & utility reconstruction	20,361	\$2,100,000	\$480,000	\$2,580,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.2	120.2	
215	Seaside Park Borough	<a href="#">1527001-004</a>	Well 10 Treatment Facility	1,700	\$495,000	\$99,000	\$594,000	40	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.02	120.02	
216	Passaic Valley Water Commission	<a href="#">1605002-009</a>	Replacement of surface water intake facilities on the Passaic River	347,052	\$800,000	\$560,000	\$1,360,000	15	0	20	0	0	0	80	3.47	118.47	
217	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-023</a>	Phase 5A Water Project	262,000	\$7,750,000	-	\$9,300,000	75	0	15	5	5	0	15	2.62	117.62	
217	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-024</a>	Phase 2A Water	250,000	\$9,700,000	\$1,800,000	\$11,640,000	75	0	15	5	5	0	15	2.62	117.62	
217	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-022</a>	Hackensack River 36" Aqueduct replacement	270,753	\$10,000,000	\$5,910,317	\$12,750,000	75	0	15	5	5	0	15	2.62	117.62	
220	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-020</a>	Phase 3 and 4 Water Main Replacement Project	261,666	\$2,973,465	\$713,173	\$3,686,638	75	0	15	5	5	0	15	2.62	117.62	
220	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-021</a>	Phase 5 Water Mains	261,666	\$7,000,000	\$1,547,000	\$8,547,000	75	0	15	5	5	0	15	2.62	117.62	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
		Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
222	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-014</a>	Brookdale Gate House Improvements	257,342	\$992,000	\$674,560	\$1,666,560	75	0	20	5	0	0	15	2.57	117.57	
223	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-013</a>	Six Flags Great Adventure Water Treatment Plant Replacement	45,200	\$7,500,000	\$4,190,000	\$11,690,000	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.45	115.45	
224	Winslow Township	<a href="#">0436007-003</a>	New 1.0 MG finished water storage tank	39,328	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	50	50	15	0	0	0	0	0.39	115.39	
225	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-012</a>	South Orange Newstead Watersphere Emergency Repairs	17,000	\$475,000	-	\$640,000	60	50	0	5	0	0	0	0.17	115.17	
226	Berlin Borough	<a href="#">0405001-005</a>	Repairs to Plant#1 filter and complete replacement of filter media	13,121	\$80,500	\$57,030	\$137,530	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.13	115.13	
227	Berkeley Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1505004-009</a>	Installation of new Well 4 with WM to connect to WTP	8,130	\$690,000	\$1,035,600	\$1,725,600	15	0	20	0	0	0	80	0.08	115.08	
229	Hightstown Borough	<a href="#">1104001-003</a>	Construct 80,000 gallon backwash tank and re-line existing lagoons	5,567	\$450,000	\$315,000	\$765,000	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.06	115.06	
231	National Park Borough	<a href="#">0812001-001</a>	Replacement of a WTP	3,289	\$2,289,450	\$1,193,852	\$3,483,302	100	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	115.03	
232	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-004</a>	Water Meter Replacement Project	2,130	\$338,850	\$75,770	\$414,620	35	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.02	115.02	
233	Allentown Borough	<a href="#">1302001-004</a>	Water Treatment Plant Improvements	1,828	\$1,628,000	\$700,960	\$2,328,960	100	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.02	115.02	
235	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-034</a>	Security, IT and Safety Projects	872,153	\$950,350	\$496,558	\$1,446,908	45	50	20	0	0	0	0	0	115	
237	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-015</a>	Van Winkle Ave. Water Main Replacement	247,597	\$2,799,120	\$1,025,718	\$3,824,838	75	0	20	0	0	0	15	2.47	112.47	
238	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-020</a>	Replace the Tingley Lane pump station	233,376	\$10,000,000	\$4,660,000	\$14,660,000	60	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	112.33	
240	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-008</a>	Undersized Water Main Replacement Cedar Park East and West	100,000	\$4,616,240	\$1,607,197	\$6,223,437	75	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.87	110.87	
241	Hoboken City	<a href="#">0905001-001</a>	Washington St. Water Main / Green Infrastructure Drainage Improv.	50,005	\$3,935,000	\$3,040,778	\$6,975,778	75	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.5	110.5	
243	Kearny Town	<a href="#">0907001-001A</a>	Water Facility and Ground Improv. Program	291,648	\$20,495,142	\$4,099,028	\$24,594,170	75	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.42	110.42	
244	Long Beach Township	<a href="#">1517001-012</a>	Rehabilitation of four storage tanks-Beach Haven Terrace, Brant Beach, Holgate & Pehala Park	35,367	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	60	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.35	110.35	
245	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-010</a>	Demolition of Facilities, Replace Storage Tank, Well #3	32,600	\$4,006,800	\$2,816,890	\$6,823,690	60	35	15	0	0	0	0	0.33	110.33	
246	Orange City	<a href="#">0717001-005</a>	Cleaning & Lining of mains	30,000	\$1,675,000	\$997,000	\$2,672,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	30	0.3	110.3	
247	Phillipsburg Redevelopment Authority	<a href="#">2119001-006</a>	Installation of 5,300 LF of 8 and 12-inch water mains for a brownfield site	18,162	\$2,099,859	\$1,180,310	\$3,280,169	75	0	0	0	5	0	30	0.18	110.18	
248	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-005</a>	Rehabilitation of Airmount reservoir	16,350	\$430,000	\$144,000	\$574,000	60	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	110.16	
249	Salem City	<a href="#">1712001-002</a>	Installation of a new well	5,857	\$130,000	\$91,000	\$221,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.06	110.06	
250	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-006</a>	Replacement of Water meters	3,236	\$225,000	\$157,500	\$382,500	25	50	15	5	0	0	15	0.03	110.03	
251	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-007</a>	Acquisition and integration of the Kearny/Bayonne Transmission main	872,153	\$30,000,000	\$11,060,000	\$41,060,000	1	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	109.72	
251	North Jersey District Water Supply Commission	<a href="#">1613001-030</a>	Modify and Expand Central Receiving Building	872,153	\$605,000	\$511,226	\$1,116,226	1	50	20	0	0	0	30	8.72	109.72	
253	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-028</a>	Dam Security Improvements	265,932	\$1,250,000	\$317,200	\$1,682,400	45	0	20	5	5	0	30	2.66	107.66	
254	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-007</a>	Painting of the Raritan Millstone backwash tank at the WTP	610,000	\$395,000	\$276,500	\$671,500	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	106.1	
255	Perth Amboy City	<a href="#">1216001-003</a>	Cleaning & Lining of water mains-Central bussiness District	50,814	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.51	105.51	
255	Perth Amboy City	<a href="#">1216001-002</a>	Replacement of undersize water main - State Street	50,814	\$2,490,000	\$1,355,600	\$3,845,600	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.51	105.51	
255	Perth Amboy City	<a href="#">1216001-001</a>	Replacement of undersize water main - Center Street	50,814	\$1,209,050	\$791,982	\$2,001,032	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.51	105.51	
260	Garfield City	<a href="#">0221001-006</a>	Replacement of 8,000 LF of 6-inch to 12-inch water main & replacement of 30 valves	29,780	\$4,500,000	\$3,415,000	\$7,915,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.3	105.3	
260	Garfield City	<a href="#">0221001-004</a>	Replacement of water mains	29,780	\$4,200,000	\$2,108,000	\$6,308,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.3	105.3	
262	Lakewood Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1514002-012</a>	Installation of a new storage tank	25,000	\$100,000	\$70,000	\$170,000	50	25	0	0	0	0	30	0.25	105.25	
263	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-027</a>	RENEW 2019 - Carteret	23,992	\$6,900,000	\$4,210,000	\$11,110,000	75	0	0	0	0	15	15	0.24	105.24	
264	West Deptford Township	<a href="#">0820001-003</a>	Water Meter Replacment Project	21,248	\$2,958,200	\$666,640	\$3,624,840	25	50	0	0	0	15	15	0.21	105.21	
265	Manchester Township	<a href="#">1518005-003</a>	Install automated meters	21,083	\$1,600,000	\$1,044,444	\$2,644,444	25	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.21	105.21	
266	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-008</a>	Well 17 Air Stripper	16,198	\$250,000	\$112,500	\$362,500	100	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	105.16	
267	Ventnor City	<a href="#">0122001-001</a>	Clean and line 8 and 14inch water mains	12,900	\$1,425,000	\$865,000	\$2,290,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.13	105.13	
268	Red Bank Borough	<a href="#">1340001-003</a>	White Street Water Main	12,350	\$468,625	\$1,426,693	\$562,350	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.12	105.12	
269	Manchester Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1603001-008</a>	Slip line 16,000 LF unlined cast iron 16inch pipe in High Mountain in Haledon and North Haledon w/ smaller diameter pipe	12,111	\$1,100,000	\$744,000	\$1,844,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.12	105.12	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project		Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
		Number	Project Name			Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
271	Wallington Borough	<a href="#">0265001-001</a>	Replacement of 6-inch mains with 8-inch mains	11,580	\$1,295,845	\$830,171	\$2,126,016	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.12		105.12
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-009</a>	Water Main replacement on Park Avenue	11,484	\$791,314	\$553,918	\$1,345,232	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-008</a>	Water Main replacement on Market Street	11,484	\$450,005	\$315,002	\$765,007	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-007</a>	Water Main replacement on Johnson Blvd.	11,484	\$856,487	\$599,539	\$1,456,026	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-003</a>	Water Main replacement on Jersey Avenue	11,484	\$2,038,605	\$1,156,986	\$3,195,591	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-013</a>	Replacement of 2,200 LF of water mains on Charles Street	11,484	\$1,118,625	\$751,231	\$1,869,856	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-002</a>	Water Main replacement on Broadway & Koehler Streets	11,484	\$799,205	\$559,442	\$1,358,647	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-012</a>	Water Main replacement on Nicholson Road	11,484	\$217,305	\$152,112	\$369,417	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-011</a>	Water Main replacement on Brown Street, E. Brown Street, Sparks Avenue	11,484	\$1,667,072	\$993,510	\$2,660,582	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
272	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-010</a>	Water Main replacement on Baynes Avenue	11,484	\$477,153	\$334,006	\$811,159	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11		105.11
285	Hammonton Town	<a href="#">0113001-003</a>	Replacement of 2,900 LF of water mains on Rte 54	11,300	\$485,000	\$339,500	\$824,500	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11		105.11
285	Hammonton Town	<a href="#">0113001-002</a>	Replacement of water mains on Central Ave., Golf Dr., & 12th Street.	11,300	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11		105.11
285	Hammonton Town	<a href="#">0113001-001</a>	Water main extension along Egg Harbor Road, and Eighth Street to create loops and eliminate dead ends	11,300	\$250,000	\$175,000	\$425,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11		105.11
289	Pemberton Township	<a href="#">0329004-006</a>	Various Water System Improvements	10,815	\$400,000	\$366,000	\$766,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11		105.11
290	Pine Hill Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0428002-003</a>	Erial Road Water Main Rehab and Branch Avenue Pressure Reducing Valve	10,233	\$2,806,223	-	\$3,367,468	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.1		105.1
291	Paulsboro Borough	<a href="#">0814001-002</a>	Replacement of 2,300 water meters	6,025	\$880,000	\$616,000	\$1,496,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.06		105.06
292	Ship Bottom Borough	<a href="#">1528001-002</a>	Water Main Replacement Project	4,106	\$1,650,000	\$986,000	\$2,636,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.04		105.04
294	Sussex Borough	<a href="#">1921001-002</a>	Replacement of 75 year old water mains	2,666	\$1,402,286	-\$196,321	\$1,205,965	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.03		105.03
295	Brooklawn Borough	<a href="#">0407001-004</a>	Removal and replacement 1,500 LFof 6-inch water mains	2,300	\$1,483,000	\$912,520	\$2,395,520	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.02		105.02
296	Oak Ridge Senior Housing Community	<a href="#">1414008-001</a>	Oakridge Senior Community Water Lines	100	\$386,750	\$143,550	\$530,300	75	0	0	0	0	0	30	0		105
297	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-005</a>	Replacement of ozone generators at Swimming River WTP	289,553	\$519,890	-\$39,979	\$479,911	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9		102.9
298	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-025</a>	Western Transmission Main	233,376	\$29,000,000	\$13,210,000	\$42,210,000	30	50	15	5	0	0	0	2.33		102.33
300	Berkeley Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1505004-002</a>	Install new water mains	8,130	\$5,226,820	\$1,805,364	\$7,032,184	1	0	20	0	0	0	80	0.08		101.08
300	Berkeley Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1505004-005</a>	Extension of water mains	8,130	\$7,500,000	\$5,138,330	\$12,638,330	1	0	20	0	0	0	80	0.08		101.08
302	Evesham Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0313001-001</a>	Wells 13 Treatment Improvements	43,200	\$1,250,000	\$1,176,000	\$2,426,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.43		100.43
304	North Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1215001-003</a>	Treatment plant upgrade	38,000	\$20,000,000	\$7,860,000	\$27,860,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38		100.38
305	Long Beach Township	<a href="#">1517001-502</a>	reconstruct filter room & pumps	35,367	\$1,927,000	\$2,172,194	\$4,099,194	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.35		100.35
308	Moorestown Township	<a href="#">0322001-002</a>	Hartford Road Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	20,726	\$10,060,000	\$3,097,320	\$13,157,320	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.21		100.21
309	Point Pleasant Borough	<a href="#">1524001-002</a>	Water Treatment Plant Filter Replacement	18,651	\$1,600,000	\$1,589,180	\$2,112,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.19		100.19
310	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-015</a>	Installation of chlorine analyzers and pipe improvements to upgrade disinfection system at various facilities	16,350	\$500,000	\$180,000	\$680,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16		100.16
313	Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1609001-003</a>	Replacement of gas chlorination system with solid tablet chlorination system	11,435	\$60,000	\$54,200	\$114,200	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11		100.11
314	Ringwood Borough	<a href="#">1611002-001</a>	Installation of chlorination station, automatic controls & protection of pipe	9,600	\$331,000	\$52,960	\$383,960	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1		100.1
315	Netcong Borough	<a href="#">1428001-003</a>	Drill new well to meet current demand	3,236	\$425,000	\$297,500	\$722,500	15	50	15	5	0	0	15	0.03		100.03
316	Fayson Lake Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1415001-001</a>	Upgrade treatment facility	3,087	\$525,000	\$367,500	\$892,500	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03		100.03
317	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615016-001</a>	Wells #1,6 & 7 WTP upgrades	1,625	\$358,000	\$340,100	\$698,100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02		100.02
318	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615018-001</a>	Concorde & Quincy WTP upgrades	1,260	\$324,000	\$226,800	\$550,800	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01		100.01
319	Roosevelt Borough	<a href="#">1341001-005</a>	Upgrades to water treatment plant	935	\$246,240	\$172,367	\$418,607	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01		100.01
321	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615012-001</a>	Well #1 WTP upgrades	635	\$118,000	\$112,100	\$230,100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01		100.01
322	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615002-001</a>	Well #28 WTP Upgrades	600	\$176,000	\$167,200	\$343,200	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01		100.01
323	Collier Services	<a href="#">1328300-003</a>	Replace existing hypochlorination and water softener systems	350	\$100,000	\$70,000	\$170,000	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100
324	Plausha Park Water Company	<a href="#">1421004-001</a>	Install chemical feed, safety upgrades and replace the ramp and piping at the well/treatment facility	200	\$130,000	\$48,800	\$178,800	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		100



FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
		Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	
325	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615001-001</a>	Moore Rd WTP upgrades	180	\$145,000	\$137,750	\$282,750	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
326	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615006-001</a>	Well #6 WTP Upgrades	115	\$256,000	\$243,200	\$499,200	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
327	Green Briar Residential Home	<a href="#">1421305-001</a>	Installation of chlorination to WTP, emergency generator, back up well	43	\$26,000	\$3,760	\$29,760	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
328	Cliffside Park Borough	<a href="#">0238001-001</a>	Construction of water mains for a brownfield redevelopment project - Towne Centre	394,079	\$525,000	\$367,500	\$892,500	75	0	0	0	5	0	15	3.94	98.94
329	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-012</a>	NJ American Water Lead Service Line Replacement Program PWSID 2004002	1	\$6,700,000	\$2,274,000	\$8,974,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	15	1.94	96.94
330	Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0102001-010</a>	Asset Management Plan - Professional Consulting Services In Compliance With The New Jersey Water Quality Accountability Act	76,240	-	\$725,602	\$122,840	1	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.76	96.76
331	Woodbine Borough	<a href="#">0516001-002</a>	Woodbine Asset Management Plan	2,650	\$100,000	\$20,000	\$120,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	80	0.03	96.03
332	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-009</a>	Breton Woods Water Main Replacement - Phase I	100,000	\$4,393,000	\$1,535,760	\$5,928,760	75	0	20	0	0	0	0	1	96
333	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-010</a>	Hydrant Replacement in Baywood Section	75,000	\$800,000	\$360,000	\$1,160,000	75	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.75	95.75
334	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-018</a>	Tonnele Avenue Water Main Replacement and Relining	257,342	\$5,540,000	\$1,902,800	\$7,442,800	75	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.6	95.6
335	Wildwood City	<a href="#">0514001-005</a>	Well #39 Redevelopment	45,500	\$315,000	\$63,000	\$378,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.45	95.45
336	Bloomfield Township	<a href="#">0702001-001</a>	Cleaning and Lining of water mains	47,000	\$1,946,500	\$775,720	\$2,102,220	75	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.45	95.45
337	Stafford Township	<a href="#">1530004-019</a>	Mill Creek Water Main Replacement Phase II	28,868	\$1,203,384	\$962,555	\$2,165,939	75	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.29	95.29
338	Rahway City	<a href="#">2013001-002</a>	Cleaning & Lining of various water main sections	27,785	\$1,100,000	\$744,000	\$1,844,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.28	95.28
338	Rahway City	<a href="#">2013001-001</a>	Cleaning & Lining of various water main sections	27,785	\$900,000	\$630,000	\$1,530,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.28	95.28
340	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-005</a>	Installation of emergency generators	24,062	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	45	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	95.24
341	Burlington Township	<a href="#">0306001-004</a>	Replacement of 1,500 LF of main on Lansberry Dr and LaVeer Rd	22,000	\$214,000	\$149,800	\$363,800	75	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.22	95.22
342	Barnegat Township	<a href="#">1533001-002</a>	Replacement of water meters & Back flow preventers	20,935	\$450,000	\$315,000	\$765,000	25	35	20	0	0	0	15	0.21	95.21
344	Milltown Borough	<a href="#">1212001-002</a>	Ford Ave Redevelopment	7,052	\$1,060,000	\$880,000	\$1,940,000	75	0	15	0	5	0	0	0.07	95.07
345	Richard Stockton College	<a href="#">0111304-001</a>	Installation of solar power at water treatment plant	6,600	\$680,000	\$476,000	\$1,156,000	45	0	20	0	0	15	15	0.07	95.07
346	Clementon Borough	<a href="#">0411001-002</a>	Rehab of well 9 including slip lining to improve conveyance	5,003	\$850,000	\$444,125	\$1,294,125	15	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.05	95.05
347	Flemington Borough	<a href="#">1009001-009</a>	Additional Water Tank and Improvements	4,389	\$3,500,000	\$1,000,000	\$4,500,000	60	0	0	5	0	0	30	0.04	95.04
348	Hamburg Borough	<a href="#">1909001-002</a>	Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	3,200	\$820,000	\$298,000	\$1,000,000	60	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.03	95.03
349	Hardyston Municipal Utility Authority	<a href="#">1911006-002</a>	Water Tank Refurbishment	1,963	\$825,000	\$165,000	\$990,000	60	35	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	95.02
350	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-009</a>	Burma Road Area Water System Improvements	262,000	\$2,000,000	\$770,000	\$2,770,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	2.62	92.62
351	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-004</a>	Installation of nanofiltration for hardness removal (South Tingley Lane)	233,376	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	40	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	92.33
351	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-003</a>	Installation of nanofiltration for hardness removal (North Tingley Lane)	233,376	\$1,500,000	\$920,000	\$2,420,000	40	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.33	92.33
354	Orange City	<a href="#">0717001-006</a>	asset management plan	30,134	\$6,000,000	\$1,220,000	\$7,200,000	1	0	0	5	5	0	80	0.3	91.3
355	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-013</a>	Water Main Stream Crossings Replacements at Route 70 (16" Diameter), at the Beaver Dam Creek at Midstreams Road (16" Diameter), and Five 12" Diameter Stream Crossings in the Township of Brick	100,000	\$3,074,560	\$1,113,859	\$4,188,419	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.87	90.87
356	Bayonne City	<a href="#">0901001-006</a>	Aqueduct Replacement	63,000	\$8,737,229	\$1,747,446	\$10,484,675	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.63	90.63
357	Hoboken City	<a href="#">0905001-002</a>	Water Main Upgrades (2018)	54,379	\$4,443,160	\$1,127,465	\$5,570,625	75	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.54	90.54
358	Monroe Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0811002-001</a>	Tank Painting	36,908	\$1,338,500	\$482,000	\$1,606,200	60	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.37	90.37
359	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-006</a>	Clara Maass Hospital Water Main Extension	36,010	\$646,700	\$614,365	\$1,261,065	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	90.36
360	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-002</a>	Replacement of inoperable valves & hydrants	35,928	\$525,000	\$367,500	\$892,500	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	90.36
360	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-001</a>	Extension of 12 inch water main to the Medical Center	35,928	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	90.36
362	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-014</a>	Installation of gas generators at wells #4,6,7,8,10,11 and 12	33,000	\$1,543,500	\$939,140	\$2,482,640	45	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.33	90.33
363	Deptford Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0802001-002</a>	Water Main Replacement at East Woodbury	30,590	\$1,122,360	-	\$1,531,832	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.31	90.31
363	Deptford Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0802001-003</a>	Water Main Replacement at Country Club Estates	30,590	\$893,481	\$231,180	\$1,188,377	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.31	90.31
365	Garfield City	<a href="#">0221001-005</a>	Replacement of the Botany Street pump station. Expansion of the SCADA system	29,780	\$2,050,000	\$1,162,000	\$3,212,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.3	90.3
366	Lyndhurst Township	<a href="#">0232001-002</a>	Replacement of 1,350 LF of antiquated water mains on Forest Avenue	19,800	\$1,950,000	\$632,000	\$2,582,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.2	90.2
367	Berlin Borough	<a href="#">0405001-006</a>	A 12 inch water main needs to be tied in at Park Drive and White Horse Pike	13,121	\$200,000	\$140,000	\$340,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.13	90.13
368	Manasquan Borough	<a href="#">1327001-002</a>	Construction of 600 LF of WM on Perrine Blvd & Mallard Park Area	12,265	\$750,000	\$1,004,202	\$1,754,202	75	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.12	90.12



FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)																	
Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C.a	Cat C.b	Cat C.c	Cat C.d	Cat D	Cat E	Total	
		Number				Cost	Cost										
369	Wallington Borough	<a href="#">0265001-002</a>	Wallington Avenue Water Main	11,335	\$2,084,160	\$442,000	\$2,500,992	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.12	90.12	
370	Pemberton Township	<a href="#">0329004-007</a>	Various Water System Improvements	10,815	\$1,710,000	\$1,257,000	\$2,967,000	60	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	90.11	
371	Long Beach Township	<a href="#">1517001-015</a>	Water Main Replacement Project	7,667	\$2,310,000	\$1,683,600	\$3,993,600	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.08	90.08	
373	National Park Borough	<a href="#">0812001-003</a>	Replacement of 6-inch and 10-inch water main with appurtenances	3,289	\$228,450	\$152,578	\$381,028	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	90.03	
374	Lakehurst Borough	<a href="#">1513001-002</a>	Water Main Replacement Project Phase I	2,654	\$860,820	\$223,813	\$1,084,633	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	90.03	
375	Alpha Borough	<a href="#">2102001-001</a>	Upgrades to treatment for Pursell & Alpha St wells or VOC removal, hardness and disinfection	2,500	\$1,547,470	\$1,201,360	\$2,748,830	60	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.02	90.02	
376	Brooklawn Borough	<a href="#">0407001-005</a>	Painting interior & exterior of water tank	2,300	\$429,000	\$300,300	\$729,300	60	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.02	90.02	
378	Pemberton Borough	<a href="#">0328001-001</a>	Replacement of undersized and antiquated water mains on Hough and Handover Streets	1,610	\$490,820	\$375,778	\$866,598	75	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.02	90.02	
379	Hopewell Township	<a href="#">1106001-001</a>	Water System Improvements	5,710	\$1,000,000	\$927,000	\$1,285,000	75	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	90	
380	Fountainhead Properties Incorporate	<a href="#">1511013-002</a>	Loop system with 400 LF of water main with replacement of water meters	280	\$55,000	\$22,680	\$77,680	75	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	90	
381	Stafford Township	<a href="#">1530004-014</a>	Construction of 2,600 LF of 8 and 12-inch water main on Rte 9 and Oak Ave	28,868	\$487,224	\$340,510	\$827,734	1	50	20	0	0	0	15	0.29	86.29	
382	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0119002-004</a>	Construction of a 1.5 MG elevated tank including water mains	88,088	\$2,100,000	\$1,184,000	\$3,284,000	50	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.88	85.88	
383	Stafford Township	<a href="#">1530004-015</a>	Redevelopment of wells # 2 and 5	28,868	\$90,000	\$66,400	\$156,400	15	35	20	0	0	0	15	0.29	85.29	
385	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-019</a>	Howell-Lakewood Transmission Main	290,470	\$32,000,000	\$14,350,000	\$46,350,000	30	50	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	82.9	
386	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-016</a>	NJ American Water Lead Service Line Replacement Program PWSID 0712001	217,230	\$2,805,000	\$1,027,600	\$3,832,600	75	0	0	5	0	0	0	2.17	82.17	
387	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-006</a>	Installation of security measures in water system	134,108	\$2,300,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,440,000	45	0	20	0	0	0	15	1.34	81.34	
388	Lakewood Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1514002-003</a>	Administration Building Addition	21,000	\$1,200,000	\$240,000	\$1,440,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	80	0.22	81.22	
389	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-006</a>	36 inch valve replacement at Madison Hill Road	610,000	\$175,000	\$122,500	\$297,500	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	81.1	
390	Winslow Township	<a href="#">0436007-005</a>	Install new 500 GPM well #12	39,328	\$228,600	\$160,020	\$388,620	15	50	15	0	0	0	0	0.39	80.39	
390	Winslow Township	<a href="#">0436007-004</a>	Install appurtenances associated with new well #12 (SCADA, well house, transmission mains)	39,328	\$1,791,000	\$1,048,040	\$2,839,040	15	50	15	0	0	0	0	0.39	80.39	
392	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-003</a>	Replace Transmission Valves	38,977	\$650,000	\$455,000	\$1,105,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.39	80.39	
392	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-002</a>	Cleaning & Lining of water mains	38,977	\$750,000	\$525,000	\$1,275,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.39	80.39	
392	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-010</a>	Replacement of lead service Lines - Phase III	38,977	\$900,000	\$324,000	\$1,224,000	75	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.39	80.39	
395	Rahway City	<a href="#">2013001-004</a>	Repainting of 1.5 MG elevated & 0.5 MG watersphere water tanks	27,785	\$750,000	\$525,000	\$1,275,000	60	0	0	5	0	0	15	0.28	80.28	
396	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-003</a>	Interconnection on Campgaw & Pulis Avenues	24,062	\$1,300,000	\$832,000	\$2,132,000	30	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	80.24	
397	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-009</a>	Scotland Road Water Mains	17,000	\$2,994,500	\$2,560,000	\$3,891,400	75	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.17	80.17	
398	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-015</a>	Construction of a new .5 MG storage tank to maintain pressure on the east side	11,484	\$911,511	\$638,056	\$1,549,567	50	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11	80.11	
398	Gloucester City	<a href="#">0414001-014</a>	Construction of a 1.0 MG storage tank to replace standpipe	11,484	\$3,000,000	\$1,580,000	\$4,580,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11	80.11	
400	Milltown Borough	<a href="#">1212001-003</a>	Ford Ave Redevelopment Agency Borough	7,052	\$750,000	\$876,000	\$1,626,000	60	0	15	0	5	0	0	0.07	80.07	
856	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-006</a>	Rehab of High Service Transmission Main in Middletown	289,553	\$5,400,000	\$2,113,300	\$7,513,300	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	77.9	
856	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-009</a>	East End Transmission Main Replacement	289,553	\$1,391,309	\$784,850	\$2,176,159	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	77.9	
859	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-008</a>	Replacement of two large valves	217,230	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	77.17	
860	Old Bridge Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1209002-002</a>	Replacement of water mains along Lawrence Harbor Road	66,200	\$1,600,000	\$964,000	\$2,564,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.66	75.66	
862	Wayne Township	<a href="#">1614001-001</a>	Replacement of 2400 LF of 8-inch water main and 2000 LF of 12-inch water main - Farmingdale Area	55,000	\$1,100,000	\$744,000	\$1,844,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.55	75.55	
863	Perth Amboy City	<a href="#">1216001-500</a>	Install New Stand-by Generator for Runyon Water Treat. Plant	50,814	\$1,855,500	\$1,333,900	\$3,189,400	45	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.51	75.51	
864	Franklin Township	<a href="#">1808001-006</a>	Installation of new water mains to eliminate dead end mains	50,000	\$920,000	\$644,000	\$1,564,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	75.5	
866	East Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1204001-001</a>	Replacement of undersized water mains on Wilmot, Harrison and various streets	47,000	\$3,672,735	\$1,959,983	\$5,632,718	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.47	75.47	
867	Evesham Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0313001-003</a>	Route 70 WM Replacement	45,351	\$583,000	\$206,600	\$789,600	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.45	75.45	
867	Evesham Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0313001-002</a>	2018 Water Main Replacements	45,351	\$4,528,600	\$1,608,720	\$6,137,320	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.45	75.45	
869	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-008</a>	Clean and line water mains in several sections of the Borough	40,377	\$2,000,000	\$1,060,000	\$3,060,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	75.4	
869	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-006</a>	Construct new water main along Washington Road	40,377	\$650,000	\$429,000	\$1,079,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	75.4	
869	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-004</a>	Rehabilitate existing unlined cast iron water mains in several areas of Sayreville	40,377	\$5,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$7,460,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	75.4	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
		Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
872	North Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1215001-002</a>	Replacement of 4 miles of 24 inch water main from the North Brunswick Twp Treatment plant to Finnegans Lane	38,000	\$5,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$7,460,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38	75.38
872	North Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1215001-005</a>	Replacement of 2,350 LF of 8 inch water mains on Excelsior and Thalia Streets and Sioux Road	38,000	\$844,000	\$561,200	\$1,405,200	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38	75.38
872	North Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1215001-004</a>	Install 16 inch water main	38,000	\$1,750,000	\$264,000	\$2,014,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38	75.38
875	East Windsor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1101002-005</a>	Twin Rivers (H section) Water Main Replacement Project	27,190	\$1,050,070	\$276,714	\$1,326,784	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.27	75.27
876	Little Egg Harbor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1516001-006</a>	Water Storage Tank Painting and Upgrades	21,333	\$2,168,364	\$1,200,000	\$2,659,837	60	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.21	75.21	
877	West Deptford Township	<a href="#">0820001-004</a>	Jessup Road Water Storage Tank Repair and Repainting	21,248	\$2,622,530	-	\$3,222,036	60	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.21	75.21	
878	Montville Township	<a href="#">1421003-002</a>	Installation of 880 LF of 8 inch water main	21,000	\$125,000	\$45,000	\$170,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	75.21	
879	Aberdeen Township	<a href="#">1330004-001</a>	Woodfield Area Water System Rehabilitation	18,210	\$2,200,000	\$2,016,000	\$4,216,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.18	75.18	
880	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-014</a>	Replacement of North Central Ave water main	16,350	\$60,000	\$68,000	\$128,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	75.16	
881	Bordentown City	<a href="#">0303001-002</a>	Replacement of 1,500 LF of 12-inch transmission mains	15,831	\$330,000	\$251,800	\$581,800	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	75.16	
882	Pennsville Township	<a href="#">1708001-003</a>	Rehabilitate .25 MG Water Street storage tank	13,250	\$150,000	\$105,000	\$255,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.13	75.13	
883	Saddle Brook Township	<a href="#">0257001-001</a>	Construction of 1,200 LF of 8-inch water mains	13,155	\$465,000	\$325,500	\$790,500	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	75.13	
884	Mantua Township MUA	<a href="#">0810004-004</a>	Centre City Water/Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	12,711	\$3,500,000	\$1,250,000	\$4,750,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	75.13	
885	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-012</a>	WQAA Implementation - Water Infrastructure Audit and Upgrades	12,500	\$2,500,000	-	\$3,220,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	75.12	
885	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-006</a>	Lebanon Borough Water Main Replacements - Phase 2-5	12,500	\$2,684,475	\$1,441,169	\$4,125,644	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	75.12	
887	Haddonfield Borough	<a href="#">0417001-001</a>	Replacement of water main on Tanner & Woodlane with 8 inch	11,600	\$597,262	\$206,740	\$804,002	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	75.12	
888	Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1609001-001</a>	Abandonment of Cannonball Rd main and installation of insertion valves throughout system	11,435	\$140,000	\$110,000	\$250,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	75.11	
889	Beachwood Borough	<a href="#">1504001-006</a>	The Cable Avenue water main replacement	10,375	\$500,000	\$100,000	\$600,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	75.1	
890	East Hanover Township	<a href="#">1410001-004</a>	Replace Water Meters	10,000	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	75.1	
891	Wanaque Borough	<a href="#">1613002-002</a>	Replacement of approximately 6,000 feet of water main and services on Ringwood Avenue	9,954	\$1,700,000	\$232,000	\$1,932,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	75.1	
892	Ringwood Borough	<a href="#">1611002-002</a>	Replacement of undersized water mains	9,600	\$650,000	\$455,000	\$1,105,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	75.1	
893	Aberdeen Township	<a href="#">1330002-001</a>	Installation of water mains	8,900	\$775,000	\$758,000	\$1,533,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	75.09	
893	Aberdeen Township	<a href="#">1330002-004</a>	Install two water utility crossing of Route 35	8,900	\$350,000	\$252,000	\$602,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	75.09	
893	Aberdeen Township	<a href="#">1330002-003</a>	Replace deteriorated water main from Route 35/Long Neck crossing	8,900	\$650,000	\$455,000	\$1,105,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	75.09	
896	Florham Park Borough	<a href="#">1411001-002</a>	Replacement of 14 6-inch line valves, 12 hydrants and 11 services	8,857	\$164,080	\$114,855	\$278,935	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	75.09	
897	Spotswood Borough	<a href="#">1224001-001</a>	Cleaning and lining of approximaty 3,600 LF of water mains	8,300	\$2,528,595	\$915,319	\$3,443,914	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	75.08	
899	Milltown Borough	<a href="#">1212001-005</a>	Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	7,000	\$1,000,000	\$950,000	\$1,950,000	60	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.07	75.07	
900	Hightstown Borough	<a href="#">1104001-010</a>	2017 Water Main Improvements	5,567	\$1,239,150	\$536,528	\$1,775,678	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.06	75.06	
904	Allentown Borough	<a href="#">1302001-002</a>	Elevated Water Tank Improvements	1,828	\$418,000	\$131,100	\$549,100	60	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.02	75.02	
905	Island Heights Borough	<a href="#">1510001-004</a>	Replacement of 75 fire hydrants and repairs to 21 fire hydrants	1,750	\$250,800	-\$32	\$250,768	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	75.02	
906	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615016-004</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	1,625	\$46,000	\$43,700	\$89,700	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	75.02	
907	Milford Borough	<a href="#">1020001-002</a>	Replace 5,000 LF with 8-inch water mains on Delaware & Ravine Rds to loop system	1,347	\$1,040,000	\$1,442,440	\$2,482,440	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
907	Milford Borough	<a href="#">1020001-001</a>	Replace 3,000 LF with 8-inch water mains on Green, Maple, Orchard, Walnut & Railroad Sts	1,347	\$710,000	\$563,000	\$1,273,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
910	Farmingdale Borough	<a href="#">1314001-002</a>	Painting and repairs to water tower and other misc system improvements	1,329	\$685,000	\$222,000	\$907,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.01	75.01	
911	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615018-004</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	1,260	\$35,000	\$24,500	\$59,500	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
913	Roosevelt Borough	<a href="#">1341001-006</a>	Replacement of water lines most susceptible to breakage	933	\$730,625	\$744,093	\$1,474,718	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
914	Roosevelt Borough	<a href="#">1341001-007</a>	Homestead, Cedar and Elm Water Mains Project.	882	\$405,990	\$125,700	\$549,188	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
915	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615014-002</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	700	\$17,000	\$16,150	\$33,150	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
916	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615012-004</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	635	\$17,000	\$16,150	\$33,150	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
917	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615002-003</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	600	\$17,000	\$16,150	\$33,150	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	75.01	
918	Byram Homeowners Association	<a href="#">1904009-006</a>	Replacement of 77 saddles on the water mains	400	\$250,000	\$175,000	\$425,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	
919	Collier Services	<a href="#">1328300-002</a>	Replace distribution system and associated appurtenances	350	\$254,000	\$177,800	\$431,800	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	
920	Lake Glenwood Village	<a href="#">1922010-002</a>	Installation of 7,100 LF of 6-inch Cement Lined Ductile Iron Pipe replacement water mains	250	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	
920	Lake Glenwood Village	<a href="#">1922010-004</a>	Replacement of 1,000 LF of water mains on Cliffside, Toboggan & Lakeshore	250	\$72,000	\$50,400	\$122,400	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)																	
Project		Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C.a	Cat C.b	Cat C.c	Cat C.d	Cat D	Cat E	Total		
Rank	Sponsor				Number	Cost										Cost	
922	Rosemont Water Company	<a href="#">1007002-002</a>	Rehabilitate and/or replace existing distribution mains	225	\$361,456	\$253,016	\$614,472	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
923	Plausha Park Water Company	<a href="#">1421004-002</a>	Replacement of main at stream crossing, valves and installing blow off hydrants	200	\$95,000	\$35,800	\$130,800	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
924	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615001-004</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	180	\$6,000	\$5,700	\$11,700	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
925	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615006-004</a>	Replace Fire Hydrants	115	\$6,000	\$5,700	\$11,700	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
926	North Shore Water Association	<a href="#">1904004-002</a>	Water System Refurb	105	\$285,000	\$229,000	\$514,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
927	Woodland Heights Homeowners Association	<a href="#">1615022-001</a>	Well Rehabilitation/System Improvements	80	-	\$125,000	\$125,000	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	75		
928	Middlesex Water Company	<a href="#">1225001-506/001</a>	New elevated storage tank to replace tank & PS @ Eborn	1,633,632	\$6,100,000	\$1,220,000	\$7,320,000	1	50	15	5	0	0	2.33	73.33		
929	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-013</a>	RM WTP Emergency Generator	44,464	\$7,980,000	\$2,683,600	\$10,663,600	1	50	15	0	0	0	6.1	72.1		
930	Barnegat Township	<a href="#">1533001-003</a>	Installation of 1,700 LF of 8 inch PVC water main extension	20,935	\$208,000	\$145,600	\$353,600	1	35	20	0	0	0	15	0.21	71.21	
931	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0119002-009</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	88,088	\$128,641	\$90,045	\$218,686	35	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.88	70.88	
932	Jersey City Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0906001-013</a>	Remote Meter Reading (AMI)	257,342	\$6,371,000	\$3,567,760	\$9,938,760	25	0	20	5	0	0	15	2.57	67.57	
933	Trenton City	<a href="#">1111001-007</a>	Construction of an emergency interconnection with NJAWCo	255,000	\$13,000,000	\$5,620,000	\$18,620,000	30	0	20	0	0	0	15	2.55	67.55	
934	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-008</a>	Prospect Ave Tank (Mountainside) Painting	610,000	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	66.1		
934	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-003</a>	Upgrade or replace existing booster station due to aging and obsolete equipment (Roselle Station)	610,000	\$4,446,416	\$3,511,516	\$7,957,932	60	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	66.1		
934	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-002</a>	Hummocks Tank Painting	610,000	\$1,698,592	\$534,994	\$2,233,586	60	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	66.1		
937	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-012</a>	Western Water Main Extension	55,254	\$7,064,250	\$4,705,980	\$11,770,230	50	0	15	0	0	0	0.55	65.55		
938	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-004</a>	Rehabilitate 2.5 MG & 1.5 MG storage tanks with piping	38,977	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	60	0	0	5	0	0	0.39	65.39		
939	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-006</a>	Rehabilitate Dixon, Martis & Spring wells	16,350	\$250,000	\$175,000	\$425,000	15	50	0	0	0	0	0.16	65.16		
939	Ramsey Borough	<a href="#">0248001-007</a>	Construction of 2 wells with pump station & piping	16,350	\$3,090,000	\$1,619,600	\$4,709,600	15	50	0	0	0	0	0.16	65.16		
941	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-005</a>	Crest Drive Standpipe	16,198	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	60	0	0	5	0	0	0.16	65.16		
941	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-006</a>	Repair or Replace Newstead Shere	16,298	\$1,000,000	\$950,000	\$1,950,000	60	0	0	5	0	0	0.16	65.16		
943	Freehold Borough	<a href="#">1315001-003</a>	Replacement of Well No. 3	12,052	\$1,427,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,152,400	15	0	15	0	5	0	30	0.12	65.12	
944	Manchester Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1603001-003</a>	High Service Pump Station Replacement	12,028	\$1,290,000	-	\$2,000,000	50	0	15	0	0	0	0.12	65.12		
945	Hightstown Borough	<a href="#">1104001-001</a>	New Wycoff Mills Water Storage Tank with transmission mains	5,567	\$825,000	\$577,500	\$1,402,500	50	0	15	0	0	0	0.06	65.06		
946	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-010</a>	Sunset Avenue and Monterey Tank Painting	289,553	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	62.9		
946	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-008</a>	Rehab of Newman Springs Pumping Station	289,553	\$400,000	\$280,000	\$680,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	62.9		
949	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-006</a>	Short Hills Tank Painting	217,230	\$400,000	\$280,000	\$680,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	62.17		
950	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0119002-010</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	88,088	\$322,686	\$225,879	\$548,565	25	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.88	60.88	
952	Parsippany Troy Hills Township	<a href="#">1429001-004</a>	Repainting of 1 MG water storage tank	50,649	\$820,000	\$39,000	\$859,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.51	60.51		
953	Franklin Township	<a href="#">1808001-004</a>	Replacement of 2 elevated storage tanks	50,000	\$7,500,000	\$3,560,000	\$11,060,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	60.5		
956	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-002</a>	Rehabilitate the pump station facility and surface intake on the South River located in Sayreville	40,377	\$300,000	\$210,000	\$510,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	60.4		
956	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-003</a>	Rehabilitate existing 3 MG tank	40,377	\$2,500,000	\$1,260,000	\$3,760,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	60.4		
958	Marlboro Township	<a href="#">1328002-003</a>	Beacon Hill storge tank Rehab	29,481	\$1,200,000	\$514,000	\$1,714,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.29	60.29		
959	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-010</a>	Rehabilitation of Campgaw elevated storage tank	24,062	\$380,000	\$141,160	\$521,160	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	60.24		
960	Montville Township	<a href="#">1421003-003</a>	Storage tank rehabilitation, which includes increasing the capacity of 0.25 MG tank to 0.33 MG	21,000	\$300,000	\$210,000	\$510,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	60.21		
961	Point Pleasant Borough	<a href="#">1524001-001</a>	Replacement of the Clifton Ave storage tank	19,306	\$1,200,000	\$172,000	\$1,372,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.19	60.19		
962	West Caldwell Township	<a href="#">0721001-001</a>	Rehabilitation of McKinley Ave storage tank	18,296	\$648,000	-\$25,600	\$622,400	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.18	60.18		
963	Brigantine City	<a href="#">0103001-501</a>	Installation of Generators at well	16,057	\$677,100	\$4,144,344	\$4,821,444	45	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.16	60.16	
964	Sparta Township	<a href="#">1918004-001</a>	Installation of a 600 KW wind turbine generator at Germany Flats Water Utility	15,726	\$1,281,800	-\$51,272	\$1,230,528	45	0	0	0	0	15	0	0.16	60.16	
965	Verona Township	<a href="#">0720001-005</a>	Rehabilitation of the 2 MG Fairview Avenue storage tank	13,641	\$700,500	\$462,330	\$1,162,830	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.14	60.14		
965	Verona Township	<a href="#">0720001-004</a>	Acquisition of the ECUA Jail Annex tank plus rehab and upgrading of the tank	13,641	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0.14	60.14		

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Project			Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
967	Oakland Borough	<a href="#">0220001-004</a>	Iroquois Pumping Station - Rehabilitation	12,959	\$75,000	\$33,750	\$108,750	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	60.13	
969	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-013</a>	Foster Wheeler Booster Pump Station Modifications - Asset Management Planning	214	\$2,190,000	\$588,000	\$2,778,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	60.12	
970	Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1609001-002</a>	Rehabilitation of the exterior of the existing 1.0 MG tank	11,435	\$170,000	\$117,000	\$287,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	60.11	
970	Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1609001-005</a>	Replacement of water storage tanks with a 1.0 MG tank	11,435	\$900,000	\$620,000	\$1,520,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	60.11	
972	Florham Park Borough	<a href="#">1411001-003</a>	Rehabilitation of a 1.0 MG storage tank	8,857	\$610,000	\$427,000	\$1,037,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	60.09	
973	North Caldwell Borough	<a href="#">0715001-001</a>	Rehabilitate a 1.29 MG steel water tank. Remove and replace 800 feet of existing chain link fence	6,000	\$470,000	\$329,000	\$799,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.06	60.06	
974	Brielle Borough	<a href="#">1308001-004</a>	Brielle Drinking Water Storage Tank Project	4,774	\$3,810,000	\$1,066,800	\$4,876,800	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	60.05	
975	Flemington Borough	<a href="#">1009001-008</a>	Installation of wells #1B and 1C	4,250	\$125,000	\$43,500	\$168,500	15	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.04	60.04	
976	Ho-Ho-Kus Borough	<a href="#">0228001-001</a>	Water Tank Upgrade	4,078	\$640,000	-	\$928,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.04	60.04	
977	Fayson Lake Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1415001-003</a>	Replace existing 0.1 MG Stony Brook storage tank with a 0.25 MG tank	3,087	\$630,000	\$441,000	\$1,071,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	60.03	
978	Bayville Central Regional Board of Education	<a href="#">1505355-002</a>	Construction of new interconnection with existing municipal water system	2,500	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	\$1,700,000	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.02	60.02	
979	Essex Fells Borough	<a href="#">0706001-001</a>	Rehabilitate 1 MG water storage tank	2,200	\$360,000	\$188,000	\$548,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	60.02	
980	Glen Gardner Borough	<a href="#">1012001-001</a>	Rehabilitate storage tank	1,902	\$350,000	\$356,000	\$706,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	60.02	
981	Stillwater Township	<a href="#">1920001-002</a>	Painting interior of water tank	1,200	\$40,000	\$28,000	\$68,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	60.01	
982	Manchester Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1603301-001</a>	Reactivation of the Tilt St Spring	1,000	\$68,750	\$48,126	\$116,876	15	0	15	0	0	0	30	0.01	60.01	
983	Collier Services	<a href="#">1328300-001</a>	Replace existing 24,000 gallon elevated storage tank to prevent freezing and leakage	350	\$350,000	\$245,000	\$595,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	
984	Rosemont Water Company	<a href="#">1007002-003</a>	Replace existing underground hydro-pneumatic tank with ground level storage tank	225	\$38,860	\$27,202	\$66,062	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	
985	Plausha Park Water Company	<a href="#">1421004-003</a>	Rehabilitation of concrete storage facility including security measures and instrumentation	200	\$135,000	\$51,000	\$186,000	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	
986	Wonder Lakes Properties, Incorporate	<a href="#">1615017-003</a>	Replace hydro-pneumatic tank and install new tank	170	\$25,000	\$16,900	\$41,900	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	
988	Lakewood Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1514002-013</a>	Installation of SCADA	25,000	\$125,000	\$87,500	\$212,500	1	25	0	0	0	0	30	0.25	56.25	
989	Bloomfield Township	<a href="#">0702001-002</a>	Water Meter Replacement	47,982	\$6,000,000	\$416,832	\$7,230,970	35	0	0	5	0	15	0	0.48	55.48	
990	Winslow Township	<a href="#">0436007-010</a>	Well #2 Filter Plant Upgrade	39,147	\$2,365,000	\$762,000	\$3,036,000	40	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.39	55.39	
991	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-011</a>	New 1.0MG High Zone Tank	37,766	\$2,412,250	\$1,652,808	\$4,065,058	50	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.38	55.38	
994	Hammonton Town	<a href="#">0113001-007</a>	Water Meter Replacement	11,300	\$607,500	\$535,500	\$1,143,000	25	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	55.11	
995	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0327001-008</a>	Installation of a booster station including associated apputenances at Barrington	253,045	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.53	52.53	
997	Mount Arlington Borough	<a href="#">1426005-001</a>	Mount Arlington Asset Management Plan	5,187	\$2,060,000	\$505,650	\$2,565,650	1	50	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	51.05	
998	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0119002-006</a>	Smithvillve ASR Well	88,088	\$900,000	\$352,216	\$1,252,216	15	0	20	0	0	0	15	0.88	50.88	
999	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0508001-006</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	28,071	\$105,001	\$73,498	\$178,499	35	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.28	50.28	
1001	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-011</a>	Installation of a new Nilson Ave. Booser Pump Station	24,062	\$1,400,000	\$675,504	\$2,075,504	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	50.24	
1002	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-002</a>	Well 17 Emergency Power	16,198	\$50,000	\$35,000	\$85,000	45	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	50.16	
1003	Bordentown City	<a href="#">0303001-005</a>	Construct a 1.25 MG storage tank	15,831	\$2,600,000	\$832,000	\$3,432,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	50.16	
1004	Verona Township	<a href="#">0720001-003</a>	Construction of a new Fairview Ave tank	13,641	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.14	50.14	
1005	East Hanover Township	<a href="#">1410001-005</a>	Construction of a new water storage tank	10,000	\$2,500,000	\$1,360,000	\$3,860,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	50.1	
1006	Brielle Borough	<a href="#">1308001-005</a>	Old Bridge Road Elevated Water Storage Tank	4,774	\$2,913,000	\$588,541	\$3,629,400	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	50.05	
1007	Harding Woods Mobile Home Community	<a href="#">1710001-002</a>	Installation of new water meters in Harding Woods Mobile Home Park	1,103	\$210,000	\$147,000	\$357,000	35	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.01	50.01	
1008	Lake Glenwood Village	<a href="#">1922010-003</a>	Installation of a new 8,000 gal. underground concrete water storage tank	250	\$50,000	\$35,000	\$85,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	
1009	North Shore Water Association	<a href="#">1904004-003</a>	Installation of storage tank	105	\$300,000	\$60,000	\$360,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	
1010	Colby Homeowners Association Water Company	<a href="#">1904007-002</a>	Installation of a new storage tank	75	\$150,000	\$105,000	\$255,000	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	
1011	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-012</a>	Meter Replacement	93,333	\$4,500,000	\$920,000	\$5,420,000	25	0	20	0	0	0	0	1.34	46.34	
1012	Washington Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0818004-008</a>	Installation of solar system for wells # 18, 19 & 20	48,559	\$638,000	\$446,600	\$1,084,600	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.49	45.49	



FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Project			Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number				Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
1014	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-015</a>	Well No. 17 Installation	36,848	\$100,000	\$95,000	\$195,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.37	45.37	
1015	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-008</a>	Installation of a water main and booster station to interconnect the Legler system	32,600	\$2,766,500	\$1,477,260	\$4,243,760	30	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.33	45.33	
1016	Garfield City	<a href="#">0221001-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well 1A	29,780	\$400,000	\$280,000	\$680,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.3	45.3	
1017	East Windsor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1101002-004</a>	Installation of solar panels at 2 facilities	27,200	\$1,522,500	\$929,900	\$2,452,400	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.27	45.27	
1018	Burlington Township	<a href="#">0306001-003</a>	Purchase of water meters to replace existing meters-Phases 2 to 4	22,000	\$250,000	-\$10,000	\$240,000	25	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.22	45.22	
1019	Oakland Borough	<a href="#">0220001-003</a>	Well 9 - Diesel Generator	12,959	\$100,000	\$45,000	\$145,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	45.13	
1021	Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1609001-004</a>	Installation of emergency generator at wells	11,435	\$175,000	\$122,500	\$297,500	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	45.1	
1022	Freehold Borough	<a href="#">1315001-001</a>	Replace and construct two well houses that protect well pumps	11,029	\$125,000	\$87,500	\$212,500	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	45.11	
1023	Pemberton Township	<a href="#">0329004-010</a>	Conversion of test well #14 to production well	10,815	\$400,000	\$280,000	\$680,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	45.11	
1023	Pemberton Township	<a href="#">0329004-005</a>	Replacing Well #4 with Well #14	10,815	\$265,000	\$247,000	\$512,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	45.11	
1025	Pine Hill Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0428002-005</a>	PRM Backup Well #4 and Decommission of Wells #6 & #7	10,233	\$760,000	-	\$912,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.1	45.1	
1027	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615016-002</a>	Replace Generator	1,625	\$78,000	\$74,100	\$152,100	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	45.02	
1027	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615016-002/500</a>	Milford Emergency Power Generators	1,625	\$78,000	\$15,600	\$93,600	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	45.02	
1029	Forest Lakes Water Company	<a href="#">1904003-001</a>	Installation of two generators	1,500	\$110,000	\$77,000	\$187,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1029	Hampton Borough	<a href="#">1013001-001</a>	New back up well 5 to address firm capacity requirements	1,500	\$900,000	\$180,000	\$1,080,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.01	45.01	
1031	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615018-002</a>	Replace Generator	1,260	\$60,000	\$42,000	\$102,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1031	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615018-002/500</a>	Bald Eagle Emergency Power Generators	1,260	\$60,000	\$12,000	\$72,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1033	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615014-001/500</a>	Crescent Park Emergency Power Generators	700	\$78,000	\$15,600	\$93,600	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1033	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615014-001</a>	Replace Generator	700	\$78,000	\$74,100	\$152,100	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1035	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615012-002</a>	Replace Generator	635	\$78,000	\$74,100	\$152,100	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1035	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615012-002/500</a>	Awosting Emergency Power Generators	635	\$78,000	\$15,600	\$93,600	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1037	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615002-002</a>	Replace Generator	600	\$60,000	\$57,000	\$117,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1037	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615002-002/500</a>	Greenbrook Emergency Power Generators	600	\$60,000	\$12,000	\$72,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	45.01	
1039	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615001-002/500</a>	Birch Hill Emergency Power Generator	180	\$60,000	\$12,000	\$72,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	
1039	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615001-002</a>	Replace Generator	180	\$60,000	\$57,000	\$117,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	
1041	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615006-002/500</a>	Parkway Emergency Power Generator	805	\$25,000	\$5,000	\$30,000	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	
1041	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615006-002</a>	Replace Generator	115	\$25,000	\$23,750	\$48,750	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	
1043	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-007</a>	Monterey Iron Removal	289,553	\$5,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$7,460,000	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	42.9	
1044	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-009</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	610,000	\$161,448	\$113,013	\$274,461	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	41.1	
1045	Belleville Township	<a href="#">0701001-005</a>	Replacement of Water meters	35,928	\$3,000,000	\$1,580,000	\$4,580,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.36	40.36	
1046	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0508001-007</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	28,071	\$1,000,563	\$700,246	\$1,700,809	25	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.28	40.28	
1047	Highland Park Borough	<a href="#">1207001-001</a>	2018-19 Water System Improvements	14,245	\$2,350,000	\$970,000	\$3,320,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.14	40.14	
1048	East Hanover Township	<a href="#">1410001-001</a>	Renovation of treatment plant - addition of ion exchange for well #1 & #2	10,000	\$900,000	\$630,000	\$1,530,000	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	40.1	
1049	Florham Park Borough	<a href="#">1411001-001</a>	Construction of Water Treatment Facility for removal of manganese	8,857	\$5,198,709	\$2,547,432	\$7,746,141	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	40.09	
1050	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-005</a>	Hopatcong Borough Water Meter Replacement Project	7,000	\$640,000	\$1,510,000	\$778,000	25	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.07	40.07	
1051	High Bridge Borough	<a href="#">1014001-002</a>	Improvements to the High Bridge Water System	3,900	\$876,465	\$200,293	\$1,076,758	25	0	0	0	0	15	0	0.04	40.04	
1052	Hardyston Municipal Utility Authority	<a href="#">1911006-001</a>	Water Meter Replacement	1,963	\$405,000	\$81,000	\$486,000	25	0	0	0	0	15	0	0.02	40.02	
1053	Allentown Borough	<a href="#">1302001-003</a>	Water Meter Replacement	1,828	\$454,850	\$209,670	\$664,520	25	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.02	40.02	
1054	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-014</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	289,553	\$96,139	\$67,287	\$163,426	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	37.9	

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

	Project					Support	Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points	
1055	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0327001-012</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	253,045	\$116,624	\$81,636	\$198,260	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.53	37.53	
1056	Brick Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1506001-007</a>	Chlorine Disinfection System Relocation	100,000	\$2,400,000	\$1,734,000	\$4,134,000	1	0	20	0	0	0	15	1.34	37.34	
1057	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-014</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	217,230	\$171,818	\$120,271	\$292,089	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	37.17	
1058	Bellmawr Borough	<a href="#">0404001-004</a>	A new 0.3 MG storage tank is needed to serve a Brownfield redevelopment area.	11,583	\$380,000	\$266,000	\$646,000	1	0	0	0	5	0	30	0.12	36.12	
1058	Bellmawr Borough	<a href="#">0404001-003</a>	Replacement of water mains will be needed to serve a brownfield redevelopment area.	11,583	\$6,100,000	\$2,944,000	\$9,044,000	1	0	0	0	5	0	30	0.12	36.12	
1060	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0323001-003</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	42,035	\$7,092	\$4,962	\$12,054	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.42	35.42	
1061	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0338001-013</a>	Replacement of Well No. 1	34,731	\$433,200	\$1,593,456	\$2,026,656	15	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.38	35.38	
1062	Burlington Township	<a href="#">0306001-002</a>	Rehabilitate well #4	22,000	\$75,000	-\$3,000	\$72,000	15	0	20	0	0	0	0	0.22	35.22	
1063	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-007</a>	Replace Pressure Reducing Valves	16,198	\$160,000	\$112,000	\$272,000	30	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	35.16	
1063	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-004</a>	Farrell Field (Walton Ave & Audley St.) Interconnection Rehab.	16,198	\$83,000	\$58,100	\$141,100	30	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	35.16	
1063	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-003</a>	South Orange Ave and Holland Road Interconnection Rehabilitation	16,198	\$82,500	\$57,750	\$140,250	30	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	35.16	
1066	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1605001-003</a>	Installation of New Water Meters	11,247	\$92,036	\$64,423	\$156,459	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	35.11	
1067	Collier Services	<a href="#">1328300-005</a>	Install new meters and water conservation devices at Collier Services Bldgs	350	\$3,000	\$2,100	\$5,100	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	
1068	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-004</a>	Interconnection of Twin Lake and Short Hill Systems	217,230	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	32.17	
1069	Vineland City	<a href="#">0614003-016</a>	Well 17 Treatment Facility	36,848	\$5,900,000	\$3,694,000	\$9,594,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.37	31.37	
1070	Garfield City	<a href="#">0221001-007</a>	Upgrade to SCADA	29,780	\$50,000	\$35,000	\$85,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.3	31.3	
1071	Little Egg Harbor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1516001-500</a>	Radio Road Water Treatment Plant	20,065	\$452,200	\$382,110	\$834,310	1	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.2	31.2	
1071	Little Egg Harbor Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1516001-003</a>	Water Treatment Plant at Mathistown Road	20,065	\$3,200,496	\$2,102,907	\$5,303,403	1	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.2	31.2	
1073	Hammonton Town	<a href="#">0113001-010</a>	SCADA System/Water Meter Replacment Proj	11,300	\$200,000	\$34,000	\$234,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	31.11	
1074	Pemberton Township	<a href="#">0329004-008</a>	Various Water System Improvements	10,815	\$250,000	\$234,000	\$484,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	15	0.11	31.11	
1075	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">2004002-010</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	610,000	\$1,847,297	\$1,072,809	\$2,920,106	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.1	31.1	
1076	Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0505002-002</a>	Installation of well #10	9,700	\$1,500,000	\$920,000	\$2,420,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.1	31.1	
1076	Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">0505002-001</a>	Extension of water mains to service homes that are on private wells	9,700	\$5,000,000	\$2,460,000	\$7,460,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.1	31.1	
1078	Franklin Township	<a href="#">1808001-007</a>	Construction of an interconnection w/ New Brunswick City	50,000	\$600,000	\$164,000	\$764,000	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	30.5	
1079	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-006</a>	Construction of back up well for Manhattan Water Treatment Plant	32,600	\$489,080	\$342,355	\$831,435	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.33	30.33	
1080	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0508001-003</a>	Third Street Well Replacement	28,071	\$2,000,000	\$1,140,000	\$3,140,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.28	30.28	
1081	South River Borough	<a href="#">1223001-01</a>	Rehabilitation of Borough Wells	16,023	\$875,000	-	\$1,225,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.14	30.14	
1082	Berlin Borough	<a href="#">0405001-007</a>	Redrilling of well, approximately 450 feet deep	13,121	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.13	30.13	
1083	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-010</a>	Construction of a new surface water treatment plant for reactivated Elbo Pt well	7,900	\$1,800,000	\$1,052,000	\$2,852,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.08	30.08	
1083	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-008</a>	Install new well and construct associated treatment facilities, SCADA system, generator & mains	7,900	\$666,000	\$466,200	\$1,132,200	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.08	30.08	
1085	Hightstown Borough	<a href="#">1104001-002</a>	New Well #3 - Upgrades to plant, well house and pump	5,567	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.06	30.06	
1087	National Park Borough	<a href="#">0812001-002</a>	Redevelopment/ Rehabilitation to Well 5 with a new well house	3,289	\$94,100	\$62,850	\$156,950	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	30.03	
1088	National Park Borough	<a href="#">0812001-004</a>	Replacement of Wells 5 & 6	3,102	\$1,161,000	\$539,000	\$1,700,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	30.03	
1089	Ocean Gate Borough	<a href="#">1521001-003</a>	Well Water Construction/Drilling a new well	2,800	\$522,700	\$145,910	\$668,610	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	30.03	
1090	Sparta Township	<a href="#">1918003-001</a>	Installation of a water main interconnection	1,618	\$545,700	-\$21,828	\$523,872	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	30.02	
1091	Fountainhead Properties Incorporate	<a href="#">1511013-004</a>	Improvements/Replacement of well #1	280	\$138,450	\$50,462	\$188,912	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	
1091	Fountainhead Properties Incorporate	<a href="#">1511013-003</a>	Rehabilitation of well #2	280	\$36,050	\$24,558	\$60,608	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	
1093	Lake Glenwood Village	<a href="#">1922010-005</a>	New well #8 for upper system	250	\$110,000	\$49,500	\$159,500	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	30	
1094	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-015</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	289,553	\$758,658	\$531,059	\$1,289,717	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	27.9	
1095	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0327001-013</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	253,045	\$6,810,000	\$3,256,400	\$10,066,400	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.53	27.53	



FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Support	Total Project	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C.a	Cat C.b	Cat C.c	Cat C.d	Cat D	Cat E	Total Points
		Number				Cost	Cost									
1096	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-015</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	217,230	\$3,459,147	\$1,782,023	\$5,241,170	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	27.17
1097	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1103002-001</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	120,000	\$4,414,176	\$2,202,235	\$6,616,411	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	26.2
1098	Ridgewood Village	<a href="#">0215001-024</a>	Replacement of 14,629 water meters with radio frequency meters	61,700	\$4,235,435	\$2,123,591	\$6,359,026	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.62	25.62
1099	Franklin Township	<a href="#">1808001-005</a>	Replace Water Meters	50,000	\$3,500,000	\$1,800,000	\$5,300,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	25.5
1100	North Brunswick Township	<a href="#">1215001-006</a>	Water Meter Replacement	42,392	\$4,500,000	-	\$5,427,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.42	25.42
1101	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0323001-004</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	42,035	\$1,796,443	\$1,047,794	\$2,844,237	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.42	25.42
1102	Oakland Borough	<a href="#">0220001-002</a>	Replace 4600 Water Meters	12,959	\$1,800,000	\$706,000	\$2,506,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	25.13
1103	Clinton Town	<a href="#">1005001-007</a>	Replace Water Meters	12,500	\$699,465	\$489,624	\$1,189,089	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	25.12
1104	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1605001-004</a>	Replacement of Water Meters	11,247	\$945,530	\$661,871	\$1,607,401	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	25.11
1105	Point Pleasant Beach Borough	<a href="#">1525001-001</a>	Water Meter Replacement Project	5,532	\$1,200,000	\$730,000	\$1,930,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.06	25.06
1106	Mine Hill Township	<a href="#">1420001-004</a>	Replace Water Meters	3,400	\$210,000	\$147,000	\$357,000	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	25.03
1107	Pine Beach Borough	<a href="#">1522001-001</a>	Merion Ave. Well Replacement / Townwide Water Meter Replacement Project	2,080	\$650,000	\$489,400	\$1,139,400	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	25.02
1108	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-006</a>	Redevelop Glenfield Wells	38,977	\$500,000	\$350,000	\$850,000	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.39	20.39
1108	Montclair Township	<a href="#">0713001-008</a>	Nishuane Well Production & Treatment Facility	38,977	\$1,600,000	\$886,000	\$2,486,000	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.39	20.39
1110	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-001</a>	Well 17 Rehabilitation	16,198	\$150,000	\$130,000	\$280,000	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	20.16
1111	Matawan Borough	<a href="#">1329001-003</a>	Rehabilitate the Boroughs two wells	8,810	\$232,801	\$80,580	\$313,381	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.09	20.09
1112	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-011</a>	Drill two additional wells to increase the capacity at Yellowbrook WTP	289,553	\$3,200,000	\$2,288,866	\$5,488,866	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	17.9
1113	Jackson Township Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1511001-007</a>	Ancillary Improvements to the Old Manhattan Water Treatment Facility	32,600	\$1,500,000	\$920,000	\$2,420,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.33	16.33
1114	Hopatcong Borough	<a href="#">1912001-004</a>	Small System Asset Management	7,224	-	\$100,000	\$100,000	1	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.07	16.07
1115	Hamburg Borough	<a href="#">1909001-001</a>	Small System Asset Management	3,382	-	\$75,000	\$75,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.03	16.03
1116	Mount Arlington Borough	<a href="#">1426005-002</a>	Windemere, Altenbrand, North Glen and Park Water Main Extension	98	\$878,000	\$512,000	\$1,202,100	1	0	15	0	0	0	0	0.02	16.02
1118	Marlboro Township	<a href="#">1328002-004</a>	New Stand-by Well 5A (Tennent Rd Treatment Plant & Booster Pump Station)	27,000	\$933,000	\$419,850	\$1,352,850	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.29	15.29
1119	Lacey Township	<a href="#">1512001-002</a>	Upgrade of WTP to make wells # 7 and 8 operational	26,240	\$2,895,200	\$56,464	\$2,951,664	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	15.26
1119	Lacey Township	<a href="#">1512001-001</a>	Construction of two test wells # 7 and 8	26,240	\$1,846,000	\$396,160	\$2,242,160	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	15.26
1121	Oakland Borough	<a href="#">0220001-001</a>	Construct new Well 10A as backup for Well 10	12,959	\$100,000	\$45,000	\$145,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	15.13
1124	East Hanover Township	<a href="#">1410001-002</a>	New Water Treatment Plant for Well 6	10,000	\$2,275,000	\$1,261,000	\$3,536,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	15.1
1125	Harvey Cedars Borough	<a href="#">1509001-002</a>	Installation of a Water Monitoring Well	3,485	\$719,000	\$323,550	\$1,042,550	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	15.03
1126	Pine Beach Borough	<a href="#">1522001-002</a>	Merion Ave. Well Replacement / Townwide Water Meter Replacement Project	2,080	\$325,000	\$187,160	\$512,160	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	15.02
1127	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615016-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well	1,625	\$132,000	\$125,400	\$257,400	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	15.02
1128	Farmingdale Borough	<a href="#">1314001-001</a>	Redevelop well #3; upgrade control system for well #3 & 4, misc improvements to the WTP	1,500	\$446,000	\$89,200	\$535,200	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	15.01
1129	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615018-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well	1,260	\$66,000	\$46,200	\$112,200	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	15.01
1130	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0809001-001</a>	Beckett Well Replacement	1,085	\$450,000	\$176,108	\$626,108	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	15.01
1131	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615012-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well	635	\$90,000	\$85,500	\$175,500	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	15.01
1132	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615001-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well	180	\$60,000	\$57,000	\$117,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
1133	West Milford Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1615006-003</a>	Rehabilitation of Well	115	\$66,000	\$62,700	\$128,700	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
1134	Colby Homeowners Association Water Company	<a href="#">1904007-001</a>	Installation of back up well	75	\$100,000	\$70,000	\$170,000	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
1135	South Orange Village	<a href="#">0719001-011</a>	Flush Valve Removal	16,198	\$188,546	\$84,845	\$273,391	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0.16	6.16
1137	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">1345001-004</a>	Howell Water Mains - Freewood Acres	335,449	\$5,162,000	\$1,230,970	\$6,194,400	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.35	4.35
1138	NJ American Water Company, Incorporated	<a href="#">0712001-013</a>	Installation of water mains at redevelopment project	217,230	\$1,000,000	\$704,000	\$1,704,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.17	3.17
1140	Old Bridge Municipal Utilities Authority	<a href="#">1209002-014</a>	Perrine Road Carbon Absorber Facility	65,375	\$1,200,000	\$240,000	\$1,440,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.65	1.65
1141	Sayreville Borough	<a href="#">1219001-005</a>	Construct new transmission mains in the northeast section of the Borough	40,377	\$1,000,000	\$660,000	\$1,660,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	1.4

FINAL AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2019 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (DW)

Project			Support			Total Project	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Cat	Total
Rank	Project Sponsor	Number	Project Name	Population	Building Cost	Cost	Cost	A	B	C.a	C.b	C.c	C.d	D	E	Points
1143	Marlboro Township	<a href="#">1328002-501/001</a>	portable genertor @ Harbor Rd & Tennent Rd WTP	40,191	\$1,000,000	\$450,000	\$1,450,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	1.4
1144	Mahwah Township	<a href="#">0233001-009</a>	Construction of two new wells	24,062	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$1,020,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.24	1.24
1145	Montville Township	<a href="#">1421003-001</a>	Installation of 2,300 LF of 8 inch water main and appurtances on Hillcrest and Upper Mountain Avenues	21,000	\$325,000	\$227,500	\$552,500	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	1.21
1146	Ridgefield Park Village	<a href="#">0238001-002</a>	Village of Ridgefield Park Skymark Project -- Drinking Water	12,729	\$1,281,937	\$159,170	\$1,752,308	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	1.13
1147	Spotswood Borough	<a href="#">1224001-002</a>	SPOTSWOOD WATER MASTER PLAN	8,257	-	\$85,265	\$85,265	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	1.08
1149	Woodland Park Borough	<a href="#">1616001-001</a>	Extension of water mains to service homes that are on private wells	5,030	\$1,730,000	\$1,021,200	\$2,751,200	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	1.05
1151	High Bridge Borough	<a href="#">1014001-001</a>	Asset Management Plan for the High Bridge Water System	3,900	-	\$25,000	\$25,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.04	1.04
1152	Seaside Park Borough	<a href="#">1527001-003</a>	Water Asset Management Plan	3,753	-	\$70,200	\$70,200	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.04	1.04
1153	Pennington Borough	<a href="#">1108001-004</a>	Asset Management Plan for Pennington Water Utility '	2,585	\$300,000	-	\$360,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	1.03
1154	Pennington Borough	<a href="#">1108001-003</a>	Asset Management Plan for Pennington Water Utility	2,585	\$100,000	\$3,971,626	\$120,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	1.03
1155	Sea Girt Borough	<a href="#">1344001-005</a>	Sea Girt Borough CMMS	2,552	-	\$100,000	\$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	1.03
1156	Hardyston Municipal Utility Authority	<a href="#">1911006-003</a>	Asset Management Plan	1,963	-	\$100,000	\$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.02	1.02

Disclaimer:

FZW6d`][`YI SFW\Bch\Wf Bqadfk >[ef Xd 88KS"#+ /E8KS" S"fi [ UgVwS^ bch\Wfe fZSf ZShW TWegT\_ [ffW[` l` qWsf[a` XdX` V[` Y[` fZWgbL\_ [` YXeUS^kWSddMSd\We aXfSfgēFZW\WdW fZW[ef` UgVw\Bch\Wfe fZSf SdWgdWfk [` SU[hSfWTSeWa` S WMeJa` Tk fZW SFW\ekfW `af fa bchUW Xd SdV Sf fZ[e fL W B\SeW`afWfZSf æ\_ WFatS^ Bch\Wf 5afe V\ebSkW \_Sk TW V\XWf VgWfa dWMeJa`e dWgWfW Tk fZWbch\Wf eba`æē 8adS` gbVSfW bch\Wf Læf b\SeWdW fa fZW>[h` Y>[ef Xg` V[` : S>AS` ēž3`k cgW[a`e a` d`][` YeZagV TW[dWfW fa dSX[` fZW 4gdXg aXESW6d`][`YI SFW\sf/(" +fiS+ŠŽ'' "ž